Global Sagittal Alignment Variations with Body Mass Index in Patients Without Spine Deformity

Kareem Mohamed, Akiro H Duey¹, Alexander Yu, Mark Ambrose Kurapatti, Ryan Hoang, Yash Lahoti, Timothy Hoang, Junho Song², Samuel Q Li, Samuel Kang-Wook Cho

¹Icahn School of Medicine At Mount Sinai, ²Mount Sinai Hospital

INTRODUCTION: The significance of including the head and cervical spine in sagittal alignment evaluations has been increasingly recognized. There is limited research on the impact of body mass index (BMI) on global sagittal alignment. Exploring how BMI affects these global alignment parameters would provide a more comprehensive understanding of spine alignment variations across different body compositions.

METHODS: Consecutive patients at a single institution undergoing full-body spine imaging from November 2022 to February 2024 were included. Patients with spinal deformity, degenerative spine conditions, or prior spine surgeries were excluded. Radiographic parameters of global spinal alignment were measured including cranial sagittal vertical axis to the sacrum (CrSVA-S), to the hip (CrSVA-H), to the knee (CrSVA-K), to the ankle (CrSVA-A), cranium-hip-sacrum angle (CrHS), cranium-knee-sacrum (CrKS), and cranium-ankle-sacrum (CrAS) (Figure 1). The patients were stratified based on age by three categories (<40, 40-59, and \geq 60) and sex. Student's t-test was performed to compare differences in obese (\geq 30 kg/m2) and non-obese (<30 kg/m2) patients for groups defined by age and sex. Continuous variables were reported as the mean \pm standard deviation and categorical variables were reported as frequencies. For all analyses, a p-value less than 0.05 in a two-sided test was considered statistically significant. Multivariable regression analysis was performed with age and sex as predictors of the radiographic measurements (CrSVA-S, Cr-SVAH, CrSVA-K, CrSVA-A) and radiographic angles (CrKS, CrAS, CrHS). Beta coefficients for age and sex as predictors of the radiographic measurements for age and sex as predictors of the radiographic measurements as predictors of the radiographic parameters were reported for each model with 95% confidence intervals. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. RESULTS:

392 patients without spinal deformity, degenerative spine conditions, or prior spine surgeries were included. The average BMI was 26.22, with 21.2% of eligible patients classified as obese (Table 1). For females, significant differences were found in CrSVA-S for the 40-59 age group (higher in obese: 4.13 ± 2.66 cm vs. non-obese: 2.01 ± 2.69 cm), CrSVA-H for the 40-59 age group (higher in obese: -0.08 ± 1.68 cm vs. non-obese: -2.05 ± 2.65 cm), CrKS for the <40 (higher in obese: $2.22\pm4.08^{\circ}$ vs. non-obese: $0.027\pm2.55^{\circ}$) and 40-59 age groups (higher in obese: $3.16\pm2.62^{\circ}$ vs. non-obese: $0.98\pm2.30^{\circ}$), and CrAS for the <40 age group (higher in obese: $1.03\pm2.23^{\circ}$ vs. non-obese: $-0.09\pm1.50^{\circ}$). No significant differences were found in CrSVA-K, CrSVA-A, and CrHS across all age groups (Table 2). For males, significant differences were found in CrSVA-K for the ≥ 60 age group (higher in non-obese: 1.99 ± 3.60 cm vs. obese: -1.88 ± 6.33 cm), CrSVA-A for the ≥ 60 age group (higher in non-obese: 1.79 ± 4.93 cm), and CrAS for the <40 age group (higher in obese: $1.35\pm1.25^{\circ}$ vs. non-obese: $0.03\pm1.53^{\circ}$). For males, no significant differences were found in CrSVA-S, CrSVA-H, CrSVA-A (for <40 and 40-59 age groups), CrHS, and CrKS across all age groups (Table 3).

BMI has a statistically significant negative association with CrSVA-S (β = -0.082, p = 0.010) and CrSVA-H (β = -0.067, p = 0.036). BMI shows a significant positive association with CrHS (β = 0.176, p = 0.012), CrKS (β = 0.142, p < 0.001), and CrAS (β = 0.071, p < 0.001). The relationship between BMI and CrSVA-K is not significant (β = 0.058, p = 0.075), and BMI does not significantly affect CrSVA-A (β = 0.011, p = 0.708) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: The data presented in this study reveals the importance of considering BMI when evaluating global sagittal alignment parameters. These values can help establish normal values for measures of global spinal alignment based on BMI.

