

# 2024 Annual Meeting

February 12-16, San Francisco, California

Session: I

Session Title: Do all fractures need surgery? How do I decide?

**Session Type**: Symposium **Location**: South, Room 210

**Date & Time**: 02-13-2024, 01:30 pm - 03:00 pm

**INSTRUCTORS WHO CONTRIBUTED TO THIS HANDOUT: as of 1/5/2024** 

**Moderator(s):** 

Nirmal C. Tejwani, MD, FAAOS

**Faculty:** 

Robert F. Ostrum, MD, FAAOS Paul Tornetta, MD, FAAOS Philip R. Wolinsky, MD, FAAOS

The Academy reserves any and all of its rights to materials presented at the Annual Meeting. Reproductions of any kind, by any person or entity, without prior written permission from the Academy are strictly prohibited.

Title: Do all fractures need surgery? How do I decide?

AAOS Symposium- Tuesday Feb 13, 2024

- 1. Introduction 5 minutes Nirmal C Tejwani, MD
- 2. **Distal radius fracture in patients > 60 years of age.** Philip Wolinsky MD: 10 minutes
- 3. Proximal Humerus fractures in patients > 60 years of age: Nirmal Tejwani, MD: 10 min

**Q&A:** 10 min

Case Discussions. 20 min

- 4. Ankle fractures: who needs surgery? Paul Tornetta MD: 10 min
- 5. **Humerus Shaft fractures in young active patients**. Robert Ostrum, MD: 10 min

**Q&A:** 10 min

Case Discussions. 20 min

#### Do all fractures need surgery? How do I decide?

#### Proximal Humerus fractures in patients > 60 years of age

#### Nirmal C Tejwani, MD, MPA, FRCS

Professor, Department of Orthopedics

NYU Langone Health, New York, NY

#### AAOS Symposium-Tuesday Feb 13, 2024

Proximal humerus fractures range from the simple, non-displaced to complex fracture dislocations. Most of the simpler fracture patterns are treated non-operatively with early physical therapy.[1]

Controversy arises when discussing surgical indications, especially in older population.

As we all age, but remain more active than ever before, the definition of age is relative. Most people now use functional age as opposed to chronological age for purpose of indications for surgery.

Typically, displaced 2,3 and 4 part fractures are indicated for surgery, however, age and function play a big role in decision making. The difficulty is in defining outcomes of surgery and what is improved with fixation as opposed to just the radiographic appearance.[2] The risk of complications including failure of fixation, intra-articular penetration and need for revision surgery should not be underestimated with range from 10-40 %.

The PROFHER study muddied the waters with presentation of similar results of operative versus non operative treatment for displaced proximal humerus fractures. [3] [4] This study had 250 patients enrolled (out of 1200) where they showed no difference in outcomes in functional scores at two years between the two groups.

Further follow up study at 5 years found that function was maintained with no difference or secondary surgeries between the two groups.

This study had significant shortcomings in that over a 1000 patients were excluded from the study, for various reasons, including surgeon preference, thus potentially creating a selection bias. However, in the patients and fractures that were included, the results demonstrated no advantage to operative treatment even in displaced fractures. [3]

A meta-analysis of the evidence for operative treatment in 2015 found that there is high or moderate quality evidence that, compared with non-surgical treatment, surgery does not result in a better outcome at one and two years after injury for people with displaced proximal humeral fractures involving the humeral neck and is likely to result in a greater need for subsequent surgery.

However, it does not cover the treatment of two-part tuberosity fractures, fractures in young people, high energy trauma, nor the less common fractures such as fracture dislocations and head splitting fractures.[5, 6]

In my opinion, age range 60-85 active adults, the treatment algorithm is as follows:

2 part fractures (typically displaced GT> 1cm): surgical treatment in active adults

3-4 part valgus fractures: non operative

3-4 part varus fractures; ORIF vs Reverse TSA

Over 85-90, low demand, minimal function: non operative irrespective of displacement

Shoulder dislocations do surprisingly well in the elderly, even when missed with functional range of motion and little or no pain.

# **Bibliography:**

- 1. Tejwani, N.C., et al., *Functional outcome following one-part proximal humeral fractures: a prospective study.* J Shoulder Elbow Surg, 2008. **17**(2): p. 216-9.
- 2. Min, W., R.I. Davidovitch, and N.C. Tejwani, *Three-and four-part proximal humerus fractures:* evolution to operative care. Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis, 2012. **70**(1): p. 25-34.
- 3. Rangan, A., et al., Surgical vs nonsurgical treatment of adults with displaced fractures of the proximal humerus: the PROFHER randomized clinical trial. JAMA, 2015. **313**(10): p. 1037-47.
- 4. Handoll, H.H., et al., Five-year follow-up results of the PROFHER trial comparing operative and non-operative treatment of adults with a displaced fracture of the proximal humerus. Bone Joint J, 2017. **99-B**(3): p. 383-392.
- 5. Handoll, H.H. and S. Brorson, *Interventions for treating proximal humeral fractures in adults.* Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2015(11): p. CD000434.
- 6. Goch, A.M., et al., *Operative repair of proximal humerus fractures in septuagenarians and octogenarians: Does chronologic age matter?* J Clin Orthop Trauma, 2017. **8**(1): p. 50-53.

# Do all fractures need surgery? How do I decide? Treatment of Distal Radius Fractures in the "Elderly"

Philip Wolinsky, MD
Dartmouth Medical Center

AAOS Symposium- Tuesday Feb 13, 2024

#### Outline

DR Fx's are a common injury Increasing numbers Increasing number of surgeries/ higher cost with surgery Who should get surgery vs non-op rx?

Literature: unclear

#### Introduction

**Distal Radius Fractures:** 

2nd most common fx'd bone in the elderly
18% of all adult fx's
#1 UE fx in women > 50 years old
Various definitions of elderly in the literature from 50-75 years old
Treatment is controversial

# **DR Fx Treatment Decision Making**

Multifactorial including:

Radiographic parameters Functional/medical status Activity level- ADL's

#### Treatment goals:

Improve pain
Restore function

#### CR/ Cast vs ORIF

Use of ORIF has increased over the years
ORIF costs 3x as non-op rx for Medicare patients
Use of ORIF varies demographically and geographically
Patients treated by fellowship trained hand surgeons are more likely to have surgery

# Imaging/ Bone Position

No consensus on what an "acceptable" x ray position is in the "elderly" In fact, no consensus on what "elderly" is Should probably be defined as a position that predicts good function in the majority of cases

For High functional demand patients that might be:

Joint: < 2mm gap or step off
Dorsal tilt < 10 degrees
< 2-3mm loss of radial length (ulnar variance)
Carpal alignment is restored

#### Is This an Urban Legend?

The "elderly" can tolerate more deformity since they don't have as high a demand on their UE for ADL's
Is that true for all "elderly"?
What about the "active" "fit" elderly?
How much deformity cab be tolerated?

