Is Penicillin Allergy a Clinical Problem? A Systematic Review of Total Joint Arthroplasty **Procedures with Implications for Patient Safety and Antibiotic Stewardship**

Joshua Porto, Monish Sai Lavu, Christian Joseph Hecht, Ryan McNassor, Robert John Burkhart, Atul F Kamath¹ Cleveland Clinic

INTRODUCTION:

Patients undergoing total joint arthroplasty (TJA) who report penicillin allergy (PA) are frequently administered second-line antibiotics, though recent evidence suggests this may be unnecessary and could increase infection risk. Many institutions have aimed to improve antibiotic deployment via allergy testing and screening; however, there is little standardization to this process. This review aimed to evaluate: 1) antibiotic selection in patients who report PA and assess the impact of antibiotic stewardship interventions, 2) rates of allergic reactions in patients with a reported PA, and 3) the association between reported PA and stewardship programs and odds of surgical site infection (SSI) or periprosthetic joint infection (PJI).

METHODS:

PubMed, EBSCOhost, and Google Scholar electronic databases were searched on February 4, 2023 to identify all studies published since January 1, 2000, that evaluated the impact of PA on patients undergoing TJA (PROSPERO study protocol registration: CRD42023394031). Articles were included if full-text manuscripts in English were available and the study analyzed the impact of PA and related stewardship on patients undergoing total hip or knee arthroplasty.

RESULTS: Eleven studies evaluating 1,276,663 patients were included (Table 1). All six studies reporting found that PA screening and testing increase the use of first-line antibiotics (Table 2). Also, the frequency of allergic reaction for patients with a reported PA receiving cephalosporins was between 0-2% (Table 3). Although there were mixed findings across studies, there was a trend toward second-line antibiotic prophylaxis being associated with a slightly higher rate of SSI and PJI in PA patients (Table 4).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: The studies analyzed in this review showed that patients who report PA are less likely to receive cephalosporins, are frequently categorized as high-risk for cross-reaction, and seldom demonstrate a true allergy upon testing. Furthermore, several institutions achieved demonstrable increases in cephalosporin usage following implementation of antibiotic stewardship interventions, which included check-in questionnaires, medical record screens, PA testing, perioperative "test doses" of cephalosporin agents, and institutional guideline changes. Future research on the efficacy of allergy screening and testing programs, as well as their impact on patient outcomes, can help guide decision makers in determining appropriate interventions at their institutions auidelines.

Study (year)	Design	Sample size (n)	Study Period	Source of Data	Procedure	Antibiotics Used	MINORS Score
Wyles et al. 2019[37]	Retrospective	29,695	2004- 2017	Single Institution	тна,тка	Cefazolin (94.9%) vs Vancomycin Clindamycin (5.1%)	19
Goh et al. 2021[7]	Prospective	4,156	2019- 2020	Single Institution	THA,TKA	Cefazolin (89.6%) vs Vancomycin/Clindamycin (10.4%)	23
Jones et al. 2021[11]	Retrospective	180	2017- 2019	Single Institution	тна,тка	Cefazolin (+/- vancomycin for MRSA risk): 72.7% vs vancomycin monotherapy: 27.3%	21
Haslam et al. 2012 [8]	Retrospective	1,962	2007- 2010	Single Institution	тна,тка	Cefazolin (87.1%) vs other (12.9%)	17
Kurcz et al. 2023 [14]	Retrospective	2,284	2014- 2017	Single Institution	тна,тка	Cefazolin (92.8%) vs other (7.2%)	18
Wu et al. 2020 [36]	Retrospective	1,197,814	2010- 2018	PearlDiver	THA,TKA	N/A	19
Tan et al. 2016 [32]	Retrospective	10,391	2005- 2014	Two Institutions	THA,TKA	Cefazolin (74.4%) vs Vancomycin (25.6%)	20
McDanel et al. 2017 [22]	Retrospective	363	2013- 2015	Single Institution	тна,тка	Cefazolin in regimen (84.6%) vs no cefazolin in regimen (15.4%)	20
Ponce et al. 2014 [26]	Retrospective	18,830	2005- 2009	SCIP and VASQIP	тна,тка	Cefazolin (81.9%), vancomycin (8.0%, cefazolin + vancomycin (5.6%), clindamycin (4.5%)	19
Stone et al. 2019 [31]	Retrospective	4,903	2005- 2017	Single Institution	тна,тка	Cefazolin (80.6%), clindamycin (14.0%), vancomycin (5.4%)	18
Stevoska et al. 2022 [30]	Retrospective	6,085	2011- 2020	Single Institution	тна,тка	Cefuroxime (94.0%) vs. clindamycin, ciprofloxacin, vancomycin (6.0%)	19

