Tibial Plateau Fracture Surgical Care Utilizing Standardized Protocols Over Time: A Single Center's Longitudinal View

Luke Benjamin Schwartz, Abhishek Ganta¹, Sanjit R Konda², Philipp Leucht, Steven Rivero, Kenneth A Egol², Kenneth A Egol²

¹NYU Hospital For Joint Diseases, ²NYU Langone Medical Center INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this review was to report on demographics, injury patterns, management strategies, and outcomes of patients who sustained fractures of the tibial plateau seen at a single urban academic medical center over a 16-year period.

METHODS: Between 2006 and 2022, 716 patients with 725 tibia plateau fractures, were treated by one of 5 surgeons within a single academic medical center and agreed to be enrolled in a prospective registry. Data collected included: injury, baseline function, imaging and surgical details. Outcomes were obtained at 6, 10, 26, 52 weeks, and thereafter as seen. Complications were recorded. Patients were stratified into 3 groups: those treated in the first 5 years, those treated in the second 5 years, and those treated in the most recent 6 years and compared.

RESULTS:

In total, 608 (84%) knees were treated operatively. The distribution of fracture patterns was consistent over the 16-year period. The mean length of follow up was 13.4 months (Range 6-120) and 82% had minimum 1-year follow up. The 3 "time period" groups did not differ based upon socio-demographics. Over time there was a shift from general to regional anesthesia. Patients returned to self-reported baseline function at a consistent proportion during the 3 time periods. The average knee arc was 125 degrees (Range: 75 – 135 degrees) at latest follow up and did not differ over time. The overall complication rate following surgery was 12% and did not differ between the three time periods. Radiographic evaluation demonstrated low rates of posttraumatic osteoarthritis (PTOA) and the most recent group having the lowest incidence of postoperative articular subsidence at healing (0.58 mm compared to 0.94 mm in Group 1 and 1.12 mm in Group 2) (P<0.05).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Standardized treatment using modern techniques and implants led to reliable clinical and radiographic outcomes over 16 years of this longitudinal study. The majority of patients with tibial plateau fractures regained their baseline functional status following surgical intervention and healing. Over time the ability of surgeons to achieve a more anatomic joint reduction was seen, however this did not correlate with improved functional outcomes.

Group	N (putions, this phone factors)	Age	5m (FM) (N)	Race (No	0040	cci
Group	130, 138	49.37	66 (48,6%) F / 67 (30,4%) M	6-(4.5%) solat, 30 (23.5%) black, 17 (22.8%) Hopenia, 58 (63.6%) white, 25 (17.3%) other	26.79	F14 (18.5%), B-1 (8%), EB-1 (2.38%)
Group 2	240, 250	58.68	F/128 (12.2%) M	4 (1.0%) mim, 64 (26.1%) block, 43 (17.8%) blopasie, 104 (42,4%) white, 30 (12.2%) other	27.17	151 (28.8%), B 14 (6.2%), B14 (1.8%), N-1 (4%), VS-1 (4%)
Orosp 3	338, 340	49.38	168 (168 p. 7 (168 (49.7%) M.) 1 (.7%) max (M-	24 (T.IN) mim, 55 (17.2%) Mark, 54 (18%) hispanic, 175 (51.8%) white, 47 (13.9%) what	29.73	133(939), B- 29 (3.9%, B-4 (2.7%, D-4 (1.2%, V-1 (29), V-2 (49))





	Group I	Group 2	
Most Anatomic Knee alignment (degrees)	97.5	96.8	87.9
Average port op articular subsidence (mm)	034	112	1.50*
Radiographic Post Traumatic Arthritis NPM	19 (7.4%)	41 (36.4%)	30 (8.8%)*
Knoc ROM at latest follow up (degree)	87-1945	1.1-123.9	68-1367
Empowiem NOS	FF(15.8%)	17 (8.4%)	32(0.2%)
Pain score at Latest Editor up	27	3.1	1.6
Overall Complications N (N)	18 (15 95)	35(02.89)	34(11.9%)
Conquision rendrons	(1.7%)2	(2.9%) 5	(2.4%) 7
Information Information requiring deep washest	92911-8791	69012-8509	(3.6%) 15 - (3.5%) 1
Early Convenien to TEA N (N)	1 (0.8%)	3 (1.7%)	1 (1.0%)



	Group 1	Georg 2	Georg 3
General	52 (76.754)	14(72,75)	157 (57.5%)
Spinel	27(22,5%	48 (19,8%)	54(0.2%)
Repional	10.89	40.00	55 (19.2%)
General + Rapional	9.6%	7 (3.5%)	41 (14.2%)
Cananal + Spinal	9.0%	3 (1.5%)	3 (1.0%)
Suprise	120,000.0	282 (198%)	24(92.75)
Prime	0.0%	0 (0%)	22 (7.7%) *