#### AAOS Guidelines 2010

<u>Treatment of distal radius fractures</u> Lichtman D, Bindra R, Boyer M, et al JAAOS 2010;18:180-189

# No **strong** recommendations

Moderate strength recommendation for surgical fixation of fractures that post reduction have:

Radial shortening > 3 mm

Dorsal tilt > 10 degrees

Intra-articular gap or step > 2mm

Unable to recommend for or against surgical treatment of patient > 55 years of age

Rec strength: inconclusive
Elderly defined by:
 infirmity
 low functional demand
 poor bone quality
 low energy injuries (GLF)

#### **Meta- Analyses of Distal Radius Fractures**

Interventions for distal radius fractures: a network meta-analysis of randomized trials Vannabouathong C, Hussain N, Guerra-Farfan E, et al JAAOS 2019;27:e596-605

Network meta-analysis of randomized trials of different treatments for DR Fx in adults (adult and elderly) 38 trials included (1988-2017)

Function at 3 months:

6 studies, 277 patients

IMN: no info

No statistical differences between all other treatments

Overall ranking (higher is better):

KW (k wires): 80%
PF (plate fixation): 63%
EF (external fixation): 45%
PC (plaster cast): 11%

#### Function at 6 months:

6 studies, 325 patients

IMN: no info

NO difference between all other treatments

Ranking:

PF: 87% KW: 60% EF: 42% PC: 11%

#### Function at 12 months:

17 studies, 1,123 patients

One sig outcome diff between plaster cast and plate fixation

Ranking:

PF: 83% IMN: 61% KW: 55% EF: 45% PC: 7%

#### Fracture healing complications:

25 studies, 2,253 patients

Patients with ORIF had sig lower odds of a complication compared to Ex fix, K wires, cast. or IMN

KW and EF were both sig more favorable than cast for reducing the odds of a fx healing complication

#### Conclusions:

ORIF with plates may offer the best results for DR Fx in adults (not just the elderly) in terms of early and long-term function and avoidance of fracture complications Surgical intervention is associated with risks so be mindful of this when patients are at higher risk for complications

<u>Comparison of treatment outcomes between nonsurgical and surgical treatment of distal radius fractures in elderly: a systematic review and meta-analysis</u>

Ju J, Jin G, Li G, et al

Langenbecks Arch Surg 400, 2015: 767-779

Meta-analysis of outcomes of OP and non op in patients <= 65 years old Studies until 5/2015 included 8 studies/ 440 patients surgical vs 449 non-surgical All operative rx was analyzed as one group: ORIF, ex fix, perc pinning No analysis of different kinds of DR fx's

#### Outcomes:

Subjective functional outcome: DASH

VAS pain

Objective functional outcomes: grip, wrist ROM

**Images** 

DASH: 6 studies, no difference VAS pain: 3 studies, no difference Grip: 6 studies, no difference

ROM:

Wrist extension (4 studies), pro (5), sup (5), ulna deviation (?), no difference Wrist flexion (5 studies), radial deviation (5), greater in non-op group

Images:

Radial inclination (7 studies): greater (better) in OP group

Ulna variance (7): less (better) in OP group

#### Conclusions:

NO difference in outcomes as far as DASH, VAS pain, grip

There were differences in wrist flexion, radial deviation, radial inclination, and ulnar variance

But- did not impact VAS or DASH and so did not affect quality of life

<u>Treatment of radius of ulna fractures in the elderly: a systematic review covering effectiveness, safety, economic aspects and current practice</u>

Navarro C, Brolund A, Heintz E, et al PLOS ONE March 28, 2019 14(3) e0214362

Optimal rx for DR and ulna fx's is unknown The evidence for ORIF is limited Yet incidence of ORIF is increasing

Swedish registry analysis: 2005-2013

Age > 50, with a DR Fx

Incidence of DR fx's went down:

Women: 77/10,000 people/years in 2005 vs 63/10,000 in 2013

Men: 18/10,000 in 2005, 14/10,00 in 2013

#### Surgical treatment:

Increased 7% in women
Increased 4% in men
Ex fix was most common in 2003
ORIF was most common in 2007-2013

#### Costs in Sweden:

Cast: 137 US dollars ORIF: 1698 US dollars

# Metanalysis of outcomes at 1 year (moderate certainty):

Elderly defined: >= 60 years old

"Moderately" displaced fx's were included- never defined

### Significant differences in outcome measures:

Minimal clinically important differences (MCID)

DASH 13 points EQ-50: 0.074 points

Grip strength: 6.5 kg (19.5%)

### Studies for outcomes:

31 RCT's

10 cohorts

# Study:

Age 60 or above

Any rx with comparison groups

Validated functional outcomes, grip, QoL, complications, costs

Randomized controlled trial (RCT), non-randomized controlled trial (non-R), comparative registry studies

### Functional outcomes:

DASH, PRWE

# Quality of life:

EuroQol, SF-36, WHOQoL, 15-Dimensional (15-D), grip strength

#### Major complications definition:

Need for additional surgery, and/or a serious disability

All others were classified as minor

# Comparison groups:

Perc fixation: K wires, nailing, ex fix were combined

Plating

Nonoperative rx

31 studies met the inclusion criteria

Functional outcomes @ 1 year:

ORIF vs non-op: no differences

ORIF vs percutaneous fixation: no difference

Complications:

Minor: no differences

Major: more common in ORIF group

Comparison of non-op vs op:

10 trials: 8 RCT's, 2 non-R

Plate vs cast:

2 RCT's, 1 non-R

No clinically sig diff at 1-year functional outcomes

Moderate evidence

Not enough data for: QoL, grip, complications

Comparison of non-op vs op:

Percutaneous fixation vs cast:

4 RCT's 2 non-R

No sig diff for functional outcomes/ moderate evidence

Grip: 6 RCT's. 1 non-R, no sig diff/ moderate

QoL: 2 RCT: perc is better or equal/ LOW evidence

Minor complications: 6 RCT's: less comps in non-op group, LOW evidence

Treatment of concomitant distal ulna fractures:

Zero studies

Comparison of surgical options:

9 RCT's, 5 non-R

Diff plating techniques: not enough data/ very LOW evidence Diff perc techniques: not enough data/ very LOW evidence

ORIF vs Perc:

5 RCT's, 1 non-R

Clinical function or grip: No diff / Moderate evidence

Qol: no difference/ LOW evidence Minor complications: no difference

Major complications: less in perc group/ moderate evidence

Addition of bone substitute:

7 RCT's

Plates w/w-out: not enough data

Perc or cast w/w-out: bone substitute led to Equal or better results/ LOW

evidence

#### Conclusions:

No difference in clinical outcomes for "moderately" displaced DR Fx's in patients > 60 years old for OP vs nonop

No difference for different surgical techniques

Plating is more expensive than non-op rx

Major complications are higher in the ORIF group

#### Espisito et al

ORIF did better than perc

RCT's only were included

Patients were younger than this study

Found a DASH MD of 5.92- May not be a clinically relevant difference

No diff in grip

No diff in complications

# Chen et al meta-analysis of elderly patients:

No clinically sig diff in DASH between OP and non-op

Better images after ORIF

Shows that better images does not mean better functional outcomes

# The authors point out:

This study is only valid for "moderately" displaced fx's NOT "very" displaced ones

Never defined

Did not evaluate shorter term function which may be important in the elderly

# <u>Safety and efficacy of operative versus nonsurgical management of distal radius fractures in</u> elderly patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Chen Y, Chen X, Li Z, et al

J Hand Surg Am 2016;41(3): 404-413

Meta-analysis: op vs non-op Patients >= 60 years old

2 RCT's, 6 retrospective studies

No differences in:

