The Influence of Calcar Comminution on Outcomes After Locked Plate Fixation of Proximal Humerus Fractures: An Analysis of Complication Rates, Range of Motion, and PROMIS

Brittany Haws¹, Steven Samborski, Steven Karnyski¹, John T Gorczyca¹, Gregg T Nicandri², Ilya Voloshin³, John P Ketz ¹University of Rochester, ²University of Rochester Medical Center, ³Sawgrass Surgery Center INTRODUCTION:

The presence of calcar comminution has been suggested to increase risk for failure after open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) of proximal humerus fractures. However, the influence on range of motion (ROM) and patient reported outcomes (PROs) remains debated. The purpose of this study is to compare outcomes up to 1 year after proximal humerus ORIF between fractures with or without calcar comminution. METHODS:

Patients >55 years old who underwent proximal humerus ORIF from 2015-2018 with at least 1 year of follow up were retrospectively identified. Injury radiographs were reviewed to determine AO/OTA fracture classification and assess for presence of calcar comminution. Visual analog scale (VAS) pain scores and PROMIS physical function (PF), pain interference (PI), and depression scores were obtained up to 1 year postoperatively. ROM values including active forward flexion (AFF), passive forward flexion (PFF), and external rotation (ER) were also recorded. Complications and reoperations during the first postoperative year were identified. Outcomes were compared between those with and without comminution using independent t-tests (continuous) and chi square analysis (categorical). Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS:

75 patients were included in this analysis, 26 comminuted and 49 non-comminuted. No differences in baseline characteristics were identified. A greater percentage of type C fractures were observed in the comminuted group compared to the non-comminuted group (50% vs 22.4%, p=0.04). Comminuted fractures had a higher complication rate than those without comminution (34.6% vs 14.3%, p=0.04). Comminuted fractures had a higher complication rate than those without comminution (34.6% vs 14.3%, p=0.04). Comminuted fractures experienced with a significantly higher revision/conversion rate (23.1% vs 2.0%, p<0.01). Comminuted fractures experienced decreased AFF at 3 and 6 months, ER at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year, and decreased PFF at all timepoints (**Table 1**). No differences in VAS pain scores or PROMIS PF, PI, or depression scores were identified at any timepoint (p>0.05). DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Calcar comminution is associated with higher complication and reoperation rates and decreased ROM during the first year after proximal humerus ORIF. However, this did not lead to worse PROs at any timepoint. This highlights the importance of medial support for successful proximal humerus ORIF. Greater consideration of alternative surgical options may be warranted for proximal humerus fractures when calcar comminution is present.

	Not Comminuted	Comminuted	de contra
	(N=49)	((N=20)	TP-value
Any Complication (n) +	14.3% (7)	34.6% (9)	0.04
Revision/Conversion (n) §	2.9%(1)	23.1% (6)	-90.01
Other Reoperations (n) \$	8.2% (4)	3.9% (1)	0.65
Malunion (n)	10.2% (5)	50.0% (13)	<0.01
Active Forward Flexion (Mean ± SD, *)			
2-week tonow up	2.0 ± 13.4	2.6 ± 9.7	0.85
6-week tonow up	38.0 = 40.8	37.5 ± 32.7	
6 month follow up	124 8 + 28 2	102.1 + 22.2	<0.01
Lugar follow up	129.1 ± 32.3	107.5 + 33.7	0.15
Pageing Engrand Election (Mean + SD %)	127.1 = 52.5	107.0 × 00.7	0.12
2-week follow up	30 8 + 42 7	99+260	0.03
6-week follow up	91.2 ± 46.6	59.9 ± 41.9	< 0.01
3-month follow up	130.2 ± 31.7	94.6 ± 51.1	< 0.01
6-month follow up	145.6 ± 20.9	117.2 ± 18.3	<0.01
1-year follow up	162.8 ± 15.4	106.4 ± 45.9	0.02
External Rotation (Mean ± SD, °)			
2-week follow up	2.8 ± 10.0	1.4 ± 6.0	0.52
6-week follow up	26.2 ± 21.7	10.9 ± 12.1	<0.01
3-month follow up	42.3 ± 18.6	29.5 ± 21.8	0.03
6-month follow up	51.4 ± 20.4	37.7 ± 19.6	0.04
1-year follow up	55.8 ± 21.3	30.6 ± 18.8	0.04
VAS Pain Score (Mean ± SD)			
2-week follow up	2.6 ± 2.5	3.3 ± 3.2	0.33
6-week follow up	2.0 ± 2.1	2.2 ± 2.5	0.65
3-month follow up	1.6 ± 2.0	1.9 ± 2.2	0.58
6-month tonow up	1.6 ± 2.1	2.5 ± 2.8	0.17
1-year tollow up	1.0 ± 1.5	0.8 ± 1.2	0.68
PROMIS Pain Interference (Mean = SD)	624.42	619.61	0.22
2-week tonow up	62.5 ± 6.7	64.8 ± 0.1	0.22
6-week tollow up	58.5 = 5.6	59.0 ± 0.0	0.40
3-month follow up	55.1 ± 7.6	22.8 ± 8.4	0.75
6-month totlow up	55.5 ± 8.9	55.0 ± 7.7	0.90
PROMIS Physical Eurotics (Maan + SD)	32.3 ± 8.7	31.9 ± 0.2	0.90
2 mark follow me	241+77	20.5 + 7.1	0.10
6 mark follow up	37.9 + 5.5	367+97	0.10
3-month follow up	40.2 ± 8.3	399+72	0.89
6-month follow up	43.1 + 8.3	417+87	0.82
1-year follow up	44.7 ± 7.3	44.2 ± 6.3	0.87
PROMIS Depression (Mean ± SD)			
2-week follow up	50.4 ± 10.8	51.7 ± 7.8	0.65
6-week follow up	50.0 ± 9.5	52.0 ± 7.4	0.41
3-month follow up	47.3 ± 10.2	47.4 ± 8.5	0.96
6-month follow up	48.0 ± 10.5	49.2 ± 9.1	0.72
1-year follow up	46.5 ± 11.3	46.4 ± 8.0	0.98
SD = Standard deviation: VAS = Visual ana	log scale: PROMIS = Pati	ent reported outcomes n	reasurement
SD = Standard deviation; VAS = Visual ana information system Polofface indicates statistical significance. †p-values calculated using independent t-tes the Complications: Not Comminued - intraark encrosis (2), hardware failure (1), deep infec- cutout (5), avascular mecrosis (1), hardware 8 All conversions to RSA excert 2 revision	log scale; PROMIS = Pati its, chi square analysis and icular screw cutout (1), syn ttion (1), adhesive capsulit failure (3) ORIF (Comminuted)	ent reported outcomes n fisher's exact test mptomatic hardware (1) is (1), Comminuted – in	acasurement , avascular traarticular scre