## Time to Improvement for Post-Operative Symptoms for Cervical Myelopathy: A Retrospective Analysis

Anthony Baumann<sup>1</sup>, Mingda Chen, Peter Ahorukomeye, Christopher George Furey, Christina Cheng <sup>1</sup>Northeast Ohio Medical University

INTRODUCTION: Cervical myelopathy (CM) is a common, progressive spinal disorder that involves spinal cord compression and a wide variety of symptoms that differ among individuals. Common symptoms include numbness, extremity weakness, loss of balance, and gait instability. Decompression surgeries are commonly used for the treatment of cervical myelopathy with varying outcomes. Understanding time to improvement for the symptoms of cervical myelopathy after surgery can help guide clinician care, manage patient expectations, and improve overall outcomes.

METHODS: Study design was a retrospective chart review with a total sample size of 180 patients. All patients had a clinical presentation of cervical myelopathy, had imaging demonstrating cervical stenosis, and received corrective surgery. The data was collected from one hospital system with surgeries performed by both orthopaedic and neurosurgeons. Data recorded included age, smoking status, co-morbidities, duration of pre-operative symptoms, and post-operative days until improvement in numbness, upper extremity strength, and balance.

## **RESULTS:**

There was a marginally significant association with time to improvement for numbness (p=0.053) after surgery correlated to patient age. The average days until improvement in numbness for patients older than 60 years old is significantly longer than those younger than 60 years old (99.3 days versus 60.2 days). There was no significant correlation between time to improvement in numbness with pre-operative duration of symptoms, smoking status, or presence of diabetes. There was no correlation between time to improvement in strength or balance with other recorded variables. The mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for days until improvement in numbness, upper extremity strength, and balance was 84.46  $\pm$  94.40, 50.63  $\pm$  42.76, and 60.40  $\pm$  69.92 days, respectively.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: Longer time to improvement in post-operative numbness is correlated with patient age after surgery for CM. No correlation with strength or balance improvement times were found.

	n	Mean (SD)	Median	Range
Age	180	65.69 (9.20)	65	[43, 93]
Smoking (%)	179			
Never		88 (49.16)		
Previous		53 (29.61)		
Current		38 (21.23)		
Diabetes (%)	180			
No		137 (76.11)		
Yes		43 (23.89)		
Duration of Pre-op Symptoms	140	625.91 (982.02)	270	[10, 6840]
Post-op Days Until Improvement in Numbness	118	84.46 (94.40)	40.5	[6, 417
Post-op Days Until Improvement in UE Motor	101	50.63 (42.76)	36	[6, 216
Post-op Days Until Improvement in Balance	114	60.40 (69.92)	32.5	[12, 377

Outcome	Age				Smekin	D	inbetes		Duration of Pre-Symptoms				
	±60	> 60	P	Never	Previous	Current	P	No	Yes	P	≤6 raontha	> 6 months	P
n n	50	130		55	53	3.5		137	43		58	82	
Days Until Impeure	60.2	93.9	0.053	95.6	\$1.2	65.0	0.281	\$7.9	69.4	0.391	93.3	72.2	0.28
Numbness	(76.5)	(99.3)	W.003	(110.2)	(\$6.2)	(62.4)	9.284	(95.8)	(88.8)	0.391	(105.4)	(74.2)	0.280
Motor Days Until	56.9	47.6	0.328	48.2	49.0	57.3	0.772	52.7	43.1	0.239	45.8	51.8	0.515
Improve UE Strength	(45.7)	(41.3)	4.544	(35.1)	(42.4)	(56.1)	4.172	(45.8)	(29.0)	0.239	(40.4)	(41.8)	4.711
Days Until Impeave	67.8	57.8	0.555	65.1	64.4	43.9	0.174	60.7	59.3	0.943	64.0	55.1	0.575
Balance	(84.5)	(64.3)	4.333	(76.2)	(76.2)	(35.4)	0.174	(67.3)	(81.3)		(82.6)	(61.1)	
The association categories, or summiness (P - significantly le	by one-may 0.053, with	ANOVA if	there are	more than t	no categorie c) •> the are	s. Only age rage days ti	at first up	green had a	narrinal s	insidess	t association	with	

Owner		Apr					Stanician					Diabetes				Duration of Pre-Symptoms			
		≤ 60	ě	Cit	7	Never	Previou	Current	OR	7	22	Ye	OR	,	≤6 months	>-6 mostbs	OR		
Days Task Japperes	á	15	27	1,79 (0,76,409)	0.17	20	12	10	0.96 (0.60, 1.55)	0.87	33	11	0.40 (0.16, 1.23)	6.12	36	19	128 (0.55, 3.00)	0.5	
	200	22	59	L-9 (x-4, 4.00)		36	24	16			65	11			23	35			
Imperes UK	50	12	31	0.60 (0.26, 1.59)	0.36	20	12	11	090 (0.60, 1.60)	0.83	24	9	1.09 (0.42, 2.83)	0.06	27	19	137 (0.56, 136)	0.4	
Streets	240	21	37			26	19	13			45	13	Life (UAL, 230)	E 30	27	26			
Days Used	50	12	42	0.67 (0.28, 1.54)	0.35	25	16	12	0.84 (8.52, 1.59)	0,47	40	13	0.69 (0.25, 1.58)	0.33	23	25	1.44 (0.62, 3.30)	0.4	
Improve Rahmes	>50	35	42			31	19	19			50	30			36	25			
Dept Total	š	22	59	241 (931, 839) 0		29	27	20 .	0.82 (8.48, 1.37) 0.45	65	22	0.54 (0.15, 1.60)	0.3	27	40	0.71 (6.28, 1.81)			
Improve Namenca	190	3	27		0.04	17	9			0.45	28	4	9,34 (9.10, 1.80)	43	12	13	0.10(48, 1.80)		
Days Clark Imperex UK	š	27	6	0.36 (0.10, 1.20) 0.11		41	28	21	106 (8.47, 2.29)	0.00	70	30	0.79 (0.11, 3.33)	0.76	32	39	2.46 (0.50, 17.59)	_	
	290	6	5		011	5	3	3			,	2			2	6	240 (0.20, 11.20)		
Dept Dark	á	25	70	1.00 (0.34, 3.35)	100	45	29	20	0.69 (8.33, 1.33)		26	29	1.07 (0.28, 3.35)		32	42	0.87 (8.28, 2.72)	0.0	
Improve Ralence	190	3	14			11	6	2		0.28	15	4		6.92	7	4			

The association between the categorical extenses waitable and a categorical exposure variable in by simple logistic repression, and the significant in by halikhood ratio over to compare the model with the exposure and without the exposure. We used two cutoffs, 30 days and 50 days, to conspicitive endorsee variable respectively, which indicated short term improvement and long term improvement expectively.

Using 30-days you can off or the outcome

The upper half of Table 3 are the results of the short term improvement.
 Using 90-days post-op as the cutoff of the outcome
 The lower half of Table 3 are the results of the long term improvement.