Pain

**Function** 

Wrist ROM

Grip: greater in the ORIF group

Major complications requiring surgery/ tendon injury: more common in ORIF group

Radiographic outcome: Better in ORIF group

Considerable heterogeneity was present in ALL studies

#### Conclusions:

No better clinical outcomes in elderly patients with ORIF Better grip and images in ORIF group More major complications in ORIF group

Study inclusion criteria:

Patients >= 60 years

Defined "unstable" fractures based on re-displacement after an initial reduction Displacement had to exceed acceptable parameters for closed rx:

Distal tilt > 10 degrees Volar tilt > 15 degrees Radial inclination < 10 degrees

Ulna + variance > 2 mm Intra-articular step > 2 mm

Minimum follow- up of 12 months

Clinical outcomes: Pain VAS, grip, wrist ROM,

Functional outcomes: PRWE, DASH

Complications:

Minor: did not need an additional treatment/investigations

Major: deep infections, nerve or tendon injury, need for re-operation

Pain level: 4 studies, 426 patients: no difference Grip strength: 5 studies: sig greater in ORIF group DASH (7 studies), PRWE (4 studies): No sig differences

ROM: 4 articles, no differences

Complications:

Minor: no differences

Major: sig differences, higher in surgical groups

Most common complications were nerve and tendon injuries

No difference in nerve injuries (7 studies)

Tendon injury: higher risk in OR group (6 studies)

Images: ORIF or ex fix resulted in sig differences in (was better)

Volar tilt

Radial inclination Ulna variance

#### Comments:

DASH and PRWE have not been validated for different age groups Since" many" elderly patients get a satisfactory outcome despite non-anatomical images perhaps these scores may not be optimal for this population

# **Articles and Studies Specifically Addressing the Elderly**

# <u>Distal radius fractures in the elderly</u>

Levin L, Rozell J, Pulos N JAAOS 2017;25:179-187

2nd most common fx'd bone in the elderly (18% of all fx's) #1 UE fx in women > 50 years old
Define elderly as 50-75 years old
Treatment is controversial

#### Decision making:

Radiographic parameters: displacement, angulation

Functional status Activity level

#### Goals:

Improve pain Restore function

Use of ORIF has increased
ORIF costs 3x as non-op for Medicare patients
Use of ORIF varies demographically and geographically
Patients treated by fellowship trained hand surgeons also more likely to have surgery
Secondary re-displacement of a reduced fracture may be as high as 89% in the elderly

#### Outcomes:

#### Lutz et al

Most common surgical complication was infection (12%)
Most common nonop complication was median neuropathy (11%)

# Malunions (systematic review in the elderly):

X-rays are worse after non-op rx
Functional outcomes are the same
Major complication rates are higher after surgery

### Multiple studies:

No difference in clinical outcomes between op and non-op Surgery= better grip strength No difference in activities of daily living

#### Arora et al JBJS 2011:

Prospective randomized study volar ORIF vs cast > 65 years old Better results at 3 months for ORIF No difference at 6 and 12 months

# Grip strength was always better in the ORIF group

#### Nelson et al JOT 2015

96 patients > 60 years old

No differences between patients with a well aligned fx and those with a malunion @ 1 year

DASH, visual analog scale function, strength, or wrist motion

#### Conclusions:

No consensus on treatment of DR fx in the elderly Surgery does make a better-looking x-ray However, x-ray alignment does not seem to correlate with better functional outcomes

The impact of patient activity level on wrist disability after distal radius malunion in older adults Nelson N, Stepan J, Osei D, et al J Ortho TR 29(4), 2015

250,000 DR fx's/ year in the US in adults >= 65 years old
2nd most common fracture in the Medicare population
Unclear what the optimal rx is
Prior studies grouped patients by age and not by functional activity level
Hypothesis: highly active adults would have a worse functional outcome with a malunion vs a well aligned fx

Effect of malunion on 96 high activity patients > 60 years at least one-year post surgery Activity level was defined using the Physical Activity Scale of the Elderly Malunions vs well-aligned fx's

Malunions defined by a difference of compared to the uninjured wrist:

- <= 20 degrees lateral tilt
- >= 15 degrees radial inclination
- >= 4mm of ulnar variance
- >= 4mm articular step off or gap

#### Outcomes:

QuickDASH: patient related disability VAS pain/function
Strength and motion measurements

Findings: No differences in QuickDASH, VAS function, strength, and wrist motion Neither the physical activity score or malunion predicted QuickDASH after controlling for age, sex, and treatment Findings: Operative rx did NOT improve outcomes but did increase complications (26% vs 7%) and decrease grip strength. Also, no differences in the low activity patients Conclusions:

Even for highly active older adults malunion of the DR did not affect functional outcomes

Their regression model found that only general health (SF-12) and wrist flexionextension arc were predictive of QuickDASH scores

Cannot fix general health

Perhaps rx should therefore focus on whatever maximizes ROM

# Factors associated with the decision for operative versus conservative treatment of displaced distal radius fractures in the elderly

Wu Y, Yang J, Zhang J, et al ANZ J Surg 2019

Elderly defined as: >= 55 years old

Only fx's treated within 14 days of injury were included

Displaced and unstable fx were defined as:

Initial dorsal angulation > 20 degrees

Initial shortening > 5 mm

>50% dorsal comminution

Intra-articular fx

Ulna fracture

Goal- define factors associated with decision making for operative treatment Authors reviewed rx of 318 consecutive patients treated from 2010-2017 at their clinic

Multivariate analysis- predictors of deciding on operative rx:

Younger patients

Associated orthopedic injuries

Higher AO or Fernandez classification

Radial shortening > 5 mm

Volar tilt < -10 degrees

Volar/dorsal comminution

Ulna variance > 5 mm

Intra-articular step /gap > 2 mm

Associated DRUJ instability or RC dislocation

Treatment by an upper extremity specialist

Conclusions: decision making was predominantly influenced by:

Characteristics/ severity of the injury

Patients age

Specialty of the treating orthopedic surgeon

# <u>Early palmar plate fixation of distal radius fractures may benefit patients aged 50 years or older:</u> <u>a randomized trial comparing 2 different treatment protocols</u>

Sirnio K, Leppilahti J, Ohtonen P, et al Acta Ortho 90(2): 2019, 123-128

Prospective randomized trial

80 patients >= 50 years old

Displaced DR fx's defined as:

> 10 degrees dorsal, < 15 degrees radial inclination, >2 mm + ulna variance AO type C3 excluded

Outcome: DASH at 24 months (clinically relevant difference defined as 15 points)
Randomized to volar ORIF (38) vs initial non-op (42) AFTER a good closed reduction
All patients had an acceptable reduction and then were randomized
16 in the non-op needed delayed surgery for loss of reduction

# Findings:

#### All patients:

Mean DASH sig differed at 2 years: 7.2 vs 14.4 (p=0.005) NOT a 15 point (clinically sig diff)

Flexion and ulna deviation was sig better in the OP group

Grip: no difference

Images: all parameters better in the OP group

Delayed operations for loss of reduction did NOT result in comparable DASH scores to early ORIF

1/3 of all patients lost reduction after 2 weeks

DASH at 24 months for patients >= 65 years of age:

No difference between op and non-op

#### Review of prior studies:

ORIF makes a better x ray but may not be correlated with better functional outcome esp in the elderly

There are only a few randomized trials in the elderly:

Arora et al 2011, Bartl et al 2014: no benefit to ORIF

Martinez-Mendex et al 2018: better functional results for patients > 60 with volar ORIF vs cast

# <u>Intra-articular distal radius fractures in elderly patients: a randomized prospective study of</u> casting versus volar plating

J Hand Surg (EUR) 2018;43(2): 142-147

Martinez-Mendez D, Lizaur-Utrilla A, de-Juan-Herrero J

All patients >= 60 years old

Included "displaced, complex, intra-articular" fractures

Cast (47) vs ORIF (50)

All had an acceptable closed reduction in the ED for inclusion/ randomization defined as:

Radial height > 5mm, radial inclination > 15 degrees, volar tilt 15 degrees to neutral, ulnar variance < 2 mm, articular step off or gap < 2mm

2 years: patient rated wrist evaluation score (PRWE), DASH, pain, ROM, grip strength, images 25% of casts lost reduction

Functional outcomes and quality of life were better after volar ORIF Key for good outcomes:

Restoration of articular surface, radial inclination, ulnar variance Articular step-off was not related to outcome

All mean functional and quality of life scores were higher in the ORIF group

F/E arc same in both groups

Pro/Sup: better in the ORIF group

PREW multivariate analysis showed it was affected by:

Treatment type

NOT by: age, sex, fracture type

Images:

All parameters except volar tilt were better in the ORIF group

PRWE score was significantly associated with:

Radial inclination

Ulnar variance

NO association with articular incongruity

A comparative study of clinical and radiologic outcomes of unstable colles type distal radius fractures in patients older than 70 years: nonoperative treatment versus volar locking plating

Arora R, Gabl M, Gschwentner M, et al J Ortho Trauma 2009; 23:237-242

Retrospective study

130 patients > 70 years old

114 followed for >= 1 year

All were independent patients who could come back for follow up on their own ORIF (53) vs CR/ cast (61)

#### Outcomes:

ROM, grip strength, DASH, PRWE score, VAS pain, Green and O'Brien score Dorsal tilt, radial inclination, radial shortening, union, and arthritis

All initially reduced in ED with local anesthesia

Instability defined as lack of ability to hold the reduced position @ 1-2 weeks - advised to have surgery if/when:

Dorsal tilt > 20 degrees

Shortening of >= 3 mm Articular step of 2 mm

53 of those who lost reduction had surgery 61 declined surgery and were treated with a cast NO randomization

#### Acceptable fx reduction:

Dorsal tilt < 10 degrees Radial shortening < 2 mm Articular step: < 1 mm Carpal alignment was present

ROM, grip, DASH, PRWE, G+O score: No difference btwn groups

Pain: Sig less in cast group

Clinical deformity:

77% of cast group 0% ORIF group

No patients were dissatisfied with the clinical appearance or functional result of their wrist

Images: Malunion occurred in 89% of primarily reduced fractures

ORIF group: Dorsal tilt, radial inclination, radial shortening were sig better in the ORIF group

CR/Cast: 44% never got an acceptable reduction

# Complications ORIF group:

1 delayed union

2 extensor tenosynovitis due to long screws

2 flexor tenosynovitis due to plate edge position

1 EPL rupture due to long screw

1 CTS @ 9 months

7 (13%) had a complication

6 (11%) additional surgeries were needed

# Complications non-op group:

5 CRP type 1/ All resolved

There is poor correlation between images (shape as well as OA) and functional outcomes, in older people

Patients need to perform ADL's without pain to have good functional results:

Functional results were the same in both groups

There was less pain in the cast group

Radiographic post OA was higher in the cast group, but function did not differ

There were more complications in the surgical group

Therefore "less aggressive surgical treatment of DRF's in the elderly (> 70 years old) may be the preferred treatment option"

A prospective randomized trial comparing nonoperative treatment with volar locking plate fixation for displaced and unstable distal radius fractures in patients sixty-five years of age and older

Arora R, Lutz M, Deml C et al JBJA Am. 2011;93:2146-53

Compare outcomes in a randomized prospective trial of ORIF (n=36) vs CR/casting (n=37) for unstable displaced FR fx's in patients >= 65 years old

Outcomes: DASH PRWE, grip, ROM, VAS pain, images, complications

Only independently living patients who were able to travel on their own to the clinic were included in the study

Inclusion:

Unstable dorsally displaced distal radius fractures

#### Acceptive reduction:

Dorsal tilt < 10 degrees Radial shortening <= 3 mm Intra-articular step <= 2mm

All fractures were reduced in the ED

Patients who had an initial acceptable reduction and lost it at one week were eligible for the study

Those randomized to cast were NOT re-reduced

Wrist ROM, pain VAS: No differences @ any time point DASH, PRWE:

ORIF group did better up to 12 weeks No difference @ 6 or 12 months

Grip: Better in ORIF group at all time points Images: Better in the ORIF group (p<0.05)

Complications: Higher in the ORIF group (13 vs 5, p<0.05)

# Clinical deformity:

78% of non-op group 0 of the OR group

NO patients were dissatisfied with the clinical appearance or function of their wrist

#### Images:

100% of the non-op group had a malunion

>10 degrees dorsal tilt >2 mm radial shortening > 1mm step off

Union rate/time: No differences

Arthritis: Present in 53% of patients

Sig higher in the intra-articular group
No patients with x-rays arthritis had pain
But patients were only followed for 1 year

#### Complications:

Sig more in the OR group (13 vs 5)

13 (36%) of the OR group had a complication

11 (31%) needed a second operation

O patients in the non-op group needed an operation

5 extensor tenosynovitis due to prominent screws

4 flexor tenosynovitis due to edge of plate position

1 EPL rupture due to long screw

1 CTS release

#### Conclusions:

At 12 months:

NO difference in ROM, pain, PRWE or Dash ORIF had better grip and better images

Therefore: better looking x-rays do not translate into better functional outcomes for ADL's

# **Complications of Treatment in the Elderly**

<u>Complications associated with operative versus nonsurgical treatment of distal radius fractures</u> in patients aged 65 years and older

Lutz K, Yeoh K, MacDermid J, et al

J Hand Surg Am. 2014;39(7):1280-1286

Single institution study

DR fx's in patients >= 65 years old

Matched by: AO class, sex, age, energy of injury

Complications for operative (n=129) and non-operative (n=129) treatment

Operative rx was not standardized or randomized

Contained a lot of ex fix with pin tract infections

OR group had 13 open fractures vs 0 in the non-op group

Complications definition:

Minor: transient, requited no rx

Moderate: required non-surgical treatment or further studies

Severe: required an operation

# Images:

Acceptable vs unacceptable

Unacceptable:

Dorsal tilt > 10 degrees

Radial inclination < 15 degrees

Ulna variance >= 3 mm

#### Functional outcome:

PRWE @ 1 year

Subset of patients only (140/218)

Mean age 74 (65-90)

F:M 92%: 8%

90% low energy GLF

#### Complications:

29% (37) OP vs 17% (22) non- op SIG p=.03

#### CTS was most common:

N = 22

8 (3 op, 3 non-op) were transient, did not need rx

6 (3 and 3) were moderate, EMG and splinting

8: (2 OP, 6 non-op) were severe, needed surgery

# SSI:

2nd most common complication

N= 16 (6%), all op by definition

Pin sit infections 12/16 (75% of SSI)

