

## **A Contemporary Analysis of Indications and Outcomes of Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty**

Neeku Salehi, Alex Robert Charlton, Alexander Linton, Abhijit Seetharam, David Neil Kugelman, Paul Maxwell Courtney

### **INTRODUCTION:**

While primary total hip arthroplasty (THA) has been deemed the operation of the century, a subset of patients still require revision. Although registry data has identified common etiologies of primary THA failure, there remains a lack of large, contemporary clinical series detailing indications and outcomes of revision THA. The purpose of this study is to determine the indications and outcomes of patients undergoing revision THA.

### **METHODS:**

We reviewed a consecutive series of 1,161 revision THA patients from 2017-2023 across 20 hospitals at our institution. We analyzed demographics, surgical approach, indication for revision, and complications for all patients. Time to failure was categorized as early (<2 years from index procedure) or late ( $\geq 2$  years). A multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to identify independent risk factors for re-revision.

**RESULTS:** With 35,701 primary THAs performed during the study period, the revision burden was 3.25%. The most common indications for revision THA were fracture (17%), aseptic loosening (16%), and infection (15%). Of the patients who had an early primary THA failure (37%), etiologies included infection (29%), fracture (26%), and instability (18%). In late failures (63%), the most common indications were polyethylene wear/osteolysis (24%), aseptic loosening (19%), and metallosis (16%). 150 revision THA patients (12.9%) underwent repeat surgery. When compared to patients undergoing revision for aseptic loosening, patients being revised for fracture (OR 5.37,  $p < 0.001$ ), infection (OR 4.99,  $p < 0.001$ ), and instability (OR 1.94,  $p < 0.001$ ) had higher rates of early re-revision. When compared to revision THA performed through the anterior approach, posterior (OR 0.57,  $p < 0.001$ ) and lateral approaches (OR 0.38,  $p < 0.001$ ) had lower re-revision rates.

### **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:**

Infection, fracture, and dislocation remain the leading indications for revision THA and are associated with higher failure rates when compared to patients undergoing revision for loosening.