Do Endoprostheses Performed for Tumor, Arthroplasty, or Post-Trauma Indication Have the Same Fate?

Stephen William Georges Chenard, Katherine Sara Hajdu, William Franklin Hefley, William B. Gilbert, Aleksander P. Mika, Julia Carolyn Quirion¹, Hakmook Kang, John R Martin, Jennifer Lynne Halpern², Herbert S Schwartz², Ginger E Holt³, Joshua Lawrenz

¹Vanderbilt University Medical Center, ²Vanderbilt Orthopaedic Institute, ³Vanderbilt Ortho Institute INTRODUCTION:

Endoprosthetic reconstruction has become the mainstay of treatment to replace large segmental defects of bone. While these constructs are commonly used following musculoskeletal tumor resection, they can also be used in revision arthroplasty or following traumatic injuries. As a limb salvage procedure, endoprostheses have a high risk of potential adverse outcomes, including infection, aseptic loosening, and dislocation which frequently require reoperation, implant removal, or amputation. To date, no large study has examined whether the incidence of these adverse outcomes is different for patients undergoing endoprosthetic reconstruction based on their indication for surgery. In this study, we sought to determine if the indication for index endoprosthesis surgery (e.g., sarcoma, metastatic disease, revision arthroplasty, or trauma) was associated with long-term patient outcomes.

Institutional records were queried to identify all patients who underwent endoprosthetic reconstruction at a single tertiary academic hospital between 1999-2021. Patients were included if they had one of the following indications for surgery: 1) Sarcoma, 2) Metastatic disease, 3) Revision arthroplasty, or 4) Trauma. Patients with less than 2 years of follow up were excluded from the study. A retrospective chart review was performed to collect patient demographic and outcome information. Outcomes included indications for reoperation, time to first reoperation, number of reoperations, implant survival rate, time to implant removal, rate of amputation, and time to amputation. Sarcoma, metastatic disease, revision arthroplasty, and trauma groups were all compared against each other. Further analysis was performed by comparing oncological indications (sarcoma and metastatic disease) versus non-oncological indications (revision arthroplasty and trauma). Chi-square tests were performed to assess for significant differences in the incidence of categorical events between groups. Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) tests were performed to assess for significant differences in time-to-event analyses.

RESULTS:

Of the 892 endoprostheses performed since 1999, there were 288 that met the inclusion criteria for this study, including patients undergoing endoprosthetic reconstruction for sarcoma (n=148; 51%), metastatic disease (n=70; 24%), revision arthroplasty (n=42; 15%), or trauma (n=28; 10%). Demographic variables were similar between groups (Table 1).

There was a significantly higher rate of all-cause revision surgery for non-oncological indications (36/70; 51%) compared to oncological indications (70/218; 32%) (p=0.02) (Table 2). The rate of all-cause reoperation was highest for the revision arthroplasty (23/42; 55%) and trauma subgroups (13/28; 46%), followed by metastatic disease (23/70; 33%) and sarcoma (47/148; 32%). Log-rank testing revealed a significant difference in reoperation-free survival between the four subgroups (p=0.004) (Figure 1A), as well as between oncological and non-oncological groups (p=0.008) (Figure 1B).

The rate of deep infection was highest for trauma (6/28; 21%), followed by revision arthroplasty (6/42; 14%), metastatic disease (9/70; 13%), and sarcoma (10/148; 7%). Log-rank testing revealed a significant difference in deep infection-free survival between the four subgroups (p=0.009) (Figure 1C), as well as between oncological and non-oncological groups (p=0.002) (Figure 1D).

There was a significantly higher rate of aseptic implant loosening in the non-oncological indications group (6/70; 9%) as compared to the oncological indications group (3/218; 1%) (p=0.009). Rates of aseptic loosening were highest for revision arthroplasty (5/42; 12%) and trauma (1/28; 4%), followed by sarcoma (3/148; 2%). There were no cases of aseptic loosening in the metastatic disease group (0/70; 0%). Log-rank testing revealed a significant difference in aseptic implant loosening-free survival between the four subgroups (p=0.007) (Figure 1E), as well as between oncological and non-oncological groups (p=0.006) (Figure 1F).