Incision site needing oral abx, N= 3

1 recurring draining sinus that need operative I+D and ROH in a patient with glucose control issues, a post op MI, post op ischemic colitis who had a prolonged hospital stay

Greater number of "moderately severe" comps in the OP group mostly due to pin tract infections P<.001

Late complications (defined as after fracture healing):

No diff: 11 (9%) OP vs 7 (5%) non-op

CTR: 2 op and 6 non-op

Tendon transfers for EPL or long extensor rupture: 5 OR, 0 non op

Tenolysis for tendon adhesions: 5 OR, 0 non-op

Dupuytren release: 1 non-op

Ulna shortening and/or ulna hemi-resection: 2 OP, 1 non-op

Revision ORIF/ graft for non-union: 1 OP

I+D, ROH for infection: 1 OP

Volar plates ROH: 2/76 at 2-4 years

Complication rates by operative treatment type:

Volar plate: 22% (16/74) Dorsal plate: 50% (2/4) Ex fix: 42% (16/38) Perc pin: 23% (3/13)

# Malunions:

38% OP vs 69% non-op p<.001

#### 1-year PRWE scores:

Available for 140/218 patients Pain or disability: no difference

#### Conclusions:

Elderly patients who had OR had better x rays
But higher comp rates
Mostly pin tract infection in ex fix group
No difference in functional outcomes in the subset of patients who had scores

# A systematic review of outcomes and complications of treating unstable distal radius fractures in the elderly

Diaz-Garcia R, Oda T, Shauver M, et al J Hand Surg 2011;36A:824-835

There were stat sig differences in wrist ROM Grip strength was sig different

Most common fx seen by physicians
Second most common fx in the elderly after hip fractures
10% of 65 yo white women will have a DR fx in their remaining lifetime
Works out to about 372,000/ year
Will increase as the baby boomers age
Optimal rx is unclear
>50% will lose reduction in a cast
Unclear if operative stabilization results in better functional outcomes

Systematic literature review of 5 methods of rx of DR fx's

Volar locking plate, non-bridging ex fix, bridging ex fix, per wire fixation, cast (CI) For patients with a MEAN age of >= 60 years of age Functional outcomes, images, complications
Minimum follow up of 12 months

#### 21 studies were included:

8 RCT

3 prospective cohort

10 retrospective case reviews

Detected sig differences for ROM, grip, and DASH although" these differences may not be clinically meaningful" (2.5/100 DASH)

Volar tilt and ulna variance differed between the groups with CI having the worst image outcomes

Complications were sig different:

CI had the lowest rate

Volar locking had the highest rate that required additional operations

Despite worse images after casting, functional outcomes did not differ between the op and non-op groups

However, the plating group had a higher rate of major complications requiring another operation

ROM differed sig: CI was the best Grip strength: did not differ Weighted mean DASH: did differ Image parameters: did differ Complications: did differ

# Complications:

Most common *minor*:

Superficial pin tract infections in EF and PKF groups

77 major complications not requiring surgery:

63 were CRPS and nerve lesions

Most common *major* complication requiring surgery was rupture or adhesion of the FPL, EPL or both

4 patients needed CTR

8 volar plates were taken out

#### Complications:

Sig differences in rates and types for all treatments

BrEF: highest proportion of major and minor complications not requiring surgery

VLPS: highest rate of major complications requiring surgery

Cast: lowest complication rate

Conclusions:

ROM: Differed, However, all groups had ROM sufficient for ADL's

DASH: Sig different, but no clinically sig difference (2.5/100)

Images: Sig diff for ulna variance and volar tilt

# **Expert Opinion**

<u>Defining displacement thresholds for surgical intervention for distal radius fractures- a Delphi</u> study

Johnson N, Leighton P, Delphi Study Group, et al PLOS ONE Jan 8, 2019

3 panels of expert opinion from 43 national/international "expert" surgeons

Assumption: evidence which fractures benefit from intervention is varied and of poor quality

There is no objective data

What patient factors affect the decision to intervene?

Based on outcomes at 3 months

3 aims:

Which x-ray paraments are clinically important
Quantify the thresholds at which interventions should happen
What patient factors affect the decision to intervene

Extra-articular fractures:

Ulnar variance was most important Then dorsal tilt Then radial inclination Then radial height

Intra-articular:

Step was the most important Then gap

Surgical thresholds for ages 38 and 58

Surgeons would intervene for:

+2mm ulnar variance

10 degrees dorsal tilt

2 mm step

3 mm gap

Age 75:

Ulnar variance: no consensus

50%: intervene at +4mm

42%: would accept >+5mm

20 degrees dorsal tilt

3 mm step

#### 4 mm gap

Most important factors for intervention decision making:

All related to pre-injury function:

Mental capacity

Pre-injury functional level

Medical co-morbidities

#### Rank order patient factors:

- 1) mental capacity
- 2) Function
- 3) Medical co-morbidities
- 4) Age
- 5) Compliance with rehab
- 6) Occupation
- 7) Fragility

# <u>International survey: factors associated with operative treatment of distal radius fractures and implications for the AAOS appropriate use criteria</u>

Kyriakedes J, Crijns T, Teunis T, et al

J Ortho TR 33(10)Oct 2019

Intra-articular DR Fx's

Expert opinion study of 28 cases/ survey of 224 surgeons

Image based

Age based (50 years old vs 70 years old)

2013 AAOS released Appropriate use Criteria (AUC) based in 2009 Clinical practice Guidelines (CPG) for DR Fx's

Factors in the AUC include:

OTA/AO fx type

MOI

Patient activity level

ASA status (patient health)

Other injuries

The online tool gives recs for rx options:

Appropriate

May be appropriate

Rarely appropriate

Patient age, image based fx displacement are NOT included in the AUC

Found to be "critical" clinical factors in decision making in studies

Fractures were classified as:

Not clinically sig displaced

Expected to be treated non-op

Potentially clinically significantly displaced:

>2mm intra-articular step

Dorsal angulation > 20 degrees

Dorsal comminution (>= 3 fragments)

Radial shortening

Associated ulna fracture

Based on studies that showed these are factors that adversely affect functional outcome

Patient factors independently associated with deciding for surgery:

Younger age, OR 6.7

Clinically sig fx displacement, OR type B 122, Type C 59

Normal activity level, OR 5

High energy MOI, OR 1.3

Surgeon factors associated with deciding for surgery:

Practicing in Europe vs the US, OR 2.6, other countries OR 4.8

Hand trained vs trauma trained OR 2.3

Hand trained vs "other" ortho surgeons, OR 2.2

Age: No differences between patients aged 50 vs 70

No effect on decision making:

Patient gender

Surgeons gender, age, years in practice, number of fx's rx's/year, teaching trainees

Most survey surgeons more frequently treated non-displaced intra-articular fx's non-op than displaced intra-articular fx's

May represent a paradigm shift

Jupiter's paper introduced a "gold standard" of obtaining < 2mm of intra-articular step off

However:

Image based dx or arthrosis does NOT correlate with poor functional outcomes The cartilage injury itself may lead to arthrosis

Intra-articular malunions and image arthrosis are not associated with worse outcomes in 2 studies with 15 and 38 year follow up

Survey surgeons more frequently treated intra-articular fx's non-op in older active patients vs. younger active patients

Several studies have shown that image mal-unions do not correlate with functional outcomes in patients > 55 years old 6-12 months post injury

