## Healthcare Utilization and Costs in the Year Prior to and After Total Hip Arthroplasty

Elizabeth Abe<sup>1</sup>, Nihir Parikh, Daniel Nemirov<sup>2</sup>, Matthew Sherman<sup>1</sup>, Paul Maxwell Courtney, Chad A Krueger <sup>1</sup>Rothman Orthopaedic Institute, <sup>2</sup>Tjuh/Rothman

INTRODUCTION: In the era of value-based care (VBC), cost-reduction strategies for total hip arthroplasty (THA) have focused on surgical bundles and reductions in post-acute care spending. Few studies have evaluated the costs of non-operative treatment and the impact of THA as a cost-saving measure for longitudinal spending. The purpose of this study was to determine the costs of care for THA patients in the year before and year following THA.

This study reviewed a consecutive series of 12,240 primary THA patients from 2020-2023. Pre-operative healthcare utilization and associated costs were stratified by primary payer claims and included office visits, steroid injections, physical therapy (PT), x-rays, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRIs). Similarly, one year post-operative costs were calculated and included office visits, PT, x-rays, MRIs, emergency department (ED) visits, and revisions.

RESULTS: There were 5,394 (44.1%) patients with commercial insurance, 1,952 (15.9%) with Medicare Advantage, 4,615 (37.7%), with Medicare, and 279 (2.28%) self-pay. In the year before THA, the mean overall claims costs was \$317 $\pm$ 254, led by PT visits (\$421 $\pm$ 503), MRI (\$403 $\pm$ 271), office visits (\$222 $\pm$ 142), and corticosteroid injections (\$208 $\pm$ 141). There was no difference by payer (p=0.959). In the year following THA, the mean claims costs was \$262 $\pm$ 461, led by revisions (\$2,646 $\pm$ 2127), PT (\$550 $\pm$ 615), and ED visits (\$206 $\pm$ 65.4). Commercially insured patients had the highest costs amongst payers (p=0.007).

## **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:**

In the year following THA, patients had nearly \$100 less claims costs when compared to the costs of nonoperative treatment in the year prior to surgery. Policy makers should be aware of the cost-efficacy of THA when contemplating longitudinal VBC models for the management of osteoarthritis.

	Commercial	Medicare	Medicare	Self-Pay	p-value
		Advantage			
	(n=5,394)	(n=1,952)	(n=4,615)	(n=279)	
Age (Years)	59.2 ± 8.18	$73.0 \pm 7.24$	$72.7 \pm 6.73$	59.0 ± 7.44	< 0.001
Sex					< 0.001
Female	2483 (46.0%)	1152 (59.0%)	2801 (60.7%)	127 (45.5%)	
Male	2911 (54.0%)	800 (41.0%)	1814 (39.3%)	152 (54.5%)	
Race					< 0.001
White	4040 (74.9%)	1434 (73.5%)	3604 (78.1%)	222 (79.6%)	
Black	448 (8.31%)	198 (10.1%)	226 (4.90%)	6 (2.15%)	
Other	906 (16.8%)	320 (16.4%)	785 (17.0%)	51 (18.3%)	
Ethnicity					0.413
Non-Hispanic	5357 (99.3%)	1938 (99.3%)	4591 (99.5%)	276 (98.9%)	
Hispanic	37 (0.69%)	14 (0.72%)	24 (0.52%)	3 (1.08%)	
BMI (kg/m²)	$30.1 \pm 5.52$	$29.6 \pm 5.46$	$28.8 \pm 5.35$	$29.1 \pm 5.88$	< 0.001
CCI	$2.76 \pm 1.26$	$4.46 \pm 1.47$	$4.29 \pm 1.41$	$2.64 \pm 1.06$	< 0.001
ASA	$2.24 \pm 0.58$	$2.53 \pm 0.64$	$2.47 \pm 0.61$	$2.17 \pm 0.45$	< 0.001
Inpatient vs.					
Outpatient			Date - 201 - 1010		< 0.001
Inpatient	2508 (46.9%)	926 (47.8316)	2805 (61.2%)	172 (62.3%)	
Outpatient Laterality	2840 (53.1%)	1010 (52.2%)	1775 (38.8%)	104 (37.7%)	0.065
					0.065
Left	2526 (46.8%)	855 (43.8%)	2079 (45.0%)	135 (48.4%)	
Right	2868 (53.2%)	1097 (56.2%)	2536 (55.0%)	144 (51.6%)	
Secondary Payer					< 0.001
Commercial	0 (0.00%)	15 (0.77%)	3096 (67.1%)	198 (71.0%)	
Medicare Advantage	54 (1.00%)	0 (0.00%)	816 (17,7%)	11 (3.94%)	
Medicare	35 (0.65%)	2 (0.10%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Self-Pay	1815 (33.6%)	133 (6.81%)	164 (3.55%)	0 (0.00%)	
Preop HOOS-JR	48.4 ± 15.7	48.3 ± 15.4	$49.3 \pm 15.6$	51.8 ± 12.8	< 0.001
Preop SF-12 PCS	$32.8 \pm 8.19$	$33.4 \pm 8.27$	$32.5 \pm 8.17$	$32.0 \pm 8.19$	0.016

