Higher Perioperative Costs of Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion in Patients Aged 66 and Older: A Retrospective Analysis of 10 Years of Data from an Urban Academic Institution

Katrina Nietsch, Bashar Zaidat, Zachary S Gallate, Timothy Hoang, Jonathan Gal¹, Jun Sup Kim², Samuel Kang-Wook Cho

¹Icahn School of Medicine At Mount Sinai, ²Mt Sinai School of Medicine Affl Hosps INTRODUCTION:

While the cost-effectiveness of spine surgery has been studied extensively, the sources of these perioperative costs to elderly patients undergoing anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) procedures are yet to be studied. The purpose of this study is to elucidate the types of direct costs to better evaluate the financial repercussions for elderly patients using data from a high-volume urban academic hospital with a diverse patient population. The primary objective is to compare these subcategories between patients aged 18-35 and patients aged 66 and older who underwent ACDF. These subtypes of perioperative costs include the total direct cost, surgical direct cost, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) direct cost, laboratory direct cost, imaging direct cost, blood bank direct cost, pharmacy direct cost, Emergency Department (ED) direct cost, and rehabilitation direct cost. A granular assessment of factors associated with increased perioperative expenditures will yield a future of health care that is more equitable for patients regardless of age.

METHODS: A retrospective analysis was performed using data from a single urban institution from 2008 to 2019. A total of 2,231 patients, aged 18 and above, were identified from the institutional database using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes 22551, 22552, and 22554. Exclusion criteria included patients aged 17 and younger, patients who underwent a non-elective procedure, and patients who underwent a procedure utilizing the posterior approach. Patients were divided into four cohorts: ages 18-35 (n=156), ages 36-50 (n=850), ages 51-65 (n=923), and ages 66 and older (n=302). Patient demographics, perioperative variables, and postoperative outcomes were compared using an unadjusted chi-squared analysis and student's t-test. Multivariable linear regression was then performed. Statistically significant p-values were less than 0.05.

RESULTS:

Univariate direct analysis showed that compared to the 18-35 year-old cohort, the 66+ year-old cohort had a higher prevalence of diabetes (p < 0.001), a higher prevalence of hypertension (p < 0.001), and a higher Elixhauser Comorbidity Index (ELIX) score (p = 0.002) (Table 1). The older cohort also had longer surgery times (p < 0.001), higher estimated blood loss (p = 0.034), and more segments fused (p < 0.001) (Table 2). There was no statistically significant difference in length of stay or Body Mass Index (BMI) between the older cohort and the younger cohorts (Table 2). Multivariable linear regression analysis revealed significant increases in total direct costs (Beta Coefficient: \$1,803.47, Confidence Interval (CI): \$985.06-\$2,621.87, p < 0.001), Surgery Direct Costs (Beta Coefficient: \$845.79, CI: \$285.74-\$1,405.83, p = 0.003), and Rehabilitation Direct Costs (Beta Coefficient: \$29.06, CI: \$9.87-\$48.25, p = 0.003) but a significant decrease in ED Direct Costs (Beta Coefficient: \$-5.42, CI: \$-10.07-\$-0.78, p = 0.022) as predicted by an increase in age (Table 3). No significant difference was seen in costs of ICU services, laboratory tests, imaging, blood bank services, or pharmacy services (all p > 0.05). Table 3 summarizes these results. As expected, univariate analysis also showed the 66+ year-old cohort had significantly higher total direct costs (p < 0.001), surgery direct costs (p < 0.001), and rehabilitation direct costs (p < 0.001), rable 4). No significant differences were found in costs of ICU, laboratory tests, imaging, blood bank services, pharmacy services, or ED services (all p > 0.05) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Patients aged 66 and older undergoing ACDF have higher total direct costs than younger patients due to increases in surgery direct costs and rehabilitation direct costs. While length of surgery increased with patients' age, length of stay and BMI did not differ between age-stratified cohorts. Our results suggest that the intraoperative factors are the highest drivers of cost for the elderly population and the evidence shows these differences become more pronounced as patients age. As the first study of this kind to adequately scrutinize subgroups attributing to total direct cost, a more discrete examination of age-related differences may allow for better resource utilization both intraoperatively and postoperatively.