Even in highly active patients

Non-op rx in the elderly is associated with fewer complications, less pain, and similar clinical outcomes

Non-op rx in the elderly may lead to a cosmetic deformity that patients tolerate but need to be warned about

#### AUC rec:

Non-op rx of most intra-articular fx's is "rarely appropriate"

Only 60% of survey results agreed

37% were in disagreement

Survey surgeons decision for rx of a clinically insignificant intra-articular displacement was "rarely appropriate" 84% of the time

Due to lack of inclusion of fx displacement in the AUC algorithm

And AUC recommends surgery for nearly all low energy intra- articular fx's for

**ASAS 1,2,3** 

#### Older patient decision making:

48% disagreement with AUC

AUC uses patient activity, and ASA status

But not age

May prevent the identification of healthy, active, older patients

Study showed that surgeons take patient age and fx displacement into account when making decisions

Evidence that surgery does not improve outcomes in the elderly

Non-op management is a viable option for this population

# **Imaging and Instability and/or Outcomes**

<u>Do radiological and functional outcomes correlate for fractures of the distal radius?</u> Plant C, Parsons N, Costa M JBJS 2017;99-B:376-82

Do radiological measurements correlate with patient reported functional outcomes, health related QOL, and physical measures of function?

50 patients

Mean age 57 (26-85) ("predominantly elderly") and able to have surgery Surgical fixation (volar plate or perc pinning) of an acute dorsally displaced DR fx

X-ray measurements correlated poorly with patient reported outcomes and physical measures of function:

Post op palmar tilt (weak) and ulnar variance (weak @ 12 months only):

At 6 weeks and 12 months correlated with patient rated wrist evaluation (PRWE), DASH At 3,6 and 12 months with EuroQol scores, grip strength, pinch strength, and ROM

Outcome was age related:

All patients < 50 had better DASH and PRWE at all time points All patients < 50 had greater grip and pinch strength at all timers

Historically x ray deformity was thought to correspond with poor functional outcome:

McQueen: 17 patients

Dorsal angulation > 10 degrees and radial shortening > 2 mm had worse outcome

Now controversial

Prior studies on x-rays and clinical outcomes:

Villar et all (900 patients): correlated post op images and grip strength ( no other study has found this)

McQueen (15 patients): dorsal > 10 and radial shortening > 2 mm associated with poor grip strength and ROM at 5 years

Only one study (n=78) detected a weak correlation btwn dorsal angulation and DASH Kumar et al and other studies on "older" patients: association in dorsal and DASH in patients < 60 years old only, no association when > 60

Synn et al: "older" no association between PRWE and images at 6 months Karzernis et al: weak correlation of PRWE and radial shortening at 12 months

# What are the radiological predictors of functional outcome following fractures of the distal radius?

Ng C, McQueen M JBJS(Br) 2011;93-B:145-50

No consensus on what "acceptable" x ray position is Should be defined as a position that predicts good function in the majority of cases

High functional demand patients:

Joint: < 2mm gap or step off < 2mm loss of radial length Carpal alignment is restored

# Articular incongruity:

Relationship to degenerative change is unclear

1) Jupiter et al:

40 pts mean of 6.7 years FU
Step of >= 2mm = 100% xrays DJD
93% were symptomatic but
Only 1 pt with bilateral fx's stopped working
61% had a good or excellent result

2) Catalano et al

21 patients, young, mean 7.1 years FU 76% xray DJD NO poor clinical outcomes

# 3) Forward et al

108 patients at 38 years

65% malunion rate

No reported limitation of activity, no salvage procedures

Intra-articular injury was predictive of xray changes and reduced wrist flexion

#### Radial height:

Radial shortening in cadaveric experiments had the greatest effect on DRUJ kinematics and distortion of the DRUJ

Compared to loss of radial inclination and palmar tilt

# Clinical studies:

Prospective and retrospective found that shortening had the greatest effect on results Should be the primary goal of surgery

>4 mm of shortening was associated with pain at 23 months

#### Ulna variance:

Greater variance correlates with ulna sided wrist pain

McQueen et al:

120 patients

Pro/randomized trial

> 3mm of increased variance resulted in decreased grip strength

#### Radial inclination:

Loss is due to axial compression

Correlates with decreased grip strength

Loss > 10 degrees corelated with a worse DASH in one study

#### Dorsal/ palmar tilt:

Conflicting evidence on impact on clinical functional outcomes

Cadaveric studies:

Increasing dorsal tilt leads to worse incongruity of the RUJ, tightness of the interosseous membrane and limited rotation

Pressure distribution changes

#### Conclusions:

Unclear what acceptable x ray measurements are

Wide spectrum of injuries

Different study methodologies

Different parameters studied

Emphasized that these be used for active patients likely to use their wrists for ADL's where some strength is required NOT for frail older patients

### Grewel et al:

216 patients

Extra-articular fx's assessed at 1 yeart

Unacceptable: dorsal tilt > 10, radial inclination < 15, > 3mm ulna variance

Mal- alignment was associated with a higher risk of a poor outcome

But the impact diminished with age

Emphasized that the best data is associated with loss of radial length/ ulna variance:

Recommend restoring to within 2 mm of normal length

Effect of step off is less clear:

Leads to x-ray changes but does not necessarily affect function

2mm would be a sweet spot for those with high functional demands

Residual palmar/dorsal tilt:

Less clear

May be associated with loss of motion and strength

#### <u>Is it really necessary to restore radial anatomic parameters after distal radius fractures?</u>

Perugia D, Guzzini M, Civitenga C, et al Injury 45S (2014) S21-26

Retrospective review

51 patients, volar plate, "articular unstable" DR Fx

Mean age: 53 years

Radial height

Radial inclination

Volar tilt

Ulnar variance

Avg FU:40.5 months

#### Unstable fx:

Dorsal tilt > 20 degrees

Initial displacement > 1 cm

Intra-articular "disruption"

Excluded:

Partial articular injuries AO B2

Outcomes: ROM, grip strength, DASH

84% recovered "completely" ROM compared to the other side:

F/E, sup/pro

#### 8 patients did not:

Post op ulnar variance (0.7-1.5 mm) or volar tilt (7-15 degrees) was out of range Had a stat difference in ROM and a worse DASH

#### All patients:

Had stat diff in grip strength: avg of 87% of the other side

Mean DASH: 12.2

#### Conclusions:

Restoring ulnar variance and volar tilt seem to be the key for restoring good functional outcomes

Small variations do not seem to affect outcome

Not over 17 degrees of volar tilt

27.5 degrees of radial inclination

17.3 mm of radial height

4 mm of ulnar variance

# <u>Predictors of unstable distal radius fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis</u> Walenkamp M, Aydin S, Mulders M, et al J Hand Surg (EUR) 2016;41E(5):501-515

Systematic review to identify predictors of secondary displacement of DR Fx's 27 studies included: only included fractures treated non-op Likely excluded the most unstable fx's from this analysis

#### Pooled results showed an increased risk for:

**Dorsal comminution** 

Women

Age > 60

# Pooled data shows NO increased risk for:

Associated ulna fracture

Intra-articular involvement

# Defined un-acceptable parameters are:

>10 degrees dorsal angulation

>3mm radial shortening

Intra-articular step off

# Quote a re- displacement rate of 64%

# Lafontaine et al 1989 defined 5 factors predictive of instability:

>20 degrees dorsal angulation at presentation

**Dorsal comminution** 

Intra-articular extension

Age > 60

Potentially unstable if >=3 if these are present Studies have confirmed and refuted this