	Commercial	Medicare Advantage (n=1,952)	Medicare (n=4,615)	Self-Pay (n=279)	p-value
	(n=5,394)				
Steroid Injection	768 (14.2%)	294 (15.1%)	668 (14.5%)	35 (12.5%)	0.659
Number of Encounters	$1.29 \pm 0.57$	$1.30 \pm 0.63$	$1.33 \pm 0.60$	$1.20 \pm 0.53$	0.274
Steroid Cost (\$)	205 ± 139	211 ± 146	212 ± 143	$196 \pm 97.2$	0.911
MRI	13 (0.24%)	7 (0.36%)	9 (0.20%)	1 (0.36%)	0.622
Number of Encounters	$1.00 \pm 0.00$	$1.00 \pm 0.00$	$1.00 \pm 0.00$	1.00	1.00
Cost (\$)	$457 \pm 314$	$403 \pm 277$	$343 \pm 223$	252	0.842
Office Visits	3970 (73.6%)	1487 (76.2%)	3515 (76.2%)	206 (73.8%)	0.014
Number of Encounters	$1.56 \pm 0.84$	$1.55 \pm 0.86$	$1.55 \pm 0.86$	$1.49 \pm 0.79$	0.662
Cost (\$)	221 ± 125	$219 \pm 124$	225 ± 167	213 ± 116	0.832
PT	113 (2.09%)	42 (2.15%)	122 (2.64%)	10 (3.58%)	0.141
Number of Encounters	$4.51 \pm 4.34$	$3.83 \pm 3.29$	$4.07 \pm 4.89$	$4.70 \pm 4.81$	0.743
Cost (\$)	$427 \pm 439$	$375 \pm 317$	$423 \pm 601$	$533 \pm 535$	0.479
X-Ray	3404 (63.1%)	1268 (65.0%)	3036 (65.8%)	170 (60.9%)	0.023
Number of Encounters	$1.17 \pm 0.42$	$1.18 \pm 0.42$	$1.17 \pm 0.43$	$1.18 \pm 0.46$	0.859
Cost (\$)	$50.3 \pm 27.4$	$49.1 \pm 25.3$	$50.3 \pm 25.9$	$50.7 \pm 23.9$	0.706
Total Cost in Year Prior (S)	$315\pm239$	$312\pm224$	$322 \pm 279$	$316\pm267$	0.959
THA Surgeon Reimbursement Fee (\$)	5059 ± 1191	1611 ± 180	1559 ± 143	4707 ± 1351	<0.00

n=12,240)	Commercial (n=5,394)	Medicare Advantage (n=1,952)	Medicare (n=4,615)	Self-Pay (n=279)	p-value
MRI	4 (0.07%)	1 (0.05%)	3 (0.07%)	0	0.943
Number of Encounters	$1.00 \pm 0.00$	1.00	$1.33 \pm 0.58$		0.435
Cost (\$)	$797 \pm 173$	227	$320 \pm 126$		0.052
Office Visits	1435 (26.6%)	518 (26.5%)	943 (20.4%)	74 (26.5%)	< 0.001
Number of Encounters	$1.57 \pm 0.94$	$1.56 \pm 0.94$	$1.55 \pm 0.87$	$1.42 \pm 0.68$	0.788
Cost (\$)	$160 \pm 123$	156 ± 116	154 ± 112	$151 \pm 112$	0.618
PT	92 (1.71%)	35 (1.79%)	97 (2.10%)	9 (3.23%)	0.184
Number of Encounters	$7.55 \pm 9.00$	$5.00 \pm 4.25$	$6.62 \pm 7.06$	$5.67 \pm 5.17$	0.763
Cost (\$)	$733 \pm 774$	$372 \pm 296$	$456 \pm 450$	$375 \pm 333$	0.088
X-Ray	1605 (29.8%)	582 (29.8%)	1121 (24.3%)	79 (28.3%)	< 0.001
Number of Encounters	$1.68 \pm 0.83$	$1.73 \pm 0.86$	$1.66 \pm 0.86$	$1.58 \pm 0.78$	0.169
Cost (\$)	$85.5 \pm 81.5$	$70.3 \pm 38.5$	$65.6 \pm 36.4$	$74.8 \pm 43.3$	< 0.001
Emergency Department	9 (0.17%)	4 (0.20%)	5 (0.11%)	1 (0.36%)	0.625
Number of Encounters	$1.00 \pm 0.00$	$1.00 \pm 0.00$	$1.00 \pm 0.00$	1.00	1.00
Cost (\$)	244 + 63.6	167 + 39.9	165 + 54.5	220	0.027
Dislocation	1 (0.02%)	2 (0.10%)	0	0	0.116
Number of Encounters	1.00	$1.00 \pm 0.00$			
Cost (\$)	1265	$767 \pm 442$			0.221
Revision	21 (0.39%)	7 (0.36%)	13 (0.28%)	1 (0.36%)	0.862
Number of Encounters	$1.10 \pm 0.30$	$1.29 \pm 0.76$	$1.00 \pm 0.00$	1.00	0.610
Cost (\$)	$3785 \pm 2481$	$1629 \pm 310$	1454 ± 776	1323	0.023
Total Cost in Year After (\$)	$291 \pm 599$	$240 \pm 311$	$237 \pm 292$	$239 \pm 295$	0.007
Postop HOOS-JR	$76.2 \pm 19.5$	$73.5 \pm 19.4$	$75.3 \pm 19.0$	$80.4 \pm 18.4$	0.003
Postop SF-12 PCS	$42.3 \pm 8.31$	$41.2 \pm 8.35$	$41.7 \pm 8.87$	$43.2 \pm 7.65$	0.111
Δ HOOS-JR	$33.6 \pm 21.4$	$32.3 \pm 20.2$	$31.7 \pm 20.8$	$30.7 \pm 17.6$	0.153
Δ SF-12 PCS	$11.6 \pm 11.1$	$9.52 \pm 11.3$	$10.9 \pm 10.8$	$12.4 \pm 10.8$	0.271
Attained MCID at 1 Year	77.3%	76.2%	73.9%	72.2%	0.303