There were no statistically significant differences in the rates of superficial wound problems, fractures, dislocations, amputations, or index endoprosthesis removal between the groups (all p>0.05). DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Patients undergoing endoprosthetic reconstruction for non-oncological indications such as revision arthroplasty and posttrauma have higher rates of deep infection, aseptic loosening, and all-cause reoperation. These results will be invaluable in counseling patients on the risk and benefits of endoprosthetic reconstruction based on their personal surgical indication.



								_			
iample Size (n, N)	288	100%	148	51%	70	24%	42	15N	28	2	
lge (sears) (mean: 50)	50.6	21.17	40.1	21.74	62.2	11.95	63.2	12.46	57.9	18.	
ersale (s, %)	161	56%	72	45%	42	60%	27	64%	20	,	
ACE (n, %)											
White	252	885	129	83%	67	56N	34	835	22	,	
flack	27	5%	12	8%	2	3%		15%	5	3	
Johnsown or Other	9	3%	7	5%	1	1%	•	CN CN	1		
THINK (TY (4, 5)						-				-	
laganic or Latino		1%	- 4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0		
						_					
OCATION											
otal formar		1%	3	2%		6%	1	25			
roximal formar	106	33%	35	24%	- 44	655	33	265	12	4	
Xistal fernur	110	42%	75	51%		11%	28	625	33	,	
Poolival Ebia	34	12%	23	16%	5	7%	2	51	4	3	
Cambined femar/tibia	2	1%	0	0%	0	016	1	21	1		
looximal humerus	18	636	12	\$3.	5	7%	1	25			
S& Incl (mean: SDI	2.7	0.21	25	0.55	2.9	0.71	2.8	0.47	2.8		
								-			
Millie/editorear: \$20	23.0	11.21	22.3	11.52	23.4	10.65	33.1	11.88	30.8	10.1	
MCIONG STATUS											
Dement	42	15%	22	15%	10	14%	,	210	2	2	
ormer	54	19%	19	13%	18	26%	14	335	3	1	
dewar	191	6635	106	72%	42	66%	25	50N	18	6	
					-	-		-		-	
Water	10	14%	- 17	856	16	14%	15	165		1	
80	16	636	2	1%	2	10%	1	78	4	3	
INOR TREATMENTS											
trior surgery at site (one or more)	151	45%	ж	26%	27	35%	42	100%	24		
lead a want che mother any betthin 3 months)	88	21%	68	46%	20	25%		0%			
decadjuvant radiation to the site (any time)	36	13%	7	5%	25	41%		0%			
DENANTRIEROVIN NJ		-						-		-	
direct and interest in the second s	103	15.90		1630		410		-		_	
where a commentation with a subsection		50%	60	465	33	4/%	-	17		-	

	Al Montes Brongtorholistics		Service Mean or Relation 7		Othe Mater	Other Matariana Diverses		Re-Doubginstein store		Arthrophyte				
harate lia h. N	245	10.7	10	15.000	24	14.164	1			IL BOX		14184		
														-
and the second se					- 12					- 11				_
Lag Article (Sector) (Sector) (Sector)			5	0				15	>				- 4	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		_				_								-
And-main with H-basis, N	- 0	14			31	1×		7						
April - Shake and a billing part, St	- 10				14						14			
NUMBER OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.		_				_			-					
Mana	294	100	10					10			17			
per photos	- 14													
AND						15						15		
Mundardine (ergin)	10		24					~ ~						
THE R. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH.		- 14				10						1.		
hadaa	5.0			0		15		0						
Endering ing local share		14				14						14		
hand's beginner		- 0		10								105		
and the second se						14						10		
and a second						15				15				
Nacodia supplicitan M	24	- 24		10	14	11	1.0	34		14			12	
Annual and a shore-shore (sear. 30)	- 7.9	1.1	1.0	14	-19				1.0					
And and a local diversion of the local divers	8			15		105						105		-
For increased also increase. El	5.2	85		11		5.8	14	17	4.5		3.1		- 12	
Industry annual plants had below a 9, 51	10	100			10			10		-	18			
and an			11							- 10		0		_
in a set of ball blog or P. C.	14	144	- 0	1.5		4+	it.	H				11.		
frage and a set of second, sites	- 6.3	4.0			4.4									
ACCRUMATING REPORT	12			18	10.						10			

Figure 1. Kapten Meier curves demonstrating differences in reoperation-free survival (A-B), deep infection-free survival (C-D), and aseptic loosening-free survival (E-F) based on surgical indication.