The Effect of BMI on 5-Year Arthroscopic Femoroacetabular Hip Surgery Outcomes: Underweight and Obese Patients Demonstrate a Significantly Higher Risk of Not Achieving Clinically Significant Outcomes, Revision Hip Arthroscopy, or Conversion to Total Hip Arthroplasty

Reagan Chapman¹, Mario Hevesi, Morgan Westcott Rice, Shane Jay Nho¹

¹Midwest Orthopaedics at Rush

INTRODUCTION: This large retrospective study sought to determine the relationship between body mass index (BMI) and likelihood of achievement of clinically significant outcomes (CSOs) as well as risk for conversion to total hip arthroplasty (THA) or revision hip arthroscopy after primary hip arthroscopy (HA) for symptomatic femoroacetabular impingement (FAI).

METHODS: A retrospective review identified patients who underwent primary HA for symptomatic FAI with minimum 5years follow up. Patients were grouped according to BMI as follows: underweight (BMI < 18.5), normal (BMI 18.5 – 24.9), pre-obesity (BMI 25.0 – 29.9), and obesity (BMI > 30.0). CSOs were assessed by achievement of previously defined threshold scores to achieve a Minimal Clinically Important Difference (MCID), Patient Acceptable Symptom State (PASS), and Substantial Clinical Benefit (SCB) at 5-years for the HOS-ADL, HOS-SS, mHHS, and iHOT-12. This study simultaneously evaluated the risk of revision arthroscopy or conversion to THA in the same cohort. Cox proportional-hazards analysis was used to determine the relationship between BMI and risk of failure to meet MCID, PASS, and SCB for any PRO, as well as risk of revision arthroscopy or conversion to THA including continuous variable evaluation using restricted cubic splines.

RESULTS:

A total of 933 patients were included with a mean age and BMI of 34.1 years (SD;12.3) and 25.5 kg/m2 (SD;5.4) with a mean follow up of 5.2 years (range:5.0-10.0). The BMI groups contained 32 underweight, 480 normal, 254 pre-obesity, and 167 obese. All groups demonstrated significant improvement in PROs at 5-years (p<0.01 for all). However, obese patients demonstrated significantly lower improvements in HOS-SS (p=0.035) and iHOT-12 (p<0.01) scores at 5-years. Obese patients also demonstrated significantly lower achievement of MCID/PASS/SCB for the HOS-SS (p=0.04/<0.01/<0.01) and iHOT-12 (p<0.01 for all) and lower achievement of PASS/SCB for the mHHS (p<0.01 for both). Cox proportional hazards model demonstrated an increased risk of failure to achieve CSOs with increasing BMI (mHHS: 3%/1kg/m2, p=0.01; HOS-ADL: +3%/kg/m2, p<0.01; HOS-SS: 3%/kg/m2, p=0.01; iHOT-12: +4%/kg/m2, p<0.01). Advanced analysis with cubic splines demonstrated a U-shaped increase in hazards of not achieving CSOs for each PRO, with patients with very low or high BMIs demonstrating highest risk. The highest chance of CSO achievement was a BMI of 24.1 for mHHS, HOS-ADL: 23.0, HOS-SS: 23.9, iHOT-12: 22.4.

A total of 57 patients (6.1%) underwent revision hip arthroscopy and 22 (2.4%) converted to THA during follow up. The highest rate of revision HA was seen in underweight patients at 12.5% compared to 5.8% of those with a normal BMI, 5.1% in pre-obese, and 6.0% of obese patients (p=0.400). The highest rate of conversion to THA was seen in obese patients at 3.6% compared to 3.1% of underweight patients, 1.7% of those with a normal BMI, and 2.8% in pre-obese. Cubic splines analysis demonstrated a similar U-shaped increase in hazards of revision HA and conversion to THA with patients with very low or high BMIs demonstrating the highest risk. The lowest risk for revision HA occurred at a BMI of 21.8 for revision HA and 21.6 for conversion to THA.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: Obese patients demonstrated lower preoperative, 5-year postoperative, and delta PRO scores. However, both high and low extremes of BMI were associated with higher risk of failure to achieve clinically significant benefit and revision HA or THA compared to normal BMI peers.

