Patients Who Undergo Bilateral Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction Return to Sport at a Similar Rate as Those that Undergo Unilateral Reconstruction

Zachary Li¹, Jairo Triana, Ariana Lott, Naina Rao², Taylor Jazrawi, Samuel R Montgomery, Michael J Alaia³, Eric Jason Strauss, Kirk A Campbell

¹Department of Orthopedics, NYU Langone Health, ²NYU Langone, ³NYU Langone Orthopedic Center

INTRODUCTION: Lateral patellar instability is a debilitating condition not only to athletes, but also to a wide range of highly active individuals. Many of these patients experience symptoms bilaterally, though it is unknown how these patients fair with return to sports following a second medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction (MPFLR). The purpose of this study is to evaluate the rate of return to sport following bilateral MPFLR compared to a unilateral comparison group. METHODS:

Patients who underwent primary MPFLR with minimum 2-year follow up were identified from 2014 to 2020 at an academic center. Those who underwent primary MPFLR of bilateral knees were identified. Pre-injury sport participation and Tegner score, Kujala score, Visual Analog Score (VAS) for pain, satisfaction, and MPFL-Return to Sport after Injury (MPFL-RSI) scale were collected. Bilateral and unilateral MPFLRs were matched in a 1:2 ratio based on age, sex, body mass index, and concomitant tibial tubercle osteotomy (TTO). A subanalysis was performed regarding concomitant TTO.

RESULTS: The final cohort consisted of 63 patients, including 21 patients who underwent bilateral MPFLR, matched to 42 unilateral patients at mean follow up of 47 ± 27 months. Patients who underwent bilateral MPFLR returned to sport at a rate of 62% at a mean of 6.0 ± 2.3 months, compared to a unilateral rate of 72% at 8.1 ± 4.2 months (n.s.). The rate of return to pre-injury level was 43% among bilateral patients and 38% in the unilateral cohort. There were no significant differences in VAS pain, Kujala, current Tegner, satisfaction, and MPFL-RSI scores between cohorts. Approximately half of those (47%) who failed to return to sport cited psychological factors and had significantly lower MPFL-RSI scores (36.6 vs. 74.2, p=0.001).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Patients who underwent bilateral MPFLR returned to sports at a similar rate and level compared to a unilateral comparison group. MPFL-RSI was found to be significantly associated with return to sport. This study suggests that while functional outcomes may be marginally poorer in patients undergoing bilateral MPFLR, these patients were still able to return to sport at a similar rate and had similarly high satisfaction compared to those undergoing a single procedure. For this patient population, surgeons may use the results of this study to appropriately align patient expectations for return to sport and emphasize the importance of timely and diligent adherence to physical therapy to mitigate postoperative stiffness.

Demographics	Bilateral	Unilateral	p-valu	
N	21	42		
Sex, n (% female)	11 (52.4)	22 (52.4)	n.s.	
Age (years)	24.6 ± 7.6	22.4 ± 6.0	n.s.	
Body mass index	27.2 ± 5.6	26.4 ± 5.9	n.s.	
Acute patellar dislocation, n (%)	2 (9.5)	3 (7.1)	n.s.	
Follow-up (months)	42.2 ± 28.4	46.8 ± 29.5	n.s.	
Preoperative Cartilage Status, n (%)				
Any chondral damage	13 (61.9)	26 (61.9)	n.s.	
Location of lesion, n (%)				
Medial patellar facet	8 (38.1)	18 (42.9)	n.s.	
Central patella	3 (14.3)	6 (14.3)	n.s.	
Lateral patellar facet	0 (0)	2 (4.8)	n.s.	
Trochlea	4 (19.0)	2 (4.8)	n.s.	
Lateral femoral condyle	1 (4.8)	4 (9.5)	n.s.	
Average lesion size (cm²)	3.27 ± 3.42	3.36 ± 2.43	n.s.	
Lesion grade*				
Grade 1-2	9 (42.9)	10 (23.8)	n.s.	
Grade 3	4 (19.0)	10 (23.8)	n.s.	
Grade 4	3 (14.3)	8 (19.0)	n.s.	
Concomitant procedures, n (%)				
Lateral release/medial imbrication	12 (57.1)	28 (66.7)	n.s.	
Tibial tubercle osteotomy (AMZ)	11 (52.4)	22 (52.4)	n.s.	
Partial meniscectomy	2 (9.5)	1 (2.4)	n.s.	
Chondroplasty	11 (52.4)	22 (52.4)	n.s.	
Osteochondral allograft	3 (14.3)	5 (11.9)	n.s.	
Cartilage biopsy	1 (4.8)	3 (7.1)	n.s.	
Loose body removal	5 (23.8)	11 (26.2)	n.s.	