spinal	alignment	based										В						
Figure 1. Radiographic Points for Global Sagittal Alignment Parameters	Table 1. Demographic Characteristic	Table 2. A Comparison of Radiographic Parameters between Women with Body Mass Index <10 kg/m ² and \geq 10 kg/m ²					Table 3. A Comparison of Radiographic Parameters between Men with Body Mass Index <30 $\rm kg/m^2$ and 230 $\rm kg/m^2$						Table 4. Linear Representation Models for Radiographic Parameters with Male Sec, Age, and BMI as Predictors				and	
	Total N	392		Age Catcaper	Females with Body Mass Index <30	Females with Body Mass Index >30	P-value			Age Catorory	Males with Body Mass Index <30	Males with Body Mass Index 230	P-value			Beta Coefficient (95% CI)	Variable P-value	
And Andrews	Age (Years)	49.11±17.24		canging	kg/m² (n=41)	kg/m²(n+161)					kg/m ¹ (n=42)	kg/m ² (x=148)		CrSVA-S	Age	0.053 (0.034, 0.073)	<0.001	
	Age Category (Years)		CrSVA-S (cm)	<:40 40-59	1.63±2.82 2.01±2.69	2.9244.28 4.1312.66	0.220	CrSV			2.3743.19 3.2812.72	3.7242.17 2.9013.72	0.186 0.656		Male Sex	1.125 (0.466, 1.784)	-0.001	
	<40	138 (35.2%)		260	3.22±3.44	4.41::4.48	0.237			≥60 ≪40	5.8513.85	4.64:5.05	0.370	CrSVA-H	Body Mass Index	0.082 (0.020, 0.145) 0.026 (0.007, 0.046)	0.010	
A Distance of the second	40-59	128 (32.7%)	CrSVA-II (cn)	<00 40-59 >60	-1.92+2.72 -2.05+2.65 -1.99±3.43	-1.00+4.05 -0.061+2.68 -0.38±3.86	0.386 0.029 0.167	cisi.		40-59	-0.80±2.76	-1.4613.69	0.436 0.184	crossea	Male Sex	1.093 (0.429, 1.757)	0.001	
	≥60	126 (32.1%)	CrSVA-K (cm)	<40	2.14+2.98	2 23+4 21	0.961	Cr5V	-K (cm)	-42	1.5613.09	2.11:2.32	0.590		Body Mass Index	0.067 (0.004, 0.130)	0.036	
	Sex			40-59 260	1.16+3.22 -0.1413.40	1.68+3.22 0.1913.36	0.629 0.718			40-59 290	1.95+2.53 1.99+3.60	0.52+3.50 -1.88+6.33	0.071 0.008	Cr8VA-K	Age	-0.028 (-0.048, -0.008)	0.006	
and a second	Male	190 (48.5%)	CrSVA-A (cm)	<40	2.69±2.84	2.92±3.99	0.829	CrSV		<40 40.59	3.40×3.34 4.03+2.91	3.50±2.48 2.71±3.36	0.927 0.112		Male Sex	0.390 (-0.283, 1.063)	0.208	
	Female	202 (51.5%)		40-59 260	2.11+2.69 1.55+3.28	3.69+2.53 2.14+3.23	0.079 0.501			an 39 260	4.0342.91 4.2343.29	1.7914.93	0.046		Body Mass Index	-0.058 (-0.121, 0.006)	0.075	
	BMI (kg/m ²)	26.22±5.37	CrBS (*)	<40 40-59	16.12±7.81 18.96±6.22	19.51±8.04 21.57±8.91	0.211 0.246	CellS	0	<40 40-59	18.40+7.15 20.0917.92	18.03+4.82 20.9017.50	0.870 0.707	CrSVA-A	Aga	-0.005 (-0.024, 0.014)	0.599	
	Non-Obese (<30	309 (78.8%)		260	24,11+6.58	25.90+10.06	0.382				24.19±7.78	26.76±6.92	0.303		Male Sex Body Mass Index	1.349 (0.707, 1.974) -0.011 (-0.071, 0.048)	-0.001	
Address of the second sec	kg/m ²)		CrKS (*)	<40 40-59	0.027±2.55 0.98±2.50	2.22±4.08 3.16±2.62	0.026	CrKS		~40 40-59	1.3912.31 2.0412.52	2.1012.32 2.57±2.82	0.352 0.466	CrBS	Body Mass Index Age	0.180 (0.138, 0.223)	<0.001	
La san ter baran ter	Obese (≥30 kg/m²)	83 (21.2%)		≥60	3.61±3.14	4.94±3.21	0.116	CrAS		<60 <40	4.92±3.23 0.031±1.53	5.88+3.81	0.386	cius	Male Sex	0.907 (-0.541, 2.354)	0.219	
¥.			CrAS (*)	-:40 40-59	-0.091±1.50 0.28±1.52	1.03+2.23 0.65+2.11	0.047 0.498	CFAS		40-59 260	0.33+1.69 1.90+2.63	0.12+2.18 1.94+3.04	0.681 0.562		Body Mass Index	0.176 (0.039, 0.313)	0.012	
				≥60	1.55±2.07	2.49::2.24	0.097			397	1304245	1.9483.04	0.982	CrKS	Age	0.081 (0.065, 0.097)	<0.001	
															Male Sex	0.892 (0.349, 1.435)	0.001	
															Body Mass Index	0.142 (0.090, 0.193)	<0.001	

-0.022 (-0.407, 0.362) 0.908