### Pooled data defined predictors:

Female gender
Age > 6—65 years
Dorsal comminution

#### Pooled data defined NOT a predictor:

Associated ulna styloid fx Intra-articular component

Noted that this could be due to the fact that patients with this might get operative treatment so there would be less severe fx's in the studies

Dorsal angulation > 15 degrees or > 20 degrees from neutral

Same might be true where these fractures are preferentially operated on

# <u>Influence of cortical comminution and intra-articular involvement in distal radius fracture on clinical outcome: a prospective multicenter study</u>

Wadsten M, Buttazzoni G, Sjoden G, et al J Wrist Surg 2017;6:285-293

#### Dorsal comminution defined as:

Free floating piece of cortex
Fragments <= 3mm were not included

# Acceptable alignment:

Volar tilt< 20 degrees Dorsal titl < 10 degrees Radial tilt > 10 degrees + ulna variance < 2mm Joint step < 2mm

Fx's with acceptable alignment were placed into a SAC Fx's without were reduced Checked again at 10-14 days If they displaced patients were offered surgery

Analysis showed that operative vs conservative rx did not affect: QuickDASH, EQ-5D, or grip

What is the predictive value of cortical comminution and intra- articular involvement on functional outcomes @ 1 year 406 patients from skeletal maturity to 74 years old Initial unacceptable position correlated with:

Warra Orial DACIL FO FD James with and

Worse QuickDASH, EQ-5D, lower grip, and less ROM

Dorsal comminution was associated with:

# Worse QuickDASH, reduced flexion and pro/sip Volar comminution was associated with: Less extension

Intra-articular involvement was associated with: Less f/e, worse EQ-5D Comminuted vs non-comminuted fx's:Sig effect on ROM Older age and female gender (women in the study were older): Lower QuickDASH

Concluded the following affected clinical outcomes:

Initial fx position
Type of comminution
Intra-articular involvement

Initial fx position has the greatest effect on clinical outcome Type of comminution and intra-articular involvement also affected outcome

Other studies showed these factors affect outcome:

Displacement at union, ligamentous injuries, fx comminution, age, patient education level, socioeconomic status, injury compensation

<u>Prediction of distal radius fracture displacement: a validation study</u> Walenkamp M, Mulders M, van Hilst J, et al

J Ortho TR 2018;32:e92-96

Evaluate the Edinburgh Wrist Calculator at predicting re-displacement of DR Fx's Included fx's with:

Initial dorsal angulation > 10 degrees And/or ulna variance of > 3mm Treated with CR/ cast between 2009-2014 EWC was not a good predictor

#### **Bibliography**

Arora R, Lutz M, Deml C, et al.

A prospective randomized trial comparing nonoperative treatment and volar locking plate fixation for displaced and unstable distal radial fractures in patients 65 years of age and older JBJS(A)93(23): 2011, 2146-2153

Arora R, Gabl M, Gschwenter M, et al.

A comparative study of clinical and radiologic outcomes of unstable colles type distal radius fractures in patients older than 70 years: nonoperative treatment versus volar locking plating J Ortho Trauma 23(4), 2009: 237-242

Chen Y, Chen X, Li Z, et al.

Safety and efficacy of operative versus Nonsurgical management of distal radius fractures in elderly patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis

J Hand Surg Am 41, 2006: 404-413

Diaz-Gracia R, Oda T, Shauver M, et al.

A systematic review of outcomes and complications of treating unstable distal radius fractures in the elderly

ASSH 36A, 2011: 824-835

Johnson N, Leighton P, Study group, et al.

Defining displacement thresholds for surgical intervention for distal radius fractures- a Delphi study

PLOS one, 14(1), 2019

Ju J, Jin G, Li G, et al.

Comparison of treatment outcomes between nonsurgical and surgical treatment of distal radius fracture in elderly: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Langenbecks Arch Surg 400, 2015: 767-779

Levin L, Rozell J, Pulos N Distal Radius Fractures in the Elderly JAAOS 25(3), 2017: 179-187

Lichtman D, Bindra R, Boyer M, et al. Treatment of distal radius fractures AAOS CPG JAAOS 18, 2010: 180-189

Lutz K, Yeoh K, MacDermid J, et al.

Complications associated with operative versus nonsurgical treatment of distal radius fractures in patients aged 65 years and older

J Hand Surg Am 39, 2014: 1280-1286

Marcheix P, Dot zis A, Benko P, et al.

Extension fractures of the distal radius in patients older than 50: a prospective randomized study comparing fixation using mixed pins or a palmar fixed-angle plate JHS(E) 35E(8), 2010: 646-651

Martinez-Mendez D, Lizaur-Utrilla A, de-Juan-Herrero J.

Intra-articular distal radius fractures in elderly patients: a randomized prospective study of casting versus volar plating JHS(E) 43(2), 2018: 142-147

Navarro C, Brolund A, Ekholm C, et al.

Treatment of radius or ulna fractures in the elderly: a systematic review covering effectiveness, safety, economic aspects and current practice PLOS one 14(3), 2019

Nelson G, Stepan J, Osei D, et al.

The impact of patient activity level on wrist disability after distal radius malunion in older adults J Ortho Tr 29(4), 2015: 195-200

Ng C, McQueen M

What are the radiological parameters of functional outcome following fractures of the distal radius?

JBJS(B) 93(2), 2011: 145-150

Perugia D, Guzzini M, Civitenga C, et al.

Is it really necessary to restore radial anatomic parameters after distal radius fractures? Injury 455, 2014: S21-26

Plant C, Parsons N, Costa M.

Do radiological and functional outcomes correlate for fractures of the distal radius? JBJS(B) 99(3), 201: 376-382

Sirnio K, Leppilahti KJ, Ohtonen P, et al.

Early palmar plate fixtion of distal radius fractures may benefit patients aged 50 or older: a randomized trial comparing 2 different treatment protocols Acta Ortho 90(2), 2019: 123-128

Teunis T, Janssen S, Guitton T, et al.

Surgeon personality is associated with recommendation for operative treatment Hand 10, 2015: 779-784

Vannabouathong C, Hussain N, Guerra-Farfan E, et al.

Interventions for distal radius fractures: a network meta-analysis of randomized trial JAAOS 27, 2019: e596-e605

Wadsten M, NButtazzoni G, Sjoden G, et al.

Influence of cortical comminution and intra-articular involvement in distal radius fractures on clinical outcome: a prospective multicenter study

J Wrist Surgery 6(4), 2017: 285-293

Walenkamp M, Aydin S, Mulders M, et al.

Predictors of unstable distal radius fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis JHS(E) 41(5), 2016: 501-515

Walenkapm M, Mulders M, van Hilst J, et al.

Prediction of distal radius fracture redisplacement: a validation study

Wu Y, Yang J, Xie L, et al.