ally significant p-values are identified it	1 bold.	parentheses, as applicable. Statistic	ally significant p-values are identified in b
Mean (SD)	p-value		
			Mean (SD)
	ref.		
64 (7.5%)	0.136		30.9 (3.5)
171 (18.5%)	<0.001		44.2 (4.1)
84 (27.8%)	<0.001		57.2 (4.2)
			71.6 (5.0)
	ref.		
187 (22.0%)	<0.001		134.1 (50.3)
404 (43.8%)	<0.001		145.9 (55.9)
207 (68.5%)	<0.001		156.5 (60.3)
			168.7 (70.1)
			43.2 (63.9)
		Age 36-50	51.4 (69.1)
23 (14.7%)	ref.		58.5 (83.6)
124 (14.656)	0.681	Age 66+	58.5 (77.0)
145 (15.7%)	0.189	Length of Stay (days)	
29 (9.6%)	0.002	Age 19-25	1.3 (0.6)
		Age 36-50	1.3 (0.8)
		Age 51-65	1.5 (1.1)
		Age 66+	2.0 (5.4)
	ref.	Segments	
		Age 19-25	2.4 (0.55)
		Age 36-50	2.73 (0.7)
185 (61.3%)	0.002		2,94 (0,74)
			2,95 (0.82)
		BMI	
	ref.	Apr 19-25	27.0 (6.35)
		Apr 36-50	28.36 (5.67)
113 (12.2%)	0.189		28.43 (5.84)
35 (11.6%)	0.002	Age 66+	26.75 (4.95)
8 (5.1%)	ref.		
51 (6.0%)	0.681		
	Men (SD) 6 (135) 6 (135) 6 (175) 17 (115) 11 (175) 18 (123%) 11 (175) 19 (125%) 11 (175) 207 (68.5%) 207 (68.5%) 207 (68.5%) 207 (68.5%) 207 (125%) 207 (125%) 207 (125%) 207 (125%) 207 (125%) 207 (125%) 207 (125%) 216 (135%) 208 (125%) 216 (135%) 208 (125%) 216 (135%) 208 (125%) 216 (135%) 208 (125%) 216 (135%) 208 (125%) 215 (135%) 208 (125%) 215 (135%) 208 (125%) 216 (135%) 208 (125%) 216 (135%) 208 (135%) 216 (135%) 208 (135%) 216 (135%) 208 (135%) 216 (135%) 208 (135%) 216 (135%) 208 (135%) 216 (135%) 208 (135%) 216 (135%)	Basel Description 6 (3.50) ref. 4 (7.53) 0.156 11 (153) -0.001 17 (153) -0.001 17 (153) -0.001 17 (153) -0.001 17 (153) -0.001 17 (153) -0.001 20 (16275) -0.001 21 (16275) -0.001 12 (16275) 0.019 12 (16275) 0.019 12 (16275) 0.019 12 (16275) 0.019 12 (16275) 0.019 12 (16275) 0.019 12 (16275) 0.019 12 (16275) 0.019 12 (16275) 0.019 12 (16275) 0.021 15 (01275) 0.021 15 (01275) 0.021 15 (01275) 0.021 15 (01275) 0.021 15 (01275) 0.021 15 (01275) 0.021 15 (01275) 0.021 15 (01275) 0.021 1	Man (50) p-system 4 (355) rf 4 (47,55) 0.156 11 (1253) 4.00 11 (1253) 4.00 11 (1253) 4.00 11 (1253) 4.00 11 (1253) 4.00 11 (1253) 4.00 20 (1254) off 21 (1255) 4.00 22 (1255) 4.00 23 (1255) 4.00 24 (1355) 4.00 23 (1255) 4.00 24 (1355) 0.00 24 (1355) 0.00 24 (1355) 0.00 25 (125) 0.00 24 (1355) 0.00 25 (125) 0.00 26 (155) 0.00 26 (155) 0.00 26 (155) 0.00 26 (155) 0.00 26 (155) 0.00 26 (155) 0.00 26 (155) 0.00 27 (1252) 0.01 12 (1253) 0.02

