Non-Opioid Multimodal Analgesia for Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Demonstrates Significant Reductions in Opioid Prescriptions without Increased Complications: A Retrospective Comparative Study

Joshua P. Castle, Johnny Kasto, Despina Tsitlakidou, Hardy T. Evans, Ryan Sanii, Eric X Jiang¹, Stephanie J Muh ¹Henry Ford Health

INTRODUCTION: The purpose of this study was to compare the pain level and the amount of opioid consumed in postoperative total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA) patients who were treated with a standard opioid-including regimen versus a non-opioid multimodal analgesia regimen.

METHODS: We retrospectively reviewed two consecutive cohorts who underwent TSA either anatomic or reverse TSA by a single surgeon. The opioid cohort included patients from early 2016 to late 2020 who were prescribed Oxycodone/Acetaminophen 5 mg/325 mg only. The non-opioid cohort included patients from late 2020 to 2022 and consisted of preoperative oral analgesics (Celecoxib, Pregabalin, and Tramadol); intraoperative IV Dexamethasone and Acetaminophen, and local infiltration of Ropivacaine, Epinephrine, and Ketorolac; and postoperative oral Dexamethasone and oral analgesics (Pregabalin, Tizanidine, Magnesium, Ibuprofen, and Acetaminophen). The non-opioid cohort had the option to ask their surgeon for an opioid prescription postoperatively if needed. Patient-reported outcomes collected included Visual Analog Scale (VAS) scores for pain and Patient-Reported Outcome Information System (PROMIS) up to one year after surgery. Opioid consumption (preoperative, and 10-days, 6-weeks, and 3-months postoperative) using Morphine Milligram Equivalents (MME) were compared and analyzed using the nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test for both cohorts. Total MME was calculated as max consumption. Univariate logistic regression analysis was used to identify potential predictors of receiving an opioid prescription and a multivariate model was created to control for covariates to identify independent predictors of opioid prescriptions > 1 month after surgery.

RESULTS: There were 232 patients in the opioid cohort and 112 in the non-opioid cohort. Patients in the non-opioid cohort had a higher median BMI (31.0 IQR [27.6 - 35.2] vs. 29.6 [25.9 - 34.2]; P = 0.03) and were less likely to have a diagnosis of chronic kidney disease (3.6% vs. 12.1%; P < 0.01) compared to the opioid cohort. No other between-group differences were found in demographic factors including age, sex, comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, smoking, or any psychiatric disorders (depression, anxiety, bipolar). The non-opioid cohort had lower mean VAS scores at preoperative (6.4 vs. 7.4; P < 0.05), 10-day (3.5 vs. 4.2; P < 0.05), and 6-week postoperative timepoints (2.1 vs. 2.8; P < 0.05) but no differences between the groups at 3-months postoperatively. No differences in PROMIS-Upper Extremity (UE), Pain Interference (PI), or Depression (D) were found preoperatively and up to 1 year after surgery. Opioid consumption was lower in the non-opioid multimodal cohort at all time periods (P < 0.01). Patients in the non-opioid cohort had significantly lower MMEs at discharge, and 10-day, 6-week, and 90-day time periods (P < 0.01) when compared to the opioid cohort. When analyzing risk factors for continued opioid prescriptions for the whole cohort, the univariate logistic regression model revealed that opioid usage 90 days prior to surgery (relative risk [RR] 4.69 [95% confidence interval (CI) 3.18 – 6.91; P < 0.01) and current tobacco use (RR 2.61 [95% CI 1.50 – 4.54]; P < 0.01) were associated with patients obtaining at least one opiate prescription > 30 days after surgery. Multivariate logistic regression modeling revealed that patients who used opiates 90 days prior to surgery and were current tobacco users were 8.52 and 4.92 times more likely to receive an opioid prescription filled > 30 days after surgery, respectively. Complications such as 90day hospital readmissions and revision surgery at one-year were not significantly different between the groups. **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:**

