Early Aseptic Revisions have a Negative Impact on Patient-Reported Outcomes after Total Knee Arthroplasty

Tejbir Singh Pannu¹, Jesus M Villa¹, Matan Ozery, Yuxuan Jin, Nicolas Santiago Piuzzi, Preetesh Dhiraj Patel, Carlos A Higuera Rueda

¹Cleveland Clinic Florida

INTRODUCTION: Even though technically challenging, total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has been associated with favorable clinical outcomes. However, even today, considerable share of TKAs develop mechanical complications, requiring aseptic revision. Notably, evidence on the impact of time from revision procedure to the primary TKA on the clinical outcomes, or if length of time actually determines rerevision rate or patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) after aseptic revision is largely missing. Therefore, our objectives for this study were as follows: 1) to compare rerevision rate and clinical outcomes (readmissions and complications) between early (<3 years) and late (≥3 years) aseptic revisions after primary TKA, and 2) to evaluate differences in PROMs and mortality between the comparative groups.

METHODS: A retrospective review was conducted on a prospective institutional database established as a modified Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) system in our institution spanning seven hospitals. This database records all elective knee orthopaedic surgical procedures, and 713 patients who underwent aseptic revisions after primary TKAs were initially selected. Operations were performed by 27 surgeons from 08/17/2015 to 12/27/2018. The study inclusion criteria was the availability of dates of primary and revision TKAs to allow classification into study cohorts. Thus, 5 patients were excluded, and 708 patients were analyzed. Included patients were categorized into early aseptic TKA revision (<3 years) (n=238) and late aseptic TKA revision (≥3 years) (n=470) groups. Data collection included patient and surgical characteristics and clinical outcomes. Patient characteristics comprised age, gender, race, ethnicity, smoking status, insurance type, Charlson comorbidity index (CCI), and revision diagnosis. Also, operative time, extensor mechanism status, information on use of femoral or tibial cones/augments, length of stay (LOS), postoperative maximum knee flexion (degrees), complications, and discharge disposition (DD) were also collected. Outcome data involved rerevision (ipsilateral knee), 90-day readmissions, general health PROMs, and hip-specific PROMs and mortality. Medians and interquartile ranges were used for description of continuous variables, and were compared between early and late aseptic TKA revision groups using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. The categorical variables were summarized and compared between early and late aseptic TKA revision groups using Chi-square tests or Fisher's exact tests. All tests are two-sided, assuming an alpha level of 0.05.

RESULTS: Baseline patient characteristics were not significantly different between early and late aseptic TKA revision groups, except for age and diagnosis (Table 1). Patients who had late aseptic TKA revision were significantly older than those who had an early revision (67 vs. 63 years; p<0.001). While significantly more late revisions (≥3 years) were performed to treat implant failure (24.5% vs. 10.1%), more patients were revised earlier (<3 years) to treat instability (31.1% vs. 18.5%) and miscellaneous (20.6% vs. 7.02%) complications. Operative time was significantly more in late TKA revisions (162min vs. 145min) but extensor mechanism status (intact vs. not), case complexity (use of femoral or tibial cones/augments), postoperative maximum knee flexion, LOS, complication rates, and DD did not show any differences between the groups. Furthermore, readmissions, rerevisions, and mortality rates were similar in late vs. early aseptic TKA revision cohorts (Table 2). Regarding PROMs, KOOS-PS and VR-12-MCS scores were significantly lesser in early aseptic revision TKA group (vs. late) at baseline. Significantly higher delta changes in KOOS-QoL and VR-12-PCS scores were seen in late aseptic revision TKA group (Table 3), reflecting in significantly higher KOOS-QoL and VR-12-PCS scores were also significantly greater in patients who had late aseptic revision TKA (Table 2).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: Our data suggests that the timeline of aseptic revision from primary TKA does matter, showing a significant impact on patient-reported outcome measures, with patients undergoing revision more than 3 years subsequent to the primary, experiencing lesser pain, higher quality of life, and better physical and mental functionality at 1 year after surgery.

