Is Younger Age a Risk Factor for Failure following Aseptic Revision Total Knee Arthroplasty?

Jaewon (Freddy) Yang MD¹, John Bartoletta, Navin Fernando, Paul A Manner², Antonia F Chen, Nicholas Hernandez³ ¹Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Washington, ²Univ of WA, ³University of Washington INTRODUCTION:

Revision total knee arthroplasties (rTKAs) are being performed more frequently in the United States in younger patients. Few studies have evaluated the effect of age following rTKA. The study sought to evaluate the effect of age on rTKA outcomes.

METHODS:

The American Joint Replacement Registry (AJRR) was utilized to evaluate aseptic rTKAs in younger (18-55 years, n=11,463) and older (\geq 65 years, n=49,992) patients from January 2012-September 2020. All patients were followed through September 2022, allowing for minimum two-year follow up. The mean age was 49.4 years in the younger and 73.4 years in the older cohort. Gender and BMI were similar between groups. Kaplan-Meier survivorship analysis was performed with rerevision as the endpoint, and multivariate analyses were performed to adjust for demographics and comorbidities.

RESULTS:

Rate of rerevision was higher in the younger cohort compared to the older (9.3% vs. 5.3%, p<0.01). Ten-year survivorship free of all-cause rerevision was 89.9% (95%CI: 88-90%) in the younger vs. 94.1% (95%CI: 93-94%) in the older cohort. Ten-year point estimates for rerevision for infection were 3% vs. 1%, mechanical loosening 2% vs. 1%, instability 2% vs. 1% (p<0.01, younger vs. older patients). Younger age was associated with a higher adjusted hazard ratio for all-cause rerevision (HR: 1.6, 95%CI: 1.3-1.8), as were male sex (HR: 1.3, 95%CI: 1.2-1.5), and initial revision for instability (HR: 1.4, 95%CI: 1.1-1.6). Notably, a 5-year decrease in age was associated with a 10.5% increase in odds of rerevision. Indications for rerevisions differed among the younger and older cohorts (p<0.01): infection (28.3% vs. 34.9%), aseptic loosening (17.9% vs. 15.8%), and instability (13.6% vs. 12.7%).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Time to Revision (Months

Younger age, male sex, and initial revision performed for instability were associated with significantly increased risk for allcause rerevision following aseptic rTKA. Future research may further elucidate these increased risks in this younger patient patient the second research may further elucidate these increased risks in this younger population.