Study	Method of Screening for Allergy	Key Findings	True PA/ High-Risk Rate from Reported Allergies	
Vyles et al. 019[37]	Patients reporting (rephalosperin allergy given preoperative allergy test	Out of 28,174 TJAs, 11.5% had preoperative allergy stessing (3,411 patients). Among the 2,576 wasted passens, 646 § 3,278 were not cleared for explaitoporties, while 646 § 3,278 were not cleared for explaitoporties, while 646 § 3,478 were proposed to the control of the contro	3.2%	
Jones et al. 1021[11]	Stewardship program: identification of high-risk patients and referral to allergy, immunology, and theumatology	Out of 90 patients reporting allergies in the intervention group, 12 reported sorree praction altergies. Four of them were referred to allergy, and three hald they per removed from their chart. One patient had interference to association or call challenge, but all flow patients reveived ordination for their procedure. After the intervention, patients were much more likely energy for their their property prophylaxis (91%) compared to before (54%).		
4cDanel al. 2017 [22]	TIA patients with reported beta-lactan allengy history were referred for skin testing via electronic alert	An electronic elect was sent for 3d painers, of which 161 (44%) painers were appropriately evaluated as the day allerey classic (AOC, 148 (17%) pointers received personal and as the present son instance, with 12 yr 0-97%) negatives to true allerey. DAC versional quients received effected more of the (95%) than non-DAC patients (77%), using fewer new level for the company of the property of the p	0.7%	
ioh et al. 1021[7]	Questionnaire to determine high eisk (receive vancomyoin or chindamyoin) and low-nisk patients (receive cefazolin) for an IgE-mediated reaction	In the protocol group with the questionnairs, 17.2% self- reported a PA, and 31.1% of those were high-rule. The centred group had 17.2% of gathers with reported PA in the EMR. Multireasian expression showed 3 times general cephalospoein use in the protocol group than the control group.	33%*	
indam et d. 2012 [8]	IgE-modiated reaction history retrospectively queried for patients reporting PA	Out of all the patients, 9.9% reported having a PA. Various allergic rections, including 1g6 mediated reactions such as anapholass, utilization, agricultural, consequence, consequence, utilization by 23% of patients. Cefacolas is autilization to forcer patients who reported a PA to at (27%) compared to non-allergic patients (93%).	25%*	
Stone et al. 2019 [31]	Retrospective classification of PA into tiers of severity	16.2% of patients reported a PA. These allergies were classified into three tiers based on the severity of the reaction reported (1-ligh-mediated allergie response, hypersensivity; rescricted, 2-ligh-mediated allergie response, Physicsens were reactioned in tige 1 and 11.2% were reactive to the response of the reaction of the response of the reaction of the response of the respon	77%*	

Study	Key Findings			
Goh et al. 2021[7]	After implementing the protocol, the rate of advense reactions did not vary. Most allergic reactions were due to critarion administration. Out of the 239 patients (66.9%) placed in the low-risk category critarion (and administration of all Off these patients, 256.0%) polaread the exclusion without any problems, while there experienced allergic reactions (all $\underline{\mathbf{mide}}$.)). This resulted in a cross-reactivity most of 1.9%			
Jones et al. 2021[11]	2% (2/10) of the patients with documented PA receiving enfancian had an adverse reaction and received diphenly/dramine for inching. This was likely not related to enfanolin administration. Note of the patients with documented servere PA experimented allergic reactions following cefuzolin administration.			
Haslam et al. 2012 [8]	Cefazolis was not administered to any patient who reported an IgE-mediated reaction. Furthermore, none of the patients who were allergic to but did not have IgE-mediated reactions experienced adverse reactions after receiving cefazolis.			
Kurcz et al. 2023 [14]	Among all patients, 0.5% experienced allergic resctions. Of those who received critizable, 0.4% experienced all arbitrars reaction, congrued to 1.0% of those who received a second-line ambitrary control as a condition of the control of the condition of the control of the condition of the control of the condition of the condition of the condition for age, except an allerdown control districts. Only 1.0,0% of 1.01 patients with a reported PA receiving critizable experienced in different conditions.			
Stone et al. 2019 [31]	The majority of patients who reposted having a FA were administered second-line autilitotics. 50.7% were given clinkappein, 12.4% were given vancouppein, and 6.9% were given clinkalpein, 12.4% were given criticals. Among a lower who were good craduals, 3.5-5% has deperimented a previous to 2 or 3 periodial reactions. Notably, some of the patients who reposted a FA and received eclarism had an allergic reaction to the state of the patients of the patients who reposted a FA and received eclarism had not allergic reaction to			
Stevoska et al. 2022 [30]				