

Do Antibiotic Bead Pouches Prevent Surgical Site Infections and Complications in Patients with Severe Lower Extremity Open Fractures?

Colin Harrington¹, Umar Abbas Khan, Christina A Stennett², Nathan N O'Hara³, Sheila Sprague⁴, Lucas Scott Marchand⁵, Robert V O'Toole, Gerard Slobogean⁶, Mark Gage

¹United States Army, ²University of Maryland Baltimore, ³University of Maryland, ⁴McMaster University, ⁵University of Utah, ⁶University of Maryland School of Medicine

INTRODUCTION: Antibiotic-laden beads provide high, local concentrations of antibiotics and are placed during open fracture management surgery as a prophylactic measure to prevent subsequent infection. However, there is a paucity of contemporary literature evaluating the effects of antibiotic beads on SSI and unplanned reoperations. This study aimed to determine if wound management with antibiotic beads, compared to wound management without antibiotic beads would impact SSI and unplanned fracture-related reoperations. Our hypothesis is that the use of antibiotic beads would reduce surgical site infection and unplanned reoperations.

METHODS:

This cohort study included patients enrolled in the Aqueous-PREP or PREPARE trials with a single Gustilo-Anderson (GA) type III open fracture of the lower extremity. Our primary outcome was SSI within 90 days of initial surgery. The secondary outcomes included both SSI and unplanned reoperation for infection within one year of injury and adverse renal events following bead placement. We used propensity score matching to reduce bias related to several factors, including wound contamination and number of surgeries that may influence the use of antibiotic beads. We used conditional logistic regression to estimate odds ratios (ORs) for the association between antibiotic bead use and the study outcomes.

RESULTS:

Of 1,039 included patients, 106 (10%) received antibiotic beads comprised primarily of vancomycin (95%) and tobramycin (77%). In the propensity score matched control group without beads, 36 patients (34%) were initially treated with wound vacuum therapy. After propensity score matching, antibiotic beads showed a trend towards higher odds of SSI within 90 days of initial surgery (27% vs. 15%, OR 1.9, $p=0.055$). Bead use was associated with an increased odds of SSI within the year following injury (38% vs. 22%, OR 2.0, $p=0.02$) and an increased odds of unplanned reoperation for SSI (32% vs. 20%, OR 2.0, $p=0.03$). Bead use was not associated with adverse renal events.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

In this propensity matched analysis, patients with open lower extremity fractures treated with antibiotic beads had greater odds of SSI and unplanned reoperation for infection in the year following injury. These findings challenge the previously reported effectiveness of antibiotic-laden beads from retrospective studies. This contrasting result may be due to higher quality data in this prospective study or residual confounding due to using beads in riskier patients that may still persist even after propensity score matching. A randomized trial in this arena is warranted.