المالي
الم

pharmacy, ED stay, and rehabilitation betw	een four different age cohorts (ages 18-35, ages 36-50, ager	51-65, and ages 66
and older). Bold text indicates statistical si	prificance, p < 0.05. Abbreviations: Anterior Cervical Disc	ectomy and Fusion
(ACDF), Confidence Interval (CI), Intensi	re Care Unit (ICU), Emergency Department (ED).	
ACDF Cost Variable	Dallar Change, § (95% CI)	p-vali
Total Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	ref.	
Age 36-50	\$43.46 (-5678.37, \$765.29)	0.90
Age 51-65	\$588.76 (-\$136.06, \$1313.58)	0.11
Age 66+	\$1803.47 (\$\$65.06, \$2621.87)	<0.00
Surgery Direct Cast		
Age 19-25	ref.	
Age 36-50	\$54.94 (-\$429.01, \$558.90)	0.75
Age 51-65	5402.97 (-593.03, 5998.96)	0.11
Age 66+	\$845.79 (\$285.74, \$1415.83)	0.00
ICU Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	ref.	
Age 36-50	5-39.79 (-\$195.38, \$115.79)	0.61
Age 51-65	5-4.11 (-5160.33, 5152.12)	0.95
Age 66+	\$103.48 (\$-72.92, \$279.87)	0.3
Laboratory Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	ref.	
Age 36-50	\$-2.77 (-518.03, 512.45)	0.72
Age 31-63	5-0.24 (-513.26, 513.09)	0.97
Age 66+	\$10.88 (\$-5.42, \$28.17)	0.21
Imaging Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	ref.	
Age 36-50	\$-8.57 (-\$25.18, \$8.05)	0.31
Age 51-65	\$-6.39 (-\$23.07, \$10.30)	0.45
Age 66+	\$8.95 (\$-9.89, \$27.79)	0.35
Blood Bank Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	ref.	
Age 36-50	\$-0.49 (-\$13.07, \$12.09)	0.93
Age 51-65	\$-0.26 (-\$12.50, \$12.37)	0.96
Age 66+	\$3.21 (\$-11.06, \$17.48)	0.65
Pharmacy Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	ref.	
Age 36-50	\$-1.08 (-\$130.30, \$128.15)	0.98
Age 51-65	\$27.73 (-\$102.03, \$157.49)	0.61
Age 661	\$128.65 (\$-17.86, \$275.17)	0.08
ED Direct Cast		
Age 19-25	Per l	
Age 36-50	\$-5.97 (-\$10.07, \$-1.87)	0.00
Age 51-65	\$-6.83 (-\$10.94, \$-2.71)	-9.00
Age 66+	\$-5.42 (\$-10.07, \$-0.78)	0.03
Rehabilitation Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	ref.	
Age 36-50	\$-9.08 (-\$26.01, \$7.84)	0.25
Aug 51-65	\$11.99 (-\$5.00, \$28.99)	0.16
Age 661	\$29.06 (\$9.87, \$48.25)	0.00

Table 4. Effect of Age on Total Direct Cost and Cost Breakdown Categories for Anterior Cervical Discertomy Pasies. Universitate analysis was used to compare total direct cost associated with ACDF, and direct costs arrithmed to surgary, ICU size, laboratory tons, imaging, blood back militarian, pharmacy, IEU size, and relabilization between for different age costs (ages 18-25, ages 35-30, ages 31-65, and ages 66 and older). Bold test induces statistical

ACDF Cost Variable	Dollar Amount, § (95% CI)	p-vala
Total Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	\$9675.80 (\$2865.30)	ret
Age 36-50	\$10816.50 (\$3476.70)	<0.00
Age 51-65	\$12150.30 (\$4187.40)	<0.00
Age 66+	\$13336.80 (\$8956.41)	<0.00
Sargery Direct Cest		
Age 19-25	\$8109.95 (\$2655.95)	ret
Age 36-50	\$9154.71 (\$3300.89)	<0.00
Age 51-65	\$10201.06 (\$3850.86)	<0.00
Age 66+	\$10610.54 (54226.93)	<0.00
ICU Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	\$47.72 (\$340.38)	ret
Age 36-50	\$20.99 (\$178.97)	0.140
Age 51-65	\$68.04 (\$541.66)	0.6
Age 66+	\$177.76 (\$2159.02)	0.45
Laboratory Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	\$39.89 (\$33.90)	ret
Age 36-50	\$39.48 (\$33.56)	0.89
Age 51-60	\$43.83 (\$59.47)	0.42
Age 66+	\$55.42 (\$201.63)	0.3
Imaging Direct Cast		
Age 19-25	\$39.68 (\$122.36)	rel
Age 36-50	\$33.57 (\$60.18)	0.33
Age 51-65	\$37.47 (\$73.52)	0.75
Age 66+	\$52.20 (\$177.54)	0.43
Blood Bank Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	\$48.66 (\$51.88)	rel
Age 36-50	\$49.43 (45.81)	0.84
Age 51-65	\$49.81 (\$84.55)	0.89
Age 66+	\$53.77 (\$94.27)	0.5
Pharmacy Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	\$126.77 (\$89.51)	rel
Age 36-50	\$140.50 (\$130.84)	0.20
Age 51-65	\$179.72 (\$225.27)	0.00
Age 66+	\$281.56 (\$1928.31)	0.31
ED Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	56.42 (\$78.32)	rel
Age 36-50	\$0.61 (\$11.31)	0.0
Age 51-65	\$0 (\$4)	0.01
Age 66+	\$1.35 (\$23.31)	0.29
Rehabilitation Direct Cost		
Age 19-25	\$117.21 (\$79.51)	rel
Age 36-50	\$114.20 (\$74.01)	0.64
Age 51-65	\$139.27 (\$107.23)	0.01
Age 66+	\$155.29 (\$128.00)	<0.00