		TABLE 2			
В	leasons for Lack of Return to	Sport at the Same	or Higher Pre-Injury	Level	
Cohort	Reason for Lack of Return	No Return, n (%)	Lower Level, n (%)	Overall, n (%)	
	Physical Symptoms	4 (50.0)	3 (75.0)	7 (58.0)	Variable
	Pain	1 (12.5)		1 (8.3)	VAS pain
ilateral	Fear of Re-Injury	3 (37.5)	-	3 (20.0)	VAS pain during sport
	Lack of Confidence		1 (25.0)	1 (8.3)	Satisfaction (%)
	Lifestyle Factors				
	Physical Symptoms	4 (36.4)	5 (33.3)	9 (34.6)	Willing to repeat, % (n)
	Pain	1 (9.1)		1 (3.8)	Kujala
nilateral	Fear of Re-Injury	3 (27.3)	6 (40.0)	9 (34.6)	Tegner* - pre-injury
	Lack of Confidence	3 (27.3)	3 (20.0)	6 (23.1)	Tegner* - current
	Lifestyle Factors	-	1 (6.7)	1 (3.8)	
					MPFL-RSI
					Recurrent instability, 1 % (r.

Variable	Bilateral	Unilateral	p-value	
VAS pain	1.5 ± 2.0	1.1 ± 2.1	n.s.	
VAS pain during sport	2.8 ± 2.3	2.4 ± 3.0	n.s.	
Satisfaction (%)	85.1 ± 19.6	85.4 ± 26.5	n.s.	
Willing to repeat, % (n)	85.7 (18)	78.6 (33)	n.s.	
Kujala	78.1 ± 18.0	86.7 ± 16.1	n.s.	
Tegner* - pre-injury	6 (2-10)	6 (2-10)	n.s.	
Tegner* - current	5 (1-7)	5 (2-10)	n.s.	
MPFL-RSI	50.9 ± 27.4	60.6 ± 29.8	n.s.	
Recurrent instability, 5 % (n)	28.6 (6)	21.4 (9)	n.s.	
Subsequent MUA, % (n)	19.0 (4)	11.9 (5)	n.s.	

Cohort	Subgroup	Rate of Return'		Kujala		Tegaer Pre-injury		Tegner Current		MPFL-RSI	
	Return to sport	1 (%)	yake	Mean ± SD	ralse	Median (range)	p- value	Median (range)	yaloo	Mean ± SD	1202
Biterral	Yes			59.3 ± 5.6	0.025	6 (3-9)	8.6	7 (5-00)	0.029	74.2 ± 23.6	0.000
	No			71.3 ± 18.7		6 (3-7)		5 (1-7)		36.6 ± 18.0	
	Ses										
	Male	6 (60.0)	0.049	\$5.0 ± 36.5	0.027	7 (1-9)	8.6	5.5 (1-7)	0.4	57.2 ± 29.9	6.5
	Female	2 (18.2)		71.9 ± 16.8		5 (2-10)		4 (1-7)		45.2 ± 23.5	
	TTO:										
	Yes	4 (35.4)	8.6.	90.0 ± 12.5	6.6	5 (2-9)	8.6.	5 (1-7)	8.4.	50.1 ± 36.7	6.5.
	No	4 (40.0)		78.0 ± 22.4		7 (3-10)		5 (1-7)		51.5 ± 25.2	
	Time Between Procedures										
	<1 year	4 (40.0)	8.6.	73.5 ± 17.3	6.5.	4 (2-7)	0.004	3 (1-7)	6.6	50.8 ± 31.8	6.5
	>1 year	4 (33.3)		81.6 ± 17.6		7 (4-10)		5 (1-7)		51.0 ± 23.6	
Unibsend	Ketura to a	port"									
	Yes			95.3 ± 5.0	0.006	6 (2-10)	8.8.	6 (2-10)	<.001	85.0 ± 15.9	<.00
	No			81.5 ± 18.2		6 (3-16)		3 (2-6)		45.6 ± 26.2	
	Ses										
	Male	12 (60.0)	0.004	99.0 ± 15.7	0.5.	6 (2-10)	8.6.	5.5 (3-00)	0.4.	61.1 = 32.4	6.5
	Yemale	4 (15.2)		84.7 ± 16.3		6 (3-10)		5 (2-8)		60.1 ± 27.2	
	TTO										
	Yes	6 (27.3)	8.6.	$$5.6\pm19.4$	0.008	7 (2-10)	0.007	7 (4-10)	0.002	51.5 ± 32.6	0.030
	No	33 (50.0)		93.6±6.6		5 (3-10)		5 (2-8)		79.6 ± 22.4	