Factors associated with the decision for operative versus conservative treatment of displaced distal radius fractures on the elderly

ANZ J Surg 2019,

# Do all fractures need surgery? How do I decide?

# **Ankle Fractures Indications for Surgery**

# Paul Tornetta III, MD

# AAOS Symposium-Tuesday Feb 13, 2024

# A. Principles

- 1. Congruence
- 2. Stability
- 3. Outcome
  - a. Mortise at union
  - b. Joint reaction forces

#### **B.** Isolated Malleolar Fractures

- 1 Medial Malleolus
  - a. Weight bearing vs. not
  - b. Pattern
    - i. Supracollicular
    - ii. Intracollicular
    - iii. Anterior colliculus
  - c. Displacement
    - i. Periosteum interposed or not
    - ii. Union needed or not
- 2. Lateral Malleolus
  - a. Height
    - i. Weber classification
    - ii. LH classification
  - b. Stability
    - i. Deltoid in or out
    - ii. Syndesmosis in or out

# C. Bi- and Tri- Mallolar Fractures

- 1. Patient factors
  - a. Age
  - b. Activity level
  - c. Neuropathy
  - d. Potential compliance
- 2. Fracture considerations
  - a. Displacement
  - b. Position in cast

# Do All Fractures Need Surgery? How Do I Decide?

# **Humerus shaft fractures in the young.**

Robert F. Ostrum, M.D.
University or North Carolina – Chapel Hill
AAOS Symposium- Tuesday Feb 13, 2024

# **Humeral Shaft Fractures**

Radial nerve injury 10-12%

- Operative management showed no improved recovery (Liu)
- Recovery not influenced by management,
- Should not wait for recovery > 6 mos (Shao)
- Early exploration \_ GSW, assoc vascular injury, open fractures, penetrating wound
- Holstein Lewis ? entrapment, can treat non-op

Floating elbow – high complication rate, suboptimal results

# Non-op management - Fracture brace

- Indication Closed, isolated fx
- Accept 15-20° angulation, < 30° rotational malalignment, up to 5 cm shortening
- Relative indications Type A fxs, proximal 1/3, segmental, open fx, polytrauma
- Sarmiento 620 fxs open 25%, segmental 1%, radial nerve palsy 11%
  - 6% open, 1.5% closed fxs to nonunion
  - healing 9.5 weeks closed, 14 weeks open
  - 87% of 565 <16° varus
  - 81% of 546 <16° anterior angulation
  - 89% lost <10° shoulder motion, 92° lost <10° of elbow motion, ? loss of shoulder ER but better with PT
- Higher nonunion rate proximal 1/3, type A, increase gap (Papasoulis)
- Lack of bridging callus at 6 weeks (Papasoulis, Oliver, Neuhaus)
- Return to function for SF-36 and return to work (62%) at 24 weeks Cannada

# **Operative Treatment**

- Indications unsatisfactory closed treatment, polytrauma, floating elbow, intraarticular extension, vascular injury, progressive nerve injury, neurologic injury after penetrating trauma, pathologic fx
- Plate fixation
  - Approaches
  - Anterolateral approach for proximal and midshaft fxs
  - Brachialis split radial and musculocutaneous innervation
  - Posterior approach for middle, distal third or radial nerve exploration
  - Split, paratricipital

- Radial nerve pierces lateral IM septum at 10 cms from lateral epicondyle
- 4.5 narrow DCP, 6-8 cortices on each side of fracture
- 96 fxs treated with 3.5 plate, 3 plate failures, 97.5% union at 17 weeks (Idoine)
- pre-bend for transverse fracture, long bridging plate for comminution
- bicortical locking screws for osteoporotic bone
- early ROM, WB on arm safe with 94% of 83 fxs healing with WB depending on other injuries not fracture pattern (Tingstad, Wolinsky)
- Plating union rate >95% with contemporary fixation
- Complications radial nerve palsy (2-5%) usually neurapraxia, infection (closed fx 1-2%, open fx 2-5%)

# - Intramedullary Nailing

- Antegrade around greater tuberosity,
- Antegrade nails, distal interlocking anterior to posterior
- Antegrade nails can cause significant rotator cuff injury
- 5-33 % chronic shoulder pain
- Retrograde from supracondylar region locking, flexible options
- Flexible nails with complications backing out, loss of axial stability
- 91% union rate but intra-op complications, 2% poor elbow function

-Comparing plating vs non-op

Author	Number	Nonunion	Malunion	Radial	DASH	Time to
				Nerve	3 mos	union
				Palsy	6 mos	months
Denard 2010						
Non-op	34	24% *	12.7% *	9.5%		4.76
Plating	82	8.7% *	1.3% *	2.7%		4.87
Cannada						
2018					35	
	57	11%		14%	20	
Non-op						
Plating	45	2%		13% + 13%	28.8 18.3	
Mahdi						
2019					0.4	
	30	2			26.7	40 1 1
Non-op						19 weeks *

Plating	30	0		29.1	14 weeks *

#### - No evidence available in literature

- Gosler, Cochrane Database 2012
- Clement ND, Systematic Review 2015

#### References

Liu GY, Zhang CY, et al. Comparison of initial nonoperative and operative management of radial nerve palsy associated with acute humeral shaft fracture. Orthopaedics. 2012;35(8):702-8.

Shao YC, Harwood P, Grotz MR, et al. Radial nerve palsy associated with fractures of the shaft of the humerus: a systematic review. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2005 Dec;87912): 1647-52.

Sarmiento A, Zagorski JB, Zych GA, et al. Functional bracing for the treatment of fractures of the humeral diaphysis. J Bone Joint Surg AM 2000 Apr;82(4):478-86.

Neuhaus V, Menendez M, Kurylo JC, et al Risk factors for fracture mobility six weeks after initiation of brace treatment of mid-diaphyseal humeral fractures. J Bone Joint Surg AM 2014 Mar 5; 96: 403-40<u>7.</u>

<u>Papasoulis E</u><sup>1</sup>, <u>Drosos GI</u>, <u>Ververidis AN</u>, <u>Verettas DA</u>. Functional bracing of humeral shaft fractures. A review of clinical studies. Injury 2010. July;41(7): e21-27.

<u>Idoine JD 3rd</u><sup>1</sup>, <u>French BG</u>, <u>Opalek JM</u>, <u>DeMott L</u>. Plating of acute humeral diaphyseal fractures through an anterior approach in multiple trauma patients. J Orthop Trauma 2012 Jan;26(1):9-18.

Tingstad EM, Wolinsky, PR, Shyr Y, Johnson KD. Effect of immediate weightbearing on plated fractures of the humeral shaft. J Trauma 2000 Aug; 49:278-80.

Oliver WM, Smith TJ, Nicholson JA, Molyneux SG, White TO, Clement ND, Duckworth AD. The Radiographic union score for humeral fractures (RUSHU) predicts humeral nonunion.

Bone Joint J. 2019 Oct;101-B(10):1300-1306.

Gosler M, Testroote M, et al. Surgical versus non-surgical interventions for treating humeral shaft fractures in adults. Cochrane Database Rev. 2012 Jan 18;1.

Cannada L, et al. Operative v. Non operative treatment of Isolated Humeral Shaft Fractures: A Prospective Cohort Study. Orthopaedic Trauma Association, Orlando, FL, 2018.

Denard A, Richards J, et al. Outcome of Operative vs Non-operative Treatment of Humeral Shaft Fractures: A Retrospective Study of 213 Patients. Orthopaedics Aug 11 2010. 33 (8)

Mahdi S, Khameneh H. Humeral shaft fracture: a randomized controlled trial of nonoperative versus operative management (plate fixation). Orthopaedic Research and Reviews 2019:11; 141-147