

# Poorly Controlled Diabetic Hip Fracture Patients with Hemoglobin A1c >8.0 Should Not Undergo Arthroplasty Due to High Short and Long-Term Mortality Risk

Lauren A Merrell, Garrett Esper, Kester L Gibbons, Abhishek Ganta<sup>1</sup>, Kenneth A Ego<sup>2</sup>, Sanjit R Konda<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NYU Hospital For Joint Diseases, <sup>2</sup>NYU Langone Medical Center

**INTRODUCTION:** This study aims to assess how the severity of diabetes impacts outcomes in geriatric patients when treated with operative repair versus arthroplasty following hip fracture.

**METHODS:** An IRB-approved prospectively collected hip fracture registry at an urban academic medical center was reviewed for all patients with a diagnosis of diabetes from Oct. 2014–Nov 2021. Each patient was reviewed for demographics including Hemoglobin-A1c (HA1c) at time of admission, type of fixation provided, hospital quality measures, and outcomes. Patients were subsequently split into cohorts based on their operative intervention: open reduction internal fixation (ORIF: closed reduction and percutaneous pinning, sliding hip screws, or short/long cephalomedullary nail) cohort or arthroplasty cohort (hemi- and total-hip arthroplasty). Comparative analyses were conducted between the ORIF and arthroplasty cohorts. A subanalysis was conducted to further compare the outcomes of these patients when stratified by an HA1c cutoff of 8.0%.

**RESULTS:** From a total cohort of 502 diabetic patients, 341 patients (68%) comprised the ORIF cohort, and 161 (32%) comprised the arthroplasty cohort. There were no differences in demographics or injury details between the cohorts. Without stratification by severity of disease, there were no differences in outcomes between the ORIF and arthroplasty cohorts. However, when stratified by HA1c>8.0%, patients in the ORIF cohort had an increased rate of inpatient mortality. Similarly, patients in the arthroplasty cohort experienced significantly higher rates of major inpatient complications, mortality through 1-year, and were less likely to be discharged home following their admission (Table 1). When comparing patients with an HA1c>8.0%, patients treated with arthroplasty experienced a 2x higher rate of 1-year mortality.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:**

Diabetes alone does not significantly affect outcomes of one type of operative intervention more than the other. However, when accounting for severity of disease, poorly controlled diabetics with HA1c>8.0% treated with arthroplasty for their hip fracture experienced significantly worse outcomes. Poorly controlled diabetics with HA1c>8.0% and a femoral neck fracture may warrant damage control fixation, aggressive postoperative glucose control, and delayed arthroplasty (if their fracture does not heal) when their HA1c levels are <8.0% in order to improve their 1-year mortality risk.

**Table 1. Hospital Quality Measures and Outcomes Stratified by >8% vs <8% HA1C Level**

Outcomes	ORIF		P-Value*	Arthroplasty		P-Value*	P-Value**	P-Value***
	>8%	<8%		>8%	<8%			
N	47	256		23	111			
<b>Complications</b>								
Major Complications	8 (17.02%)	28 (10.94%)	0.240	8 (34.78%)	12 (10.81%)	<b>0.003</b>	0.096	0.962
Minor Complications	20 (42.55%)	101 (39.45%)	0.705	11 (47.83%)	51 (45.95%)	0.807	0.677	<b>0.044</b>
<b>Hospital Quality Measures</b>								
LOS (d, *mean ± std)	7.85 ± 6.83	6.86 ± 4.74	0.114	7.36 ± 3.58	7.10 ± 4.14	0.390	0.378	0.325
Need for ICU	10 (21.28%)	49 (19.14%)	0.734	4 (17.39%)	28 (25.23%)	0.423	0.703	0.189
Discharged Home	9 (19.15%)	67 (26.17%)	0.301	1 (4.35%)	26 (23.42%)	<b>0.044</b>	0.108	0.565
<b>Readmissions</b>								
Within 30 days	5 (10.64%)	19 (7.42%)	0.365	2 (8.70%)	19 (17.12%)	0.383	0.800	<b>0.006</b>
Within 90 days	9 (19.15%)	44 (17.19%)	0.600	3 (13.04%)	24 (21.62%)	0.434	0.523	0.346
<b>Mortality</b>								
Inpatient	4 (8.51%)	4 (1.56%)	<b>0.006</b>	2 (8.70%)	0 (0.00%)	<b>0.002</b>	0.979	0.185
Within 30 days	5 (10.64%)	12 (4.69%)	0.103	4 (17.39%)	4 (3.60%)	<b>0.011</b>	0.428	0.640
1 Year	9 (19.15%)	33 (12.89%)	0.082	10 (43.48%)	11 (9.91%)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	<b>0.016</b>	0.392

LOS=Length of Stay;

\*P Value is >8% vs. <8%

\*\*P Value is >8% vs. >8%

\*\*P Value is <8% vs. <8%