

# Clinical Practice Guidelines for Antimicrobial-Loaded Cements and Beads in Orthopedic Trauma and Arthroplasty

Aaron B Epperson<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed E Awad<sup>2</sup>, Nicholas Alfonso<sup>1</sup>, Jason W Stoneback<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Colorado SOM, <sup>2</sup>University of Colorado

## INTRODUCTION:

The utilization of hardware and implants within orthopedic trauma and arthroplasty surgery establishes a milieu conducive to bacterial adherence, biofilm formation, and subsequent infection development. Treatment of these infections often requires stability augmentation and dead-space management with antimicrobial-loaded bone cements (ABCs). Over the past several years, bone cement and beads impregnated with antibiotics have become popular in the treatment of infected orthopedic implants; however, the growing incidence of antimicrobial resistance has necessitated the exploration of alternative antibiotic medications, both as targeted and as broad-spectrum ABCs. When selecting the optimal antibiotic to incorporate into the spacer, many surgeons focus on gram-positive organisms as they predominate in skin flora and are notoriously pathogenic, thus rendering them a logical target for preventing infection in orthopedic procedures. However, some surgeons utilize a broad-spectrum treatment regimen to achieve theoretically superior treatment of infection with any combination of resident gram-positive, gram-negative, anaerobic, and fungal organisms that may have colonized a wound.

The following review will summarize antimicrobial choice and dosage for ABCs and beads in orthopedic trauma and arthroplasty. It will also include information, when available, regarding the elution kinetics of various drugs discussed when applied with dissolvable calcium sulfate (Stimulan<sup>TM</sup>), dissolvable calcium sulfate plus calcium phosphate (Cerament G<sup>TM</sup>), non-dissolvable Simplex<sup>TM</sup> High Viscosity (HV) (non-medicated Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA)), or non-dissolvable Simplex<sup>TM</sup> P (PMMA loaded with Tobramycin 1 gram).

This review has the following objectives concerning structure and content: 1) to provide practical instructions for the dosing administration of antimicrobials in the cement/beads, 2) to give options for the combination of two or more antibiotics/antifungals, and 3) to demonstrate clinical decision-making guidance for orthopedic surgeons in approaching the management of these complex infections.

## METHODS:

Relevant dosing, efficacy, and elution profiles were reviewed and compiled from 74 articles published between 1976 and 2019. First-line and targeted therapies were identified against rare and resistant bacteria. Drug therapies not recommended due to excessive cytotoxicity or poor delivery kinetics were also elucidated.

## RESULTS:

This reviewed covers thirty-seven antibiotic and eight antifungal medications.

The compilation describes thirty-two antibiotics and three antifungals that have been successful in managing orthopedic surgery-related infections, including infections with numerous recalcitrant and multidrug-resistant species. Optimized ratios of carrier to antimicrobial are provided for each delivery method. When available, the elution and efficacy profiles of the various antibiotics are described.

Vancomycin is perhaps the most commonly relied upon antibiotics both as monotherapy and in conjunction with other antibiotics. It is widely used to prevent and treat gram-positive intrawound infections due to its activity against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* spp. (CoNS), the most prevalent causes of FRI and PJI.

There are five antibiotics, ampicillin, amoxicillin/clavulanate, cefepime, oritavancin, and piperacillin/tazobactam, with poor elution characteristics or excessive cytotoxicity that are not recommended for treating bacterial FRI and PJI.

There are five antifungals, ampicillin, amoxicillin/clavulanate, cefepime, oritavancin, and piperacillin/tazobactam, with poor elution characteristics or excessive cytotoxicity that are not recommended for treating fungal FRI and PJI.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:** This review highlights the salience of antibiotic utilization in treating FRIs and PJIs. While first-line treatment modalities for use in targeted therapy against gram-positives and broad-spectrum empiric therapy are described, this paper also supports the necessity for a regimen tailored to the specific pathogens and sensitivities and provides a single compiled source for dosages of most available antimicrobials. Lastly, the delivery methods compatible with each drug were outlined.

These results encapsulate a useful set of clinical practice guidelines for antibiotic- and antifungal-loaded bone cements and beads to treat musculoskeletal infections. These recommendations are based on literature support through in vitro, in vivo, or case studies. With the ever-evolving propensity of bacteria to develop antibiotic resistance, these recommendations are dynamic; the state of the antibiotic profile limits some at the time of elucidation. Collaboration with medicine, infectious disease, and/or pharmacology teams is recommended in creating institutional protocols for antibiotic-eluting implants and close co-management of particular infections as needed to ensure patient safety and efficacy.

