## Femoral and Tibial Fixation Combinations in Primary ACL Reconstruction: An Analysis of Revision Rates, Utilization Trends, and Patient-Reported Outcome Measures

Michael C Dean<sup>1</sup>, Jacob Francis Oeding, Janina Kaarre<sup>2</sup>, Eric Hamrin Senorski, Kristian Samuelsson<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Mayo Clinic Alix School of Medicine, <sup>2</sup>University of Pittsburgh, <sup>3</sup>Sahlgrenska University Hospital

INTRODUCTION: Previous literature has suggested that the method of graft fixation in primary anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction may impact graft stability and risk of revision, particularly in the early postoperative period. However, most previous studies have evaluated femoral and tibial fixation techniques separately and have not trended these techniques over time. Thus, the purpose of this study was to (1) investigate the association between femoral and tibial fixation combinations with rates of revision and patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) and (2) describe the temporal evolution of such fixation techniques.

## METHODS:

This study utilized the Swedish National Knee Ligament Registry (SNKLR) to identify patients aged >13 years who underwent primary hamstring tendon autograft ACL reconstruction between 2005 and 2018. Exclusion criteria included prior knee surgery, double-bundle ACL reconstruction, concomitant fracture (e.g., patella, femur, tibia, or fibula), other ligament injuries, and neurovascular injuries. Additionally, fixation methods exclusive to transtibial techniques (e.g., Rigidfix and Transfix) were excluded given the superiority of tibial-independent drilling techniques in restoring native ACL anatomy. Femoral fixation techniques included fixed suspensory fixation, adjustable suspensory fixation, and interference screw, and tibial fixation techniques encompassed these three techniques plus retroscrew and suture post. The primary outcome was the 2-year ipsilateral revision rate by different femoral and tibial fixation technique combinations. Secondarily, fixation combinations were trended over time, and PROMs were evaluated using the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS).

## **RESULTS:**

This study included 23,247 patients (56.3% men) with a mean  $\pm$  SD age of 27.2  $\pm$  10.4 years and BMI of 24.5  $\pm$  3.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Of these, 614 (2.6%) patients underwent revision ACL reconstruction within the 2-year study period. Regarding femoral fixation, fixed suspensory was the most common (53.8%), followed by adjustable suspensory (38.2%) and interference screw (8.02%). In contrast, interference screw (58.0%) was the most common fixation technique for tibial fixation, followed by suture post (24.4%), adjustable suspensory (16.9%), retroscrew (0.6%), and fixed suspensory (0.1%). Multivariable Cox regression analysis revealed the following femoral/tibial fixation combinations to be associated with significantly lower revision rates: fixed suspensory/interference screw (hazard ratio [95% CI] = 0.799 [0.672 - 0.949]; p = 0.011) and adjustable suspensory/interference screw (hazard ratio [95% CI] = 0.771 [0.624 - 0.951]; p = 0.016) (**Fig. 1**). Additionally, older age, female sex, longer period between injury and surgery, and greater diameter of the hamstring tendon autograft were associated with significantly lower revision rates (p < 0.05 for all). Moreover, different fixation techniques were associated with significantly different 2-year KOOS sports/recreation and QoL scores; specifically, tibial-sided interference screw was associated with significantly lower scores, while tibial-sided suture post resulted in significantly higher scores (Tables 2-3). Finally, the utilization of fixation combinations has evolved substantially over time, most notably with a decrease in femoral-sided fixed suspensory fixation in exchange for adjustable suspensory fixation (Fig. 2). **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:** 

## Although many combinations of femoral and tibial fixation devices were associated with similar 2-year revision rates

following primary ACL reconstruction, fixed suspensory (femoral-sided) / interference screw (tibial-sided) and adjustable suspensory (femoral-sided) / interference screw (tibial-sided) were associated with significantly lower revision rates. Interestingly, tibial-sided interference screw was associated with significantly lower KOOS sports/recreation and QoL scores, while tibial-sided suture post resulted in significantly higher scores. These findings should be interpreted in the context of evolving utilization trends identified in this study and may aid surgeons in selecting appropriate fixation devices ACL reconstruction.







