Prophylactic Cabling of the Femur in Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty Lowers the Risk of Vancouver B2 and B3 Fractures

Nihir Parikh, Michael B Held¹, Alan David Lam, Chad A Krueger

¹Columbia University

INTRODUCTION:

Periprosthetic femoral fractures (PPFx) represent one of the most common causes of revision total hip arthroplasty (rTHA). Among PPFx, Vancouver B2, B3, and C are more challenging to manage, often requiring a re-operation and stem revision. Cables have shown to reduce stem subsidence, fracture propagation, and stress during axial loading. However, there is a paucity of literature in the role of prophylactic cabling during rTHA. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to determine the acute PPFx rate and types of PPFx in rTHA for patients with prophylactic cables compared to those without.

METHODS:

This retrospective study identified all patients undergoing rTHA at a single institution. Current procedural terminology (CPT) codes and radiographic images were reviewed to group patients into the prophylactic cables or no cables cohorts. Primary outcome was the rate of acute PPFx (<30 days postoperatively). Secondary outcomes were the Vancouver classification subtype of PPFx, re-operations for PPFx, and all-cause re-revisions. RESULTS:

2977 patients were identified, 192 with prophylactic cables and 2785 without cables. There was no difference in acute PPFx rates between cables and no cables (1.56% vs. 2.08%, P=0.796). However, prophylactic cabling substantially lessened the more complex B2 and B3 fractures and re-operation rates. In the prophylactic cable group, 100% of fractures were B1 compared to 30-B1 (51.7%), 16-B2 (27.5%), 9-B3 (15.5%), and 3-C (5.2%) fractures in the no cables group. Re-operation rates for acute PPFx were significantly lower in the prophylactic cables cohort (33.3%) than in the no cables cohort (50.0%), P=0.022. All-cause re-revisions were also significantly lower in those with prophylactic cables (7.3% vs. 12.8%, P=0.038).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Prophylactic cabling for taper fluted, diaphyseal fitting stems protects against more complex Vancouver B2 and B3 fractures. During rTHA, surgeons should consider prophylactically cabling the femur to lessen the risk of re-operation and complex fractures. Table 1. Pr Number of Age Sex Female Male BMI (kg/m

1. Patient Demogra	aphics				Table 2. Cables vs. No Cables	Table 2. Cables vs. No Cables Outcomes					Table 3. Vancouver Classification of Periprosthetic Hip Fractures			
	Total Cohort	Cables	No Cables	P-value		Total Cohort	Cables	No Cables	P-value		Cables	No Cables	P-value	
er of Patients (N)	2977	192	2785		Number of Patients (N)	2977	192	2785		Total Number of Patients (N)	192	2785		
	673+119	71.4±11.5	67.0 ± 11.9	<0.001	Acute PPFx	61 (2.05%)	3 (1.56%)	58 (2.08%)	0.796	Number of Acute PPFx	3 (1.56%)	58 (2.08%)	0,796	
				0.313	Re-Operations of PPFx	30/61 (49,18%)	1/3 (33.33%)	29/58 (50.005)	0.022				0,790	
nale	1539 (51.7%%)	92 (47,9%)	1447 (52.0%)	4010	All-Cause Re-revisions	373 (12.5%)	14 (7.29%)	359 (12.89%)	0.038	B1 Fractures	3 (100.00%)	30 (51.73%)		
la	1438 (48.3%)	100 (52.1%)	1338 (48.0%)		90-Day Readmissions	333 (11.2%)	16 (8.33%)	317 (11.4%)	0.239	B2 Fractures	0 (0.00%)	16 (27.59%)		
kg/m²)	29.1 ± 5.85	29.5±6.35	29.0 ± 5.81	0.327	Days to Readmission	21.2 ± 13.3	31.4±22.5	18.4 ± 7.49	0.031	B3 Fractures	0 (0.00%)	9 (15.52%)	-	
	2.58 ± 0.71	2.71 ± 0.76	2.56 ± 0.71	<0.001		Values given as mean ± SD, N (%); Bold values indicate statistical significance (P<0.05); Acute PPFx,					0 (0.00%)	3 (5.17%)		
diusted CCI	4.00 ± 2.03	4.58 ± 1.93	3.95 ± 2.03	<0.001	Periprosthetic Fracture					Values given as mean ± SD, N (%); Bold values indicate statistical significance (p<0.05)				

n ± SD, N (%): Bold values indicate statistical significance (P<0.05); BMJ, body mass an Society of Anaesthesiologists physical status classification; Age-Adjusted CCI,