Low Sex Diversity of Academic Orthopaedic Surgery Faculty Among all Subspecialties

Malini Anand, Kaitlyn Ruth Julian, Mary K Mulcahey, Stephanie Erin Wong

INTRODUCTION: The historic gap in sex diversity within orthopaedic surgery is widely acknowledged and continues to persist. The lack of female representation in orthopaedic surgery has been attributed to a variety of factors, including the absence of female mentors and leaders within the field. Research regarding sex diversity specifically among orthopaedic subspecialties is limited. As such, the purpose of this study was to examine the sex diversity among orthopaedic surgeons in various subspecialties at academic institutions.

METHODS:

The American Medical Association (AMA) Fellowship and Residency Electronic Interactive Database (FREIDA) was used to identify all allopathic orthopaedic surgery residency programs during the 2022 to 2023 academic year. All data for this study were collected from residency programs and hospital websites, social media, and reaching out to programs when data could not be found online. The total number of faculty, number of women faculty, and distribution of women faculty by subspecialty were collected. The mean and percentage of women faculty in each subspecialty per program was calculated. Continuous data were analyzed with independent t-tests. For all statistical tests, significance was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS:

The total number of orthopaedic women faculty identified was 511: 148 pediatric (29%), 113 hand (22%), 83 sports medicine (16%), 46 trauma (9%), 40 foot and ankle (8%), 38 oncology (7%), 24 adult reconstruction (5%), 21 spine (4%), 11 shoulder and elbow (2%). The subspecialty with the highest percentage of women faculty per program was pediatrics with an average of 26% of the faculty at any given program identifying as a woman. Other subspecialties including hand, oncology, and foot and ankle also had higher percent averages of women faculty per program at 21%, 19%, and 13% respectively. An average of 4% of orthopaedic adult reconstruction faculty at any given program were women. Adult reconstruction was found to have the least gender diversity of all orthopaedic subspecialty faculty as shown in Table 1. Spine and shoulder and elbow also had lower percentages of women faculty per program at 4% and 7% respectively.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

The sex diversity of faculty is low among all orthopaedic subspecialties and the degree of sex imbalance varies significantly among orthopaedic subspecialties. Our results demonstrated that adult reconstruction, spine, and shoulder and elbow are among the lowest percentages of women faculty. Advocacy for female representation within faculty leadership will universally balance sex diversity among all orthopaedic subspecialties and further contribute to narrowing the gap in orthopaedic sex diversity.

tne	gap	ın
Total Hand Faculty		606
Total Women Hand Faculty		113
Percent Women Hand Faculty per Program		21.8%
Total Spine Faculty		530
Total Women Spine Faculty		21
Percent Women Spine Faculty per Progr	am	4.5%
Total Sports Medicine Faculty		805
Total Women Sports Medicine Faculty		83
Percent Women Sports Medicine Facult	y per Program	10.3%
Total Adult Reconstruction Faculty		648
Total Women Adult Reconstruction Faculty		24
Percent Women Adult Reconstruction Fa	aculty per Program	3.9%
Total Trauma Faculty		467
Total Women Trauma Faculty		46
Percent Women Trauma Faculty per Program		9.4%
Total Foot & Ankle Faculty		294
Total Women Foot & Ankle Faculty		40
Percent Women Foot & Ankle Faculty per Program		13.6%
Total Oncology Faculty		198
Total Women Oncology Faculty		38
Percent Women Oncology Faculty per Program		19.2%
Total Pediatric Faculty		565
Total Women Pediatric Faculty		148
Percent Women Pediatric Faculty per Program		26.1%
Total Shoulder and Elbow Faculty		147
Total Women Shoulder and Elbow Faculty		11
Percent Women Shoulder and Elbow Fa	culty per Program	7.0%