| Table 3. Advancement of MCB, FAD, and SCE for each FRD by BM class. Table 5. Page 5. Pr | Indicate the number and annexasting characteristics for RM, then | Table 1. Weoperative, 3 year portugerative, and data patient reported advance scores to BMI does. | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| MOD | 82 | Arequisite | | The second se | | |
| Undersatisfiel Normal Pre-Clamity Dismits P.Valar | Underweight Record Oberity Oberity Filters | Indexeight Assend Participy Deally Product | | | | |
| 100 MD 04 00 10 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | N 48 24 30 | READ 0834383 8724174 0834383 3834387 (820 | | | | |
| 100 M (5.16 17.16) (5.16 (5.16 1.17) | M21 | NOLO" #141303 4031213 4121345 8141313 836 | | | | 33 - |
| All | 1884363 X114114 X0.84113 110 <500 | AND' 017161 684100 014162 5131948 4886 | | | | |
| BYRG BEAR BOAR PLAN LLBP CLLB Genter, S | 5 Family 81,85 75,007 57,007 38,007 45,008 | HEAD, HIVEN BRANK BRANK BRANK BRANK | 4. | • | | |
| 80112 0438 0589 7538 0639 40381 Bennie | ini ETTALD 2024LT 2014LA MERALS WEAK | 100 fast 0 431 0 7 10 11 12 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | | | 3 | |
| AryPhD 12.05 84.85 84.95 18.05 0.105 Instru | 6.7% 10.1% 13.3% 14.3% 0.00# | 5 Team Polyaperative | 8 | | | 9 45 T |
| PASS Hackboard | ein 325 529 325 1329 438 | Indraught Kannel Fu-Boary Boary France | | 6 | 6 * 1 J F | |
| Underseight Harmal Pre-Disruity Disnity P-Value Underse | ERE 220 LOC 1090 HERE | REAN*** BI1131 B1133 E3131 -880 | 8 | 5 | | 2 |
| HOLAD. MADE SLAN KLAN KLAN ADDR AND BAD FOR | 138 1138 1188 1186 GHD | RANG- 1121263 HIJ1263 1131203 1171112 1120 | 2 1 | - 2 41 | | 8 |
| 105.05 Abin (Alth) Siles (Lath) (Alth) (Marco | Congeniation 3.76 EANY ANN JASHY 4EAR | NEW RATES COLLEGE RATES 11/14/2 VIAN | | | | 9 |
| when the state balls the state | enclarities the line was use | BUDY BUTHI APPAL BURY LITTLE SEA | | | | |
| 1007 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 | HEREFERENCE CALL CALL CALL CALL | NUMBER OF ALL AND ALL | | | • | |
| NOTA NON WAR AND TOTAL TARA | Contra 100 100 100 | COLUMN COLUMN COLUMN COLUMN | | š l | | g 44-1 |
| anyone was only been was used in the | a national representation and and a second second second | interview Read in the later that | 5 °1 | - 8 41 E | E | |
| 505 | a statistical spectrum difference between endoweddi and shelly | The second secon | T | | | |
| Underweight Harmal Pre-Clamity Disnity P-Value (1975) | a contribute sections. Mission between sected and an abasity | and motion with motion with the | | I | | |
| HOLAD. MUN HAN KAN KAN KAN ING PROJECT PROJECT | a statistically specificant difference between normal and already | | | | | 2.0-1 |
| 105.05 46.05 (2132 56.05 41.192 +0.00) tedcam | intertrativity optificant difference between pre-objects and abouts | WATER TRAFFIC CONTRACTOR MANY AND AND | | | | |
| scol Max Maby 6.45 2539 -0261 Violate | n statistically significant horizonsi surranted p value | Without This Withow I Market Market Avenue | | and the second se | | |
| WILLT ALSO ATTAC STATE MENT | | a belowing publication of the second provide a second second second | - Contract | | | |
| 1- 000 10 W 00W 00 W 01W 01W | | 5 models statistically spinland difference between underweight and are obtainly | | No. CONTRACTOR | | |
| * Indicates statistically similared Booksraph on technic statist | | a industry dubinizedy significant difference interest underweight and sherily | | | | |
| · Hoose and the second of the second se | | e indicates anticideally représent difference between normal and pre-etisaits | | | | |
| | | Transmittaning operation and the second second second | | | | |
| | | Tankaka sanakany upinaat bihana kabusa pe menyuni menyu | | | | |
| | | | | | 044 | Res . |
| | | | repare a con proportional manares represent monte participant don't Mara index (BAU) and the | Report & East proportional hearth represent market between Roly Mass Index (MM) and risk | Report of Cost encountrienal bases for some size model between Body Mara Index BMD and risk | Reart 1. Consequentiand baseds-rearranges model between Both Mass index 2000 and 14b |
| | | | A second data and the providence of the second providence of the second s | of convention to fadul #g. Mithropfoldy (1990) after primary the arthronizagy (1991) for | of failure in achieve clinically startificant extremes K20x1 as defined in achievement of a | of future to advece clinically configure advances (DD2) as defined to advecement of a |
| | | | | synptimati fana-seottidular inprigranit (Fiel) | minimum international Ha Dutume Teel IRCE 32 source anote: then a threshold source to | minimum modified Rants Ho Score (mMH) granter than a threshold score to achieve a |
| | | | | | and a second | Advised division income a failure to be and income in the second state of the second s |