

The Impact of Arthroplasty Training on Outcomes Following Hemiarthroplasty: A Propensity-Score Matched Analysis

Reza Morshed Katanbaf, Monica Misch, Gabrielle Nicole Swartz, Amir Human Hoveidaei, Amin M Katanbaf, Ugonna Nnamdi Ihekweazu, Michael A Mont, James Nace, Ronald Emilio Delanois

INTRODUCTION:

Hip hemiarthroplasty is the most frequently performed treatment for femoral neck fractures, which are projected to rise in incidence over the next century with an aging population. Hemiarthroplasty for femoral neck fracture is often performed in an urgent setting. As a result, the on-call orthopedic surgeon typically manages the case, regardless of their designated sub-specialty. The impact of specialty training on postoperative outcomes is seldom explored. This study sought to compare the incidences of complications, including periprosthetic joint infections, periprosthetic fractures, and dislocations, between patients who underwent hip hemiarthroplasty by an arthroplasty-trained surgeon versus a non-arthroplasty surgeon at ninety days, one year, and two years.

METHODS: We queried a national, all-payer database to identify patients who underwent hip hemiarthroplasty for femoral neck fracture. Physician reports were produced on these patients, which included surgeon information such as NPI, name, and location. A web search was performed to determine the fellowship training of each surgeon. Patients were then separated into two equal cohorts based on the surgeon who performed their hemiarthroplasty: arthroplasty-trained (n = 10,806) and non-arthroplasty-trained (n = 10,806). A propensity score match was utilized to control for patient factors and comorbidities. Chi-square analyses were performed for categorical variables, and significance was defined as $P > 0.05$.

RESULTS: Patients who were treated by non-arthroplasty-trained surgeons experienced higher rates of periprosthetic fracture at 90 days ($P = 0.015$), one year ($P = 0.004$), and two years ($P = 0.036$). Additionally, patients treated by non-arthroplasty-trained surgeons experienced higher rates of aseptic revision at one year ($P = 0.002$) and two years ($P < 0.001$). There were no differences observed in dislocations, aseptic loosening, or periprosthetic joint infections (all $P > 0.05$).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: Patients treated by non-arthroplasty-trained surgeons experienced higher rates of periprosthetic fractures and aseptic revisions. This data supports the implementation of arthroplasty-specific call schedules.

Table 1. Baseline demographics and patient characteristics

	Demographics		p-value
	Adult Reconstruction Fellowship Trained (n=12,213)	Non-Adult Reconstruction Fellowship Trained (n=10,806)	
Age (SD)	75.2(46.27)	75.2(46.31)	0.623
CCI (SD)	2.73(2.69)	2.75(2.67)	0.487
Sex			0.714
Female	8,189 (67.1)	25,457 (67.2)	
Male	4,024 (32.9)	12,405 (32.8)	
AA	708 (5.8)	2,385 (6.3)	0.047
AK	5,148 (42.1)	15,924 (42.1)	0.889
CKD	4,818 (39.6)	14,785 (39.6)	0.437
CHE	3,425 (28.0)	10,001 (26.4)	<0.001
CAD	6,033 (49.4)	18,716 (49.4)	0.956
DM	5,130 (42.1)	15,879 (41.9)	0.795
HTN	31 (0.3)	86 (0.3)	1.000
HTN	11,133 (91.2)	34,371 (90.8)	0.215
Hypertension	6,142 (50.3)	13,340 (50.2)	0.825
Liver Disease	1,352 (11.1)	4,345 (11.5)	0.226
Obesity	1,471 (12.0)	5,044 (13.2)	0.322
FE	4,017 (32.9)	12,153 (32.7)	0.106
BMI 20-24.9	1,587 (12.8)	4,789 (12.7)	0.558
BMI 25-29.9	1,265 (10.3)	4,187 (10.3)	0.013
BMI 30-34.9	591 (4.8)	2,069 (5.5)	0.008
BMI 35-39.9	258 (2.1)	832 (2.2)	0.400
BMI 40+	192 (1.6)	627 (1.7)	0.562

CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; AA: Alcohol Abuse; CKD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; CVD: Chronic Kidney Disease; CHE: Congestive Heart Failure; CAD: Coronary Artery Disease; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; HTN: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; HTN: Hypertension; TU: Tobacco Use; FE: Body Mass Index

Table 2. Baseline Surgeon Case Volume

	Surgeon Case Volume		p-value
	Arthroplasty Fellowship Trained (n=12,213)	Non-Arthroplasty Fellowship Trained (n=10,806)	
Case	9,158 (75.0)	26,998 (71.3)	<0.001
Volume <50			
Case	3,036 (24.9)	8,898 (23.5)	0.002
Volume 50-99			
Case	688 (5.6)	2,309 (6.1)	0.063
Volume 100-149			
Case	35 (0.3)	1,490 (3.9)	<0.001
Volume 150+			

Table 3. Incidences of Complications Following Propensity-Score Match

	Incidence of Complications after Propensity-Score Match		p-value
	Adult Reconstruction Fellowship Trained (n=10,806)	Non-Adult Reconstruction Fellowship Trained (n=10,806)	
90-Day Complications			
SSI	24 (0.2)	62 (0.6)	<0.001
VTE	211 (2.1)	228 (2.1)	0.925
PPFX	152 (1.4)	198 (1.8)	0.015
PJI	38 (0.4)	50 (0.5)	0.340
Aseptic Revision	110 (2.9)	140 (1.1)	0.248
Aseptic Loosening	2	1	1.000
Dislocation	219 (2.4)	233 (2.2)	0.234
1-year Complications			
PPFX	202 (1.9)	264 (2.4)	0.004
PJI	58 (0.5)	49 (0.4)	0.460
Aseptic Revision	383 (3.6)	474 (4.4)	0.002
Aseptic Loosening	283 (2.6)	260 (2.4)	0.339
Dislocation			
2-year Complications			
PPFX	256 (2.4)	306 (2.8)	0.036
PJI	60 (0.5)	76 (0.7)	0.197
Aseptic Revision	415 (4.2)	519 (5.2)	<0.001
Aseptic Loosening			0.096
Dislocation	280 (2.7)	275 (2.7)	0.551

SSI: Surgical Site Infection; VTE: Venous Thromboembolism; PPFX: Periprosthetic Fracture; PJI: Prosthetic Joint Infection. *Value <10 redacted to maintain confidentiality

Table 4. Odds Ratios of Complications Following Propensity-Score Match

	Odds Ratio of Complications after Propensity-Score Match	
	Adult Reconstruction Fellowship Trained	Non-Adult Reconstruction Fellowship Trained
90-Day Complications		
SSI	2.59	1.62-4.16
VTE	0.97	0.82-1.19
PPFX	1.31	1.06-1.62
PJI	1.12	0.86-2.01
Aseptic Revision	1.10	0.94-1.29
Aseptic Loosening	0.50	0.05-5.51
Dislocation	0.90	0.75-1.07
1-year Complications		
PPFX	1.31	1.09-1.58
PJI	1.16	0.81-1.66
Aseptic Revision	1.24	1.08-1.42
Aseptic Loosening	2.00	0.37-10.92
Dislocation	0.92	0.77-1.09
2-year Complications		
PPFX	1.20	1.02-1.42
PJI	1.27	0.90-1.78
Aseptic Revision	1.24	1.09-1.39
Aseptic Loosening	3.34	0.92-12.12
Dislocation	0.95	0.80-1.12

OR: Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval; SSI: Surgical Site Infection; VTE: Venous Thromboembolism; PPFX: Periprosthetic Fracture; PJI: Prosthetic Joint Infection.