A non-opioid multimodal postoperative regimen for patients undergoing anatomic or reverse TSA significantly reduces opioid prescriptions with similar patient-reported outcomes, subjective pain scores, and without increased complication

rates	compared	to	an		(opioid			only		regimen.
part 1. Presetage of patents monitory (gradua U 50. Stray stratted by jush regions) Opioid Refill % 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95	Mail Information Speed may to 2014 National Security 0.130 A start Control The security of the s	Allo Data Data Distribution entitutional profilementaria International profilementaria	Image: Control of the second	Table 3. Palent Reported Out Prosperative PROMS-UE PROMS-UE PROMS-UE PROMS-UE PROMS-UE ASIS Seree VAS Pain Scree 30 Days Postparestive PROMS-UE	America Uga to Oteo Year Opticial Group (nr. 222) 28.7 1.6 1 61.0 (2003 66.6) 48.9 (42.3 - 55.6) 39.4 1.17.0 8.0 (6.8 - 18.0) 23.8 (26.9 - 28.8)	Multimodal Group (n = 112) 23.0 (25.0 - 32.0) 64.0 (80.0 - 67.0) 48.0 (82.0 - 53.0) 23.9 (33.0 - 44.1) 7.0 (4.3 - 8.0) 25.5 x 7.3	P-value 0.65 0.58 0.18 <0.60* <0.60* 0.74	Table 4. Balative Risk of Obtaining Postoperative Data At Dicharge At 30 Darp 30 46 Darp 50 46 Darp Total from Sagery - 50 days posto Data in depicted as Balative Rok (15	n Opioid by Cehert Up to 39 Days Festo BR of Multimodal in Opioid Group sing Pestoperative 0.15 (0,12 - 0.21) 0.19 (0,10 - 0.54) 0.17 (0,05 - 0.54) 0.12 (0,05 - 0.54) 0.16 (0,02 - 0.32) 4 Confidence Internal	P - Velue < 0.01* < 0.01* < 0.01* < 0.02* < 0.02* < 0.02*	
ар така така така така така така така така	Ackam 101.0% 101.1% 101.1% Accam 101.0% 101.1%	EX mathematical and the set of the set	na - 100 or 201 and 20	VALUES VI VAS Pris Sere É Weeks Paroportine PROMS D VAS Pris Sere PROMS O VAS Pris Sere J Membry Paroparatie PROMS O FROMS O FROMS O	0.4.0[07-3-33] 0.60[01-3-63] 2.2.2[031-34] 4.40[340-360] 4.8.0[20-64] 3.01[0-33] 3.1.1.6.1 3.03.1.6.1 3.5.0[20-84] 3.00[38-840] 4.5.0[20-84] 4.60[38-840] 2.5.0[20-34] 2.80[20-34] 2.5.0[20-34] 2.80[20-34] 2.5.0[20-34] 2.80[20-34] 2.5.0[30-34] 3.50[318-340] 3.6.0[30-320] 3.50[318-340]	0.00* 0.00* 0.14 0.54 0.15 0.75 0.23 0.38	- #86460 / ¥ 005				
				WAS him Score 6 Meethin Pressentia Pressentia Pressentia Pressentia VAS him Score 2 Year Peethigenotale Pressentia Presse	0.0 (0.0 - 3.0) 38.3 + 7.0 52.9 + 8.9 46.0 (18.8 - 46.6) 82.7 (73.7 - 90.1) 0.0 (0.0 - 2.0) 41.4 + 9.2 53.1 (38.9 - 40.2) 43.0 (34.2 - 51.7) 85.5 (53.7 - 46.1) 0.0 (0.0 - 2.0)	6.0 (0.6 - 2.0) 8.0 + 8.3 54.0 + 8.7 64.0 (0.6 - 51.8) 68.0 ± 24.5 6.0 (0.6 - 2.0) 61.0 (0.6 - 2.0) 61.0 (0.6 - 4.0) 53.5 (44.8 - 55.5) 23.5 (34.0 - 47.0) 64.4 (0.5 - 1.0) 60.0 (0.6 - 1.0)	0.06 0.78 0.27 0.49 0.27 0.47 0.47 0.22 0.07 0.75 0.09				