Improving Clinical Data Capture From Free-Text Operative Notes for Surgical Approach in Total Hip Arthroplasty Using a Deep Learning Model

Lefko Theo Charalambous¹, Kush Attal², Catherine Di Gangi, Daniel J Berry³, David G Lewallen³, Joshua Craig Rozell ¹NYU Langone Orthopedic Hospital, ²NYU Grossman School of Medicine, ³Mayo Clinic

INTRODUCTION: Annotating free-text clinical notes into structured data is critical for future large-scale data analysis in healthcare. Toward this goal, machine learning algorithms have been developed but are limited because they generally require (1) specific, context-independent training, (2) large datasets, and (3) do not give clinical justification for their classifications. Novel deep-learning large language models (LLMs) present an opportunity to prompt LLMs using few highly-specific expert-level examples and deploy them over numerous unstructured texts with high-fidelity classification. Annotating surgical approach in total hip arthroplasty (THA) has been a difficult data point to capture and validate using standing reporting from electronic health system reports. In this study, we developed a novel algorithm using OpenAI's GPT4 to capture and justify surgical approach.

METHODS: Using few-shot learning, GPT4 was prompted with 13 examples of "gold-standard" operative notes describing anterior, anterolateral, posterior, and lateral THA. Notes for 120 randomly-selected primary THAs performed at a single institution between April 2012 and February 2024 by 22 surgeons were collected. GPT4 then classified bearing used for each annotation with associated clinical justification.

RESULTS:

GPT4 classified surgical approach with an overall accuracy of 97.5% (Table 1). Regarding anterior and anterolateral THA, precision, recall, and f1 score were 100% for all. Regarding lateral THA, precision, recall, and f1 score were 90.9%, 100%, and 95.2%, respectively. For posterior THA, precision, recall, and f1 score were 100%, 90%, and 94.5%. The model also provided clinical justifications for every classification (Table 2) with an average Flesch-Kincaid Grade Level (FKGL) score of 24.42 (SD: 7.32) and average selfBLEU score, measuring diversity of logic, of 9.76*10⁻²³² (SD: <0.01) (Table 3). The justifications had a character-level sequence match of 96.47% (SD: 10.64%) with direct citations from the original notes. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: GPT4 captured the surgical approach documented in THA operative notes with high fidelity while providing clinical justification with text annotation. Clinical justification often highlighted detailed anatomy logic. Additionally, the low SelfBLEU score indicates substantial diversity across clinical reasoning, indicating the model utilized distinct logic to classify approach across a broad array of surgeons. Deep-learning LLMs are a potential alternative

to manual chart review in data capture and require fewer examples and offer more clinical context compared to traditional rule-based and machine learning algorithms. The current study validates the use of deep learning to not only extract data but also provide clinical justification in a quick, efficient method. Future applications of this model can be applied to larger datasets to improve clinical data capture.

ualasels			10		improve		lical	uala						capture	
	precision	recall	f1-score	Classification Anterolateral	Clinical Reasoning The input contains the phrase 'Anterior and posterior skin flaps were raised for exposure' which indicates an antero-]	Data Type GPT4 Clinical Reasoning	Average	caid Grade Level S.d. 7.32	SelfBLEU Average 9.76e-232	S.d.	Similarity S Average S 96.47 1			
ANTERIOR ANTEROLATERAL	$1.000 \\ 1.000$	$1.000 \\ 1.000$	$1.000 \\ 1.000$		lateral approach was performed. Additionally, the phrase 'Glu- teus medius was split in line with its fibers at the iunc-		Table 3. Readability, dive	rsity, and ali	gnment of the clini	ical justificatio	ons of th	he GPT4 mod	del		
LATERAL	0.909	1.000 1.000	0.952		tion of the anterior and middle thirds of the muscle belly' also suggests an anterolateral approach based on the spe-										
POSTERIOR	1.000	0.900	0.945	Anterior	cific anatomy being manipulated. The input contains the phrase 'incision of approximately 8	-									
accuracy macro avg	0.977	0.975	$0.975 \\ 0.975$		cm was carried out over the anterior aspect of the hip' which directly tells me that the surgery was performed in an										
weighted avg	0.977	0.975	0.975		anterior approach. In addition, the input contains the phrase 'blunt dissection over the medial aspect of the tensor										
Table 1. Performance of the GPT4 mode documented in THA operative notes	el on the test set fo	r data capture	e of surgical appro	ach	muscle belly', which based on human anatomy, involves cut- ting into muscles located on the front of the human body.										

Table 2. Clinical justification created by GPT4 to justify classification of the surgical approach used in specific THA operative notes. Bolded text represents approximate cliations from origin THA operative note.