

Risk Factors and Surgical Sequelae of Physeal Arrest in Pediatric Salter-Harris III and IV Medial Malleolus Fractures

Owen S Roth, Apeksha Gupta, Tolu Adebayo, Mikhail Tretiakov

INTRODUCTION: Pediatric medial malleolus fractures pose a risk for physeal bar formation and growth disturbances. This study aimed to determine the rate of physeal bar formation following Salter-Harris (SH) III or IV medial malleolus fractures and identify patient, fracture, and management factors predictive of bar formation. A secondary objective was to evaluate the rate of additional surgeries required in the event of physeal bar formation.

METHODS: A retrospective review was performed of 161 patients (age<16) with isolated medial malleolus or bimalleolar fractures. Fifty-six skeletally immature patients (39% female) with SH III or IV medial malleolus fractures and ≥6 months radiographic follow-up met inclusion criteria. Demographics, injury mechanism, fracture management, and secondary surgeries were recorded. Radiographs were analyzed for fracture displacement, SH classification, coronal plane physeal involvement, anterior and lateral distal tibial angles, post-reduction displacement, and physeal bar formation. Wilcoxon rank sum tests assessed statistical significance ($\alpha<0.05$).

RESULTS: Thirty-five isolated medial malleolus and 21 bimalleolar ankle fractures were identified (SH III=40, SH IV=16). Initial treatment was operative in 34 patients (60.7%), with greater fracture displacement (4.9mm vs. 2.4mm) and coronal plane physeal involvement (21.5% vs. 16.4%) being significant predictors of surgical management ($P < 0.05$). Physeal bars developed in 17 patients (30.4%), with a mean diagnosis time of 8.4 months. Patients with bar formation also presented with significantly greater fracture displacement (5.4 mm vs. 3.3 mm) and coronal plane physeal involvement (23.6% vs. 17.8%) ($P < 0.05$). No difference in bar formation rates was observed among other patient or fracture characteristics. Eight of 17 physeal bar patients (47%) required at least one secondary surgery, including bar resection (n=4), epiphysiodesis (n=7), and/or osteotomies (n=3).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: Pediatric periphyseal medial malleolus fractures carry high risk for physeal bar formation. Greater fracture displacement and coronal plane physeal involvement were significant predictors of initial surgical management and bar formation. Close radiographic monitoring of these high-risk fractures for at least one year following injury and attentive patient counseling on risk of secondary surgery is recommended for timely identification and intervention.

Table 1: Summary of Patient and Treatment Characteristics

Variable	No/66
Age at injury (years), Mean ± SD	11.8 ± 2.4
Sex	
Male	34 (60.7%)
Female	22 (39.3%)
Weight (kg), Mean ± SD	43.8 ± 14.0
Mechanism of Injury	
Sports	17 (28.4%)
Falls	16 (28.4%)
Traumatism	16 (28.4%)
Blunt trauma	7 (12.5%)
Fracture location	
Isolated Medial Malleolus	35 (62.3%)
Bimalleolar	21 (37.7%)
Salter-Harris Classification	
III	40 (71.2%)
IV	16 (28.8%)
Initial operative treatment	34 (60.7%)
Fixation type	
Wires	4 (11.7%)
Screws Only	28 (85.3%)
Plaster and Sutures	12 (30.3%)
Initial non-operative treatment	29 (49.3%)
Hardware removal	27 (59.4%)

Table 2: Factors Associated with Operative Versus Non-Operative Initial Treatment

Variable	Operative (n=34)	Non-operative (n=32)	P-value
Sex (male)	24 (70.6%)	11 (34.4%)	0.001
Weight (kg), Mean ± SD	45.1 ± 15.5	41.3 ± 11.3	0.401
Age at injury (years)	11.7 ± 2.1	10.4 ± 1.8	0.180
Initial displacement (mm)	4.9 ± 2.4	2.6 ± 2.0	0.002
Coronal plane physeal involvement (%)	21.4 ± 7.7	16.4 ± 8.1	0.024
ADTA (°)	81.4 ± 2.4	81.5 ± 1.8	0.702
LDTA (°)	89.6 ± 2.3	89.7 ± 2.0	0.900

ADTA, Anterior Distal Tibial Angle; LDTA, Lateral Distal Tibial Angle.

Table 3: Prediction of Physeal Bar Formation Based on Demographic Variables and Initial Treatment

Variable	Physeal bar present (n=17)	No physeal bar present (n=49)	P-value
Sex (male)	10	20	0.017
Weight (kg), Mean ± SD	48.4 ± 16.0	43.2 ± 13.3	0.197
Age at injury (years)	11.7 ± 2.7	11.0 ± 2.4	0.093
Time from injury to surgery	9.4 ± 4.8	6.2 ± 4.4	0.189
Initial operative treatment (n)	14	20	0.008
Mechanism of Injury			
Sports	7 (41.2%)	10 (35.3%)	
Falls	6 (35.3%)	10 (34.5%)	
Traumatism	4 (23.5%)	10 (34.2%)	
Blunt trauma	3 (17.6%)	11 (37.9%)	

Table 4: Prediction of Physeal Bar Formation Based on Radiographic Variables

Variable	Physeal bar present (n=17)	No physeal bar present (n=49)	P-value
Initial displacement (mm), Mean ± SD	5.4 ± 3.1	2.7 ± 2.3	0.003
Coronal plane physeal involvement (%)	23.6 ± 9.1	17.8 ± 7.1	0.022
ADTA (°)	83.4 ± 2.4	81.7 ± 1.7	0.491
LDTA (°)	90.1 ± 2.2	89.4 ± 2.1	0.204
Salter-Harris Classification	11 (64.7%)	29 (59.2%)	0.547
III	10	30	
IV	1	4	

ADTA, Anterior Distal Tibial Angle; LDTA, Lateral Distal Tibial Angle.

Table 5: Summary of Patients Who Underwent Secondary Surgeries for Management of Physeal Bar Formation

Patients	Age (years)	Sex	Indication	Time to procedure*	Procedure(s)
1	7.3	Male	Distraction	24	Distal tibia epiphysiodesis, tibia osteotomy, tibia osteotomy
2	8.5	Female	Distraction	38	Epiphyseal distal tibia and fibula osteotomy, tibia osteotomy
3	8.5	Female	Distraction	81	Physeal bar resection, tibia osteotomy, tibia osteotomy
4	8.5	Female	Distraction	86	Distal tibia and fibula epiphysiodesis, tibia osteotomy
5	13.7	Female	Distraction	—	Distal tibia epiphysiodesis
6	14.4	Female	Distraction	10	Physeal bar resection, epiphysiodesis
7	15.4	Female	Distraction	10	Distal tibia and fibula epiphysiodesis
8	15.4	Female	Distraction	13	Distal tibia and fibula epiphysiodesis
9	16.4	Female	Distraction	10	Physeal bar resection
10	16.4	Female	Distraction	13	Distal tibia and fibula epiphysiodesis

*Values represent months from injury.
Multiple procedures for individual patients are listed in separate rows.