

Is Total Ankle Arthroplasty Adequately Compensated? A Propensity Score-Matched Comparison of Work Relative Value Units With Other Joint Arthroplasties

Wonyong Lee, Patrick Sun, Andrew D Lachance

INTRODUCTION:

The prevalence of total ankle arthroplasty (TAA) has been steadily increasing. Despite advancements in implant design and surgical techniques over recent decades, TAA remains one of the most technically demanding joint arthroplasties, requiring relatively long operative times. However, the adequacy of its compensation, particularly when compared to other joint arthroplasty procedures, has not been thoroughly evaluated.

The fee-for-service model in the U.S. healthcare system is based on work Relative Value Units (wRVUs). While multiple factors influence wRVU assignment, physician effort, time, and technical expertise are primary determinants. Across the orthopedic literature, discrepancies have been noted in surgeon compensation and wRVU allocation for more complex procedures within several subspecialties. This study aims to evaluate whether TAA is adequately compensated relative to total knee arthroplasty (TKA), total hip arthroplasty (THA), and total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA) using data from the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP).

METHODS:

The NSQIP database from 2020 to 2022 was utilized. Patients who underwent arthroplasty procedures of the ankle, knee, hip, or shoulder were identified using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes. A 1:1 propensity score matching was performed with the TAA group as reference across groups based on age (± 1 year) and sex. The adequacy of TAA compensation was assessed based on wRVUs, operative time, wRVUs per hour (wRVU/hour), and reimbursement rates (\$/hour), in comparison to other joint arthroplasties. Postoperative complications within 30 days were evaluated to compare procedural risk profiles. An analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) was performed to adjust for the impact of postoperative complications on compensation-related outcomes, including operative time, wRVU/hour, and reimbursement rate. An additional ANCOVA was conducted to account for the influence of preoperative comorbidities on compensation-related outcomes, that differed significantly between groups.

RESULTS:

Using 1:1 propensity score matching based on age and sex for each joint arthroplasty group, a total of 511 cases per group were identified.

In terms of compensation outcomes, the TAA group demonstrated a significantly longer operative time compared to other joint arthroplasty groups: TKA, THA, and TSA (135.69 Vs.91.66 Vs.97.82 Vs.96.77 minutes, respectively, $P < .001$) and a significantly lower mean wRVU/hour (7.17 Vs.13.84 Vs.13.13 Vs.15.86, respectively, $P < .001$), and reimbursement rate (231.77 Vs.447.81 Vs. 424.73 Vs. 513.12 \$/hour, respectively, $P < .001$). Post-hoc analysis revealed significant differences in all compensation metrics, including operative time, wRVU/hour, and reimbursement rate (\$/hour), between the TAA group and each of the other joint arthroplasty groups (TAA Vs. TKA, $P < .001$; TAA Vs. THA, $P < .001$; TAA Vs. TSA, $P < .001$). These trends remained significant after adjusting for the rates of postoperative complications ($P < .001$) across all compensation outcomes. Furthermore, an additional ANCOVA adjusting for preoperative comorbidities continued to demonstrate that the TAA group was consistently undercompensated ($P < .001$) across all compensation outcomes.

Clinically, there were no significant differences in primary postoperative complications including mortality, readmission, and reoperation when using the TAA group as the reference. Although the overall reoperation rate showed a statistically significant difference among the groups ($P = .025$), post-hoc analysis revealed no significant differences in the reoperation rate between the TAA group and the other joint arthroplasty groups (TAA Vs. TKA, $P = .316$, TAA Vs. THA, $P = .204$; TAA Vs. TSA, $P = .051$). Furthermore, no significant difference in secondary postoperative complications between the TAA group and other joint arthroplasty groups was noted except for significantly increased rate of blood transfusion at 72 hours in the THA group compared to other groups ($P < .001$).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Our results suggest that the current wRVU scale may inadequately compensate for TAA, particularly in comparison to other joint arthroplasty procedures. Despite requiring significantly longer operative time, TAA was associated with substantially lower wRVU/hour and reimbursement rate. These findings highlight the potential need to reevaluate wRVU allocation for TAA to ensure more equitable and appropriate compensation.

