

Full Thickness Rotator Cuff Tear Repair Outcomes Based on Geocoding and Socioeconomic Factors

Branden J Wright, Vineeth Romiyo, Nicholas Pohl, Michael A Curry, Alex Zhang, Parker Hunt Johnsen, Mark A Pollard, Matthew Kleiner, Catherine Julia Fedorka¹

¹Cooper University Hospital

INTRODUCTION: Investigating outcome disparities in orthopedics has garnered substantial interest¹. The purpose of our study was to investigate potential disparities in outcomes following RTC repair within the Philadelphia metropolitan area.

METHODS: 251 patients who underwent RTC repair for full thickness tear between 2014 and 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. Patients were stratified based upon their zip code's median household income and Social Deprivation Index (SDI) as proxies of socioeconomic status (SES). We referenced the Federal Reserve and Federal Housing and Urban Development agency's income limits to group patients: extremely low/very low income (EVL), low income, middle income, and high income. Patients were also stratified based on their SDI quartile. A higher SDI correlates with an increasingly disadvantaged area. Primary outcomes included ASES, SANE, and patient satisfaction scores at 12 months. Secondary variables included age, race, BMI, smoking status, Charlson comorbidity index (CCI), tear size, ROM, and VAS pain scores. One-way ANOVA, Kruskal Wallis, and Chi-Squared tests were performed to detect differences in primary and secondary outcomes. Linear regressions were performed to examine the correlation between ASES/SANE scores with median household income classifications and SDI quartiles.

RESULTS: There was no difference between average age, CCI, tear size, number of tendons involved, pain scores, complication rate, or retear rate between groups (Figure 1). Patients from EVL and high SDI areas had a higher average BMI, were majority African American and Hispanic, and had a lower rate of return to work. EVL and high SDI area patients had significantly decreased ASES, SANE, and satisfaction scores compared to higher income groups. Linear regression revealed a statistically significant positive correlation between ASES and SANE scores with median household income and a statistically significant negative correlation between ASES and SANE scores with SDI (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:

Our results suggest that individuals from underserved demographics do worse functionally and have lower satisfaction following RTC repair. We urge health care systems and orthopaedic physicians to identify ways in which they can improve access to care for low-income communities. We also recommend that risk-adjusted compensation models should be considered by healthcare systems to eliminate provider hesitation secondary to compensation concerns².

Figure 1. Results Table

	Median Household Income Categories				P-Value	SDI Quartiles				P-Value
	EVL	Low	Middle	High		1	2	3	4	
Gender (F) ¹	57.4%	47.7%	52.2%	42.9%	0.480	49.3%	50.0%	44.0%	60%	0.363
Age*	57.55	59.20	58.48	62.46	0.109	60.66	58.27	59.52	57.63	0.184
BMI*	28.24	29.02	28.69	27.54	0.109	29.34	27.33	29.94	28.04	0.034*
CCI*	31.06	31.01	31.69	27.10	0.013*	28.93	31.16	31.13	32.01	0.034*
Tendons Involved*	26.19	26.13	27.28	24.72	0.322	26.20	26.05	27.01	26.37	0.170
Tear Size (cm)*	3.17	3.27	3.06	3.75	0.185	3.51	2.88	3.22	3.29	0.757
Returns to Work ¹	21.67	21.70	21.65	21.56	0.125	21.76	21.36	21.65	21.77	0.189
ASES*	1.53	1.71	1.55	1.62	<0.001*	1.70	1.59	1.68	1.60	<0.001*
SANE*	50.65	50.74	50.66	50.82	<0.001*	50.73	50.75	50.68	50.70	<0.001*
Extremely Satisfied	2.33	2.02	2.13	2.67	0.003*	2.36	1.95	2.00	2.35	<0.001*
Satisfied	21.14	21.04	21.34	21.61	0.003*	21.39	21.26	21.12	21.06	<0.001*
Somewhat Satisfied	60.0%	63.3%	62.5%	62.5%	0.003*	67.3%	69.1%	68%	61.9%	<0.001*
Not Satisfied at All	68.87	81.77	86.22	85.12	0.003*	86.96	81.64	87.17	69.10	<0.001*
Very Satisfied	28.05	23.73	18.81	19.52	0.003*	218.77	220.56	217.57	227.84	<0.001*
Extremely Satisfied	73.98	82.85	89.22	82.82	0.003*	86.90	82.86	89.10	74.22	<0.001*
Satisfied	26.38	20.76	16.20	24.28	0.003*	220.00	221.66	212.44	226.2	<0.001*
Somewhat Satisfied	45.7%	76.1%	76.1%	67.9%	0.003*	77.5%	76.6%	80%	45.3%	<0.001*
Not Satisfied at All	32.6%	11.9%	16.4%	17.9%	0.012*	14.1%	9.4%	20%	28.1%	<0.001*
Somewhat Satisfied	8.7%	8.3%	6.0%	7.1%	0.012*	5.6%	10.9%	0%	12.5%	<0.001*

¹Not Satisfied at All, ²Very Satisfied, ³Extremely Satisfied, ⁴Satisfied, ⁵Somewhat Satisfied
 BMI, body mass index, CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, ASES, American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeon's score, SANE, Single Nunnest Evaluation score, SDI, social deprivation index.
 *Statistical significance (P<0.05).
¹Statistics performed via One Way ANOVA test.
²Statistics performed via Chi Square Test

Figure 2. Linear Regression of Median Household Income Compared with Shoulder Scores

