

Severe Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis Worsens Patient-Reported Disability in Adult Spinal Deformity Patients

Mariah S Balmaceno-Criss, Manjot Singh, Andrew Xu, Mohammad Daher¹, Renaud Lafage², Stephen J Lewis³, Eric O Klineberg⁴, Robert Kenneth Eastlack, Munish C Gupta⁵, Gregory Michael Mundis, Jeffrey Gum⁶, D. Kojo Hamilton⁷, Richard A Hostin, Peter Gust Passias⁸, Themistocles Stavros Protosaltis⁹, Han Jo Kim, Christopher I Shaffrey¹⁰, Justin S Smith¹¹, Breton G Line¹², Lawrence G Lenke¹³, Christopher Ames, Douglas C Burton¹⁴, Robert Shay Bess, Virginie Lafage², Bassel Diebo, Alan Daniels¹, International Spine Study Group

¹Brown University, ²Lenox Hill Hospital, ³Tornoto Western Hospital, ⁴Uthealth Houston, ⁵Dept. of Orthopedics, ⁶Norton Leatherman Spine Center, ⁷University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, ⁸NY Spine Institute / NYU Medical Center-Hjd, ⁹NYU Hosptial For Joint Disorders, ¹⁰Duke University, ¹¹University of Virginia, ¹²Denver International Spine Center, ¹³Columbia University/Allen Hospital, ¹⁴Univ of Kansas Med Ctr

INTRODUCTION:

The complex interplay between lower extremity OA and sagittal alignment is of growing clinical interest. Here, we aim to quantify the sequential effects of lower extremity OA on PROMs in ASD patients. Our hypothesis is that hip and knee osteoarthritis (HOA and KOA) incrementally affect baseline patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) in adult spinal deformity (ASD) patients.

METHODS:

Patients with no prior thoracolumbar surgery, and baseline PROMs and standing radiographs were included. 2 independent reviewers graded OA with the Kellgren-Lawrence (KL) scale. Patients were categorized into **Spine** (KL <3 bilateral hips and knees), **Spine-Hip** (KL>3 bilateral hips, KL <3 bilateral knees), **Spine-Knee** (KL>3 bilateral knees, KL>3 bilateral hips), **Spine-Hip-Knee** (KL>3 bilateral hips and knees). Baseline demographics, spinopelvic alignment, and PROMs were compared. Multivariate linear regressions, using forward stepwise selection, assessed the incremental impact of demographic, radiographic parameters, and OA severity with significant Pearson correlation on each PROM.

RESULTS:

160 patients were included: 56 Spine, 32 Spine-Knee, 20 Spine-Hip, and 52 Spine-Hip-Knee. Spine-Hip-Knee patients were older (Spine=62.2, Spine-Knee=61.2, Spine-Hip=59.1, Spine-Hip-Knee=68.5; $p<0.001$) but similar in sex, comorbidities, and frailty (all $p>0.05$). Spine-Hip-Knee patients had higher SVA (50.0, 30.6, 60.5, 83.5; $p<0.001$), T1PA (25.2, 20.4, 20.3, 27.8; $p=0.004$), GSA (3.7, 2.3, 4.3, 7.5; $p<0.001$), and KA (0.0, 2.1, 2.9, 10.5; $p<0.001$). SRS total and VR12 PCS scores were similar but VR12-2b climbing stairs (1.73, 1.91, 1.55, 1.40, $p=0.014$) and SRS-8 back pain at rest (2.29, 2.84, 1.95, 2.71, $p=0.012$) were lower in Spine-Hip-Knee and Spine-Hip, respectively. ODI (42.75, 35.88, 50.30, 44.59, $p=0.040$) and ODI Pain (2.88, 1.84, 2.90, 2.46, $p=0.019$) were higher in Spine-Hip patients. ODI lifting was higher in patients with hip pathology but not significant (2.95, 2.69, 3.45, 3.35, $p=0.055$). In multivariate analyses, KOA increased the prediction of ODI pain from R^2 0.052 to 0.086 and SRS-8 from R^2 0.077 to 0.147. HOA increased the prediction of VR12-2b from R^2 0.113 to 0.140 and ODI Lifting from R^2 0.175 to 0.202. Frailty significantly influenced PROMs across all evaluated models ($p<0.001$) and GSA improved models for ODI, ODI pain, and VR12 question 2b scores (all $p<0.05$).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Severe hip and knee osteoarthritis worsen patient-reported disability and physical function in ASD patients. These results quantify the impact of lower extremity arthritis on patient reported outcomes, and highlight the need for integrated assessment and management of both spinal alignment and joint health in patients.

PROM	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
ODI	R ² 0.295 Frailty (B: 4.321, SE: 0.532, p<0.001)	R ² 0.310 Frailty (B: 4.066, SE: 0.541, p<0.001) GSA (B: 0.521, SE: 0.253, p=0.041)		
ODI Pain	R ² 0.052 Frailty (B: 0.163, SE: 0.053, p=0.002)	R ² 0.086 Frailty (B: 0.173, SE: 0.052, p=0.001) Knee OA (B: -0.206, SE: 0.079, p=0.010)	R ² 0.116 Frailty (B: 0.145, SE: 0.052, p=0.006) Knee OA (B: -0.247, SE: 0.080, p=0.002) GSA (B: 0.062, SE: 0.025, p=0.015)	
ODI Lifting	R ² 0.143 Frailty (B: 0.206, SE: 0.040, p<0.001)	R ² 0.175 Frailty (B: 0.210, SE: 0.226, p<0.001) Gender (B: 0.527, SE: 0.212, p=0.014)	R ² 0.202 Frailty (B: 0.198, SE: 0.039, p<0.001) Gender (B: 0.615, SE: 0.213, p=0.004) Hip OA (B: 0.163, SE: 0.072, p=0.025)	
VR12-2b	R ² 0.113 Frailty (B: -0.101, SE: 0.012, p<0.001)	R ² 0.140 Frailty (B: -0.091, SE: 0.012, p<0.001) Hip OA (B: -0.099, SE: 0.024, p<0.001)	R ² 0.148 Frailty (B: -0.082, SE: 0.013, p<0.001) Hip OA (B: -0.078, SE: 0.025, p=0.002) GSA (B: -0.012, SE: 0.005, p=0.026)	R ² 0.155 Frailty (B: -0.081, SE: 0.013, p<0.001) Hip OA (B: -0.074, SE: 0.025, p=0.003) GSA (B: -0.045, SE: 0.016, p=0.004) SVA (B: 0.003, SE: 0.001, p=0.023)
SRS-8	R ² 0.077 Frailty (B: -0.138, SE: 0.038, p<0.001)	R ² 0.147 Frailty (B: -0.148, SE: 0.037, p<0.001) Knee OA (B: 0.203, SE: 0.056, p<0.001)		

Table: Results from multivariate linear regression with forward selection. Variables listed are associated with each sequential model.