Table 1. Demograph	ics						Table 2. Surgical characteris	itics, outcor
Variable	Level	Total (N=708)	Aseptic TKA revision within 3 years of primary (N=238)	AsepticTKA revision after 3 years of primary (N=470)	p. value	N	Variable	Level
							Surgery Duration (minutes), Median [25th;75th]	
Age, Median			(IV=236)				LOS, Median [25th;75th]	
[25th;75th]		66.0 [59.0;71.0]	63.0 [57.0;69.8]	67.0 [60.0;73.0]	<0.001	708	Max Flexion, Median [25th;75th]	
Sex, N (%)	Male	172 (37.1%)	54 (34.2%)	118 (38.6%)	0.409	464		No
30A, 14 (74)	Female	292 (62.9%)	104(65.8%)	188 (61.4%)			Complication, N (%)	Yes
	White	531 (78.9%)	169(76.1%)	362 (80.3%)		673		Home/Home
Race, N (%)	Other	16 (2.38%)	8 (3.60%)	8 (1.77%)	0.241		Discharge Disposition, N (%)	Health
	Black	126 (18.7%)	45 (20.3%)	81 (18.0%)				Non-Home
Hispanic, N (%)	No	423 (94.4%)	136(91.3%)	287 (96.0%)	0.067	448	90-day Readmission, N (%)	No
rispanic, iv (70)	Yes	25 (5.58%)	13 (8.72%)	12 (4.01%)	0.007		90-day Readmission, N (%)	Yes
	Implant failure	139 (19.6%)	24 (10.1%)	115 (24.5%)		708	Deceased, N (%)	No
	Aseptic loosening	276 (39.0%)	77 (32.4%)	199 (42.3%)			Deceased, N (%)	Yes
Diagnosis, N (%)	Bone related	50 (7.06%)	14 (5.88%)	36 (7.66%)	<0.001			No
	Instability	161 (22.7%)	74 (31.1%)	87 (18.5%)			Complication Type Fracture, N (%)	Yes
	Other	82 (11.6%)	49 (20.6%)	33 (7.02%)			Complication Type Vascular injury, N	No
CCI, Median [25th;75th]		0.00 [0.00;1.00]	0.00 [0.00;1.00]	0.00 [0.00;1.00]	0.821	708	(%)	110
BMI, Median [25th:75th]		32.1 [27.9;37.1]	32.2 [28.2;37.5]	31.9 [27.8;36.6]	0.710	563	Complication Type Nerve injury, N (%)	No
Insurance, N (%)	Medicare	212 (46.3%)	69 (44.5%)	143 (47.2%)	0.456	458	Complication Type Tendon injury, N (%)	No
	Medicald	21 (4.59%)	5 (3.23%)	16 (5.28%)			Baseline KOOS-Pain, Median	
	Non- Medicare/Medicaid	225 (49.1%)	81 (52.3%)	144 (47.5%)	0.430		[25th;75th] Baseline KOOS- PS, Median	
	Never	353 (54.2%)	114(52.3%)	239 (55.2%)		651	[25th;75th]	
	Quit 6+ months ago	216 (33.2%)	74 (33.9%)	142 (32.8%)			Baseline KOOS-QoL, Median [25th;75th]	
Smoking, N (%)	Quit within 6 months	25 (3.84%)	9 (4.13%)	16 (3.70%)	0.887		Baseline VR-12 PCS, Median [25th;75th]	
	Current smoker	57 (8.76%)	21 (9.63%)	36 (8.31%)			Baseline VR-12 MCS, Median [25th:75th]	
	Left	359 (50.7%)	115(48.3%)	244 (51.9%)	0.451	708	Baseline HSS Pedi-FABS, Median	
Laterality, N (%)	Right	347 (49.0%)	122(51.3%)	225 (47.9%)			(25th:75th)	
Extensor mechanism	No	35 (4.96%)	15 (6.33%)	20 (4.26%)		706	1-Year KOOS-Pain, Median	
intact, N (%)	Yes	671 (95.0%)	222(93.7%)	449 (95.7%)	0.313		[25th;75th]	an
intact, N (%) Femoral Cone, N (%)	No	285 (90.8%)	83 (93,3%)	202 (89.8%)	0.457	314	1-Year KOOS-PS, Median (25th;75th)	
	Yes	29 (9.24%)	6 (6.74%)	23 (10.2%)				
Tibial Cone, N (%)	No	158 (54.7%)	46 (56.8%)	112 (53.8%)	0.749	289	1-Year KOOS-QoL, Median [25th:75th] 1-Year VR-12 PCs, Median	
	Yes	131 (45.3%)	35 (43.2%)	95 (46.2%)				
Femoral Augment, N	No	168 (37.1%)	54 (39.7%)	114 (36.0%)		453	[25th;75th]	
	Yes	285 (62.9%)	82 (60.3%)	203 (64.0%)	0.516		1-Year VR-12 MCS, Median	
	No	272 (63.3%)	88 (67.2%)	184 (61.5%)		430	[25th;75th]	
Tibial Augment, N (%)	Yes	158 (36.7%)		115 (38.5%)	0.314		1-Year HSS Pedi-FABS, Median	

