

# Is Postoperative Splinting Advantageous after Upper Extremity Surgery? Results from the Arm Splint Pain Improvement Research Experiment

Matthew W Sgaglione, David Furgiuele, Philipp Leucht, Kenneth A Egol<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NYU Langone Medical Center

## INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this study is to determine if application of a rigid elbow splint following surgery of the arm, elbow, or forearm results in superior outcomes than a soft dressing alone.

## METHODS:

One-hundred consecutive patients who underwent surgical repair of a humeral shaft, distal humerus, olecranon, radial head, or isolated both bone forearm fracture under brachial plexus nerve block and consented, were randomly assigned to one of two groups: rigid splint (n=51) or control (n=49, soft dressing). Patients with elbow instability were excluded. Patients were surveyed daily for the first five days postoperation and once again at day 14. The primary outcomes were self-reported pain (visual analog score or VAS), medication usage, and physical function (SF-36). Secondary outcomes included: wound complications and ultimate elbow ROM. Outcomes were analyzed using standard statistical methods and compared.

**RESULTS:** Patients in both cohorts reported the worst average pain and highest mean pain medication usage on day two following operation. At each timepoint, there was no difference in reported pain between the two study groups. Both study groups demonstrated similar rates of physical function and wound problems at all timepoints. Additionally, mean time to healing was similar for the splint and control groups ( $4.6 \pm 2.8$  vs.  $4.0 \pm 2.2$  months,  $P=0.37$ ). Ultimate elbow range of motion in elbow extension ( $P=0.61$ ), flexion ( $P=0.47$ ), pronation ( $P=0.61$ ), and supination ( $P=0.51$ ) were similar between the study groups. At postoperative day 14, the splint cohort reported a higher "Health-scale" from 0-100 ( $85.7 \pm 11.1$  vs.  $76.8 \pm 16.4$ ,  $P=0.016$ ) as well as lower on the Bothersome Index of the SF-36 health survey than the non-splint cohort ( $24.6 \pm 16.0$  vs.  $35.0 \pm 20.2$ ,  $P=0.041$ ), but these differences were insignificant at every other timepoint.

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Free range of elbow motion without splinting was non-inferior to elbow immobilization following surgical intervention for a fracture to the humerus, elbow, and forearm. There was no difference in patient-reported pain outcomes, wound problems, and elbow ROM. Immobilization provides patients with slightly less discomfort at 14 days postoperation. Both treatment strategies are acceptable.