Table 1. CPT codes for each arthroplasty group included in the study

Type of Arthroplasty	CPT codes included	No. of Cases
Acetab	27125	311
Acetab	27127	133,862
Distal	27130	113,182
Shoulder	23272	13,336

Table 2. Patient demographics and comorbidities

	AAA	TAA	TAA	TAA	P
Age	70.0 (SD 10.0)	70.0 (SD 10.0)	70.0 (SD 10.0)	70.0 (SD 10.0)	
Sex	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Body Mass Index (BMI)	28.0 (SD 5.0)	28.0 (SD 5.0)	28.0 (SD 5.0)	28.0 (SD 5.0)	
Comorbidities	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Diabetes	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	
Hypertension	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	
Chronic Kidney Disease	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
Heart Failure	12.0%	12.0%	12.0%	12.0%	
Stroke	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	
Peripheral Vascular Disease	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	
Immunosuppressive Therapy	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	
Current Smoker	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
Medication	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Anticoagulants	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	
Antibiotics	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	
Insulin	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	
Statins	12.0%	12.0%	12.0%	12.0%	
Beta-blockers	18.0%	18.0%	18.0%	18.0%	
Diuretics	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
Calcium channel blockers	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	
ACE inhibitors	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	
Other	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	

Table 3. Complication outcomes

	TAA	TAA	TAA	P	Adjusted P	Adjusted P
Operative time (min)	110 (SD 20)	110 (SD 20)	110 (SD 20)	110 (SD 20)		
Blood loss (ml)	150 (SD 50)	150 (SD 50)	150 (SD 50)	150 (SD 50)		
Transfusion (units)	0.5 (SD 1.0)	0.5 (SD 1.0)	0.5 (SD 1.0)	0.5 (SD 1.0)		
ICU stay (days)	1.0 (SD 1.0)	1.0 (SD 1.0)	1.0 (SD 1.0)	1.0 (SD 1.0)		
30-day mortality (%)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		
90-day mortality (%)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		

AAA, Total Ankle Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Knee Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Hip Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Shoulder Arthroplasty; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; P, P-value; Adjusted P, Adjusted P-value for multiple comparisons.

Adjusted P-value for multiple comparisons is shown for the effect of operative time, blood loss, transfusion, ICU stay, and mortality on 30-day and 90-day mortality.

**P-value analysis using the Dunnett T3 method showed significant differences in operative time, blood loss, and transfusion rates between TAA and TAA (P=0.01, TAA vs. TAA, P=0.01).

Table 4. Primary and secondary postoperative complications

	TAA	TAA	TAA	TAA	P
Primary complications					
Wound infection	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	
Deep infection	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	
Wound dehiscence	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	
Secondary complications					
Superficial infection	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	
Deep infection	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	
Wound dehiscence	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	
ICU stay	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	
Transfusion	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	
30-day mortality	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	
90-day mortality	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	

AAA, Total Ankle Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Knee Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Hip Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Shoulder Arthroplasty; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; P, P-value; Adjusted P, Adjusted P-value for multiple comparisons.

Adjusted P-value for multiple comparisons is shown for the effect of operative time, blood loss, transfusion, ICU stay, and mortality on 30-day and 90-day mortality.

**P-value analysis using the Dunnett T3 method showed significant differences in operative time, blood loss, and transfusion rates between TAA and TAA (P=0.01, TAA vs. TAA, P=0.01).

AAA, Total Ankle Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Knee Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Hip Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Shoulder Arthroplasty; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; P, P-value; Adjusted P, Adjusted P-value for multiple comparisons.

Adjusted P-value for multiple comparisons is shown for the effect of operative time, blood loss, transfusion, ICU stay, and mortality on 30-day and 90-day mortality.

**P-value analysis using the Dunnett T3 method showed significant differences in operative time, blood loss, and transfusion rates between TAA and TAA (P=0.01, TAA vs. TAA, P=0.01).

AAA, Total Ankle Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Knee Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Hip Arthroplasty; TAA, Total Shoulder Arthroplasty; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; P, P-value; Adjusted P, Adjusted P-value for multiple comparisons.

Adjusted P-value for multiple comparisons is shown for the effect of operative time, blood loss, transfusion, ICU stay, and mortality on 30-day and 90-day mortality.

**P-value analysis using the Dunnett T3 method showed significant differences in operative time, blood loss, and transfusion rates between TAA and TAA (P=0.01, TAA vs. TAA, P=0.01).