Variable	Level	Total (N=708)	Aseptic TKA revision within 3 years of primary (N=238)	Aseptic TKA revision after 3 years of primary (N=470)	P- value	N
Surgery Duration (minutes), Median [25th;75th]		158 [116;202]	145 (111;192)	162 [122;206]	0.003	70
LOS, Median [25th;75th]		2.00 [2.00;3.00]	2.00 [2.00;3.00]	2.00 [2.00;3.00]	0.503	70
Max Flexion, Median [25th;75th]		120 [100;130]	120 (100;130)	120 [100;130]	0.618	70
Complication, N (%)	No	695(98.4%)	236 (99.6%)	459 (97.9%)	0.110	70
Complication, N (%)	Yes	11 (1.56%)	1 (0.42%)	10 (2.13%)		
Discharge Disposition, N (%)	Home/Home Health	364(78.6%)	129 (81.6%)	235 (77.0%)	0.306	46
	Non-Home	99 (21.4%)	29 (18.4%)	70 (23.0%)		
	No	608(92.3%)	203 (93.5%)	405 (91.6%)	0.477	65
90-day Readmission, N (%)	Yes	51 (7.74%)	14 (6.45%)	37 (8.37%)		
	No	706(99.7%)	258 (100%)	468 (99.6%)	0.553	70
Deceased, N (%)	Yes	2 (0.28%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (0.43%)		
	No	696(98.6%)	236 (99.6%)	460 (98.1%)	0.177	70
Complication Type Fracture, N (%)	Yes	10 (1.42%)	1 (0.42%)	9 (1.92%)		
Complication Type Vascular injury, N (%)	No	706 (100%)	237 (100%)	469 (100%)		70
Complication Type Nerve injury, N (%)	No	706 (100%)	237 (100%)	469 (100%)		70
Complication Type Tendon injury, N (%)	No	706 (100%)	237 (100%)	469 (100%)		70
Baseline KOOS-Pain, Median (25th;75th)		38.9 [25.0;47.2]	36.1 [25.0;46.3]	38.9 [25.0;50.0]	0.113	65
Baseline KOOS - PS, Median [25th;75th]		48.8 [33.4;56.0]	45.6 [33.4,56.0]	48.8 [38.0;56.0]	800.0	64
Baseline KOOS-QoL, Median (25th;75th)		12.5 [0.00;31.2]	12.5 [0.00;25.0]	12.5 [6.25;31.2]	0.053	65
Baseline VR-12 PCS, Median (25th;75th)		25.9 [21.4;31.4]	25.7 [21.3;30.2]	25.9 [21.6;32.0]	0.472	65
Baseline VR-12 MCS, Median (25th;75th)		45.9 [36.0;56.4]	43.5 [33.8,53.3]	46.8 [37.0;57.3]	0.018	65
Baseline HSS Pedi-FABS, Median (25th;75th)		1.00 [0.00;7.00]	1.00 [0.00;6.00]	2.00 [0.00;7.50]	0.494	65
1-Year KOOS-Pain, Median [25th;75th]		72.2 [52.8,91.7]	63.9 [47.2;83.3]	75.0 [55.6;91.7]	<0.001	46
1-Year KOOS-PS, Median (25th;75th)		64.7 [53.9;78.0]	61.4 [48.8;72.5]	66.4 [58.0;78.0]	<0.001	44
1-Year KOOS-QoL Median [25th;75th]		50.0 [25.0,68.8]	37.5 [16.7;62.5]	50.0 [81.2;75.0]	<0.001	47
1-Year VR-12 PCS, Median [25th;75th]		36.5 [26.7;44.9]	32.9 [23.9;41.9]	38.0 [28.7;46.9]	<0.001	47
1-Year VR-12 MCS, Median [25th;75th]		53.7 [42.2,60.6]	51.7 [38.0;59.7]	54.2 [44.7;61.4]	0.007	47
1-Year HSS Pedi-FABS, Median		4.00	3.00 (0.00-9.50)	4.00 (0.00:9.00)	0.802	6

Table 3. Delta changes in PROMs from baseline to 1-year follow-up.

Variable	Total (N=708)	Aseptic TKA revision within 3 years of primary (N=238)	Aseptic TKA revision after 3 years of primary (N=470)	p. value	N
KOOS-Pain delta, Median [25th;75th]	30.5 [13.9;47.2]	27.8 [8.34;47.2]	30.6 [16.7;50.0]	0.063	469
KOOS-PS delta, Median [25th;75th]	19.1 [5.50;31.3]	18.9 [4.90;29.5]	19.1 [6.70;33.0]	0.318	438
KOOS-QOL delta, Median [25th;75th]	25.0 [12.0;50.0]	18.8 [6.25;43.8]	31.2 [12.5;50.0]	0.009	472
VR-12 PCS delta, Median [25th;75th]	8.77 [1.14;16.5]	6.42 [0.66;15.7]	9.75 [2.24;16.9]	0.035	476
VR-12 MCS delta, Median [25th;75th]	3.35 [-4.94;11.6]	1.68 [-6.05;11.3]	3.78 [-4.08;11.6]	0.459	476
HSS Pedi-FABS 0.00 delta, Median [-3.00;3.00] [25th;75th]		0.00 [-1.50;1.50]	2.00 [-2.